



16TH JACQUES POLAK ANNUAL RESEARCH CONFERENCE

NOVEMBER 5-6, 2015

Comments of “Financial Frictions and Unconventional Monetary Policy in Emerging Economies”

Marcos Chamon
IMF

Paper presented at the 16th Jacques Polak Annual Research Conference
Hosted by the International Monetary Fund
Washington, DC—November 5–6, 2015

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) only, and the presence of them, or of links to them, on the IMF website does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the paper.

“FINANCIAL FRICTIONS AND UNCONVENTIONAL MONETARY POLICY IN EMERGING ECONOMIES”

Discussion by:
Marcos Chamon*
Research Department, IMF

IMF Annual Research Conference
November 6, 2015

* The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter and do not necessarily represent those of the IMF or IMF policy.

Overview

- The paper presents a model for an open economy with financial frictions to discuss how conventional and unconventional monetary policy can respond to shocks
 - ▣ Conventional Monetary Policy
 - Exchange rate peg
 - Interest rate peg
 - ▣ Unconventional Monetary Policy:
 - Direct lending to households
 - Direct lending to banks
 - Capital injections on banks
 - Sterilized Intervention

Overview

- Unconventional policies studied in the paper actively discussed in policy and academic circles the aftermath of the GFC
- Contribution of the paper is to present an elegant model to analyze those policies in the context of a small open economy
 - ▣ Particularly useful how different shocks and policies can be mapped into external constraint

Overview of the Model

- Two goods: Home and Foreign
 - ▣ Home good is a Dixit-Stiglitz aggregate of varieties
 - ▣ Foreign demand for home good is elastic
 - ▣ Domestic final consumption good is a Cobb-Douglas aggregate of Home and Foreign good.
- Banks:
 - ▣ Households have equity k_t on the banks; $k_t \leq \tilde{k}$
 - ▣ Banks borrow d_t dollars from foreigners at world interest rate ρ , subject to $d_t \leq \theta k_t$
 - ▣ Lends l_t to households at a rate ρ_t

Overview of the Model

- Households maximize:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(c_t, n_t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\log(c_t) - \frac{\eta}{2} n_t^2]$$

s.t.

$$e_t^{-\alpha} b_t + k_t - l_t = (1+r_{t-1})e_{t-1}^{-\alpha} b_{t-1} + (1+\omega_{t-1})(1+\rho)k_{t-1} - (1+\varrho_{t-1})l_{t-1} + e_t^{-\alpha}(w_t n_t + v_t) + z - e_t^{-\alpha} c_t,$$

- Solution can be summarized by an optimal labor supply condition, Euler equation, and an arbitrage condition for r_t

Shocks

- Paper focuses on a constrained steady-state (domestic interest rate above world rate)
- Adverse shocks
 - ▣ External balance condition becomes:

$$(1 - \alpha)e^{-\alpha}c - (xe^{x-1} + z) = s$$

$$s \equiv \tilde{k}'(\theta' - \theta) + \theta(\tilde{k}' - \tilde{k}) + (z' - z) < 0$$

- Positive shocks
 - ▣ Sufficiently favorable shock causes economy to become temporarily unconstrained

External Shocks Under Exchange Rate Peg

- Policy keeps e constant. But in the face of external shock, consumption must fall to restore external balance

$$(1 - \alpha)\bar{e}^{-\alpha}c - (z + x\bar{e}^{x-1}) = s$$

- Output is determined by demand and falls along with consumption, and loan interest rate rises

External Shocks Under Exchange Rate Peg

- Real model
- Who is keeping the exchange rate constant?
- Could consider a government that can tax/subsidize the home and foreign good so as to keep relative price constant
 - ▣ Government just buying/selling the goods would have different implications for quantities produced, so may need taxation

External Shocks Under Interest Rate Peg

- Policy keeps r constant (at $1/\beta$).
- Since r does not move, consumption remains at the steady-state level (from Euler Equation)
- External adjustment achieved by depreciation and increase in output
- Needed adjustment even larger in the presence of currency mismatches

External Shocks Under Interest Rate Peg

- Real model
- Who is keeping r constant?
- Someone buying and selling government bonds?
 - ▣ Can raise r by buying bonds; lower r by selling bonds. But what if hits constraint that $b \geq 0$?
 - ▣ In limiting case, assume government can tax consumption at t and $t+1$ at different rates so as to keep r fixed?
- Maybe consider alternative production technology that yields output $1/\beta$?

Unconventional Policies: Direct Lending

- Central bank has $f > 0$ dollars
- Central bank can lend directly to households (at the bank loan rate)
 - ▣ Transfers profits on reserves to households
- If financial constraint does not bind, policy has no effect (banks fully offset their lending leaving total supply of loans unchanged)
- But if financial constraint binds, central bank lending allows domestic economy to borrow more (relaxes external constraint)

Unconventional Policies: Direct Lending

- In steady-state, f dollars can only relax external constraint by ρf in every period.
- But can be particularly useful in offsetting temporary shocks to z .

Unconventional Policies: Lending to Banks

- Banks can now lend:

$$l_t = k_t + d_t + d_t^g$$

- And borrow:

$$d_t \leq \theta k_t + \phi d_t^g$$

- Central bank lending increases amount banks can borrow (increase cost of “absconding” with d)
 - ▣ Reasonable modeling assumption. Maybe in richer setting, central bank lending could also attenuate things like costly liquidation.

Unconventional Policies: Lending to Banks

- If financial constraints do not bind, policy has no effect
- If financial constraints bind, central bank lending helps relax external constraint
- Lending to banks more effective than lending to households since $\phi > 0$.

Unconventional Policies: Equity Injection

- Central bank can also buy equity k^g on banks
 - ▣ Increase in bank equity can relax their borrowing constraint to:

$$d_t \leq \theta k_t = \theta(\tilde{k} + k_t^g)$$

- ▣ Households will receive their share of bank profits; central bank's share also transferred to households
- One dollar of equity injection is more effective than one dollar lent if $\theta > \phi$

Unconventional Policies: Sterilized Intervention

- Money not explicitly modeled
- Paper discusses end-result of sterilized intervention as central bank dollar holdings declining by one dollar while either l^g or d^g increase by one dollar
 - ▣ Effect of intervention can be directly mapped to one of those policies

Unconventional Policies: Sterilized Intervention

- Alternatively, why not model sterilized intervention as central bank selling dollars and buying domestic bonds?
- FX Intervention would not change household's budget constraint
- But FX Intervention would relax external constraint (effect would still map with lending to households or banks)

Additional Comments

- It would be useful to provide some illustration of past episodes of unconventional policies
- FX Intervention is fairly common
- But other unconventional policies considered typically adopted in the context of financial crises:
 - ▣ Liquidity support common during banking crises; Equity injections less common
- Since impact of all unconventional policies map into external constraint, that could facilitate comparison
 - ▣ Maybe FX Intervention suffices in most cases

Additional Comments

- Model could contrast direct lending with a policy that auctions FX credit line
 - ▣ Households would not borrow at an interest rate higher than bank loan rate
 - ▣ Banks willing to borrow at that rate if they are credit constrained (since even expensive central bank credit relaxes borrowing constraint at the cheaper world rate)
 - ▣ Auctioning FX could ensure it ends up where it is most useful (banks), but would involve banks paying a higher interest rate

Additional Comments

- Maybe FX Intervention can cope with small shocks, or when reserves are plentiful
- Other unconventional policies could be a way to leverage a limited ability to provide FX liquidity