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1. Aiming for Better Regulation
Objectives of Financial Regulation

1. Stability of the Financial System

2. Customer Protection

3. Fair and Transparent Markets
### Change of Phase for the Japanese Financial Sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stability of the Financial System</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>From now on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concern over financial system October-November 1997: Serial failures of banks and securities companies (Hokkaido Takushoku Bank, Yamaichi Securities, etc.) 1998: Failures of Long Term Credit Bank of Japan and Nippon Credit Bank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Introduction of prompt corrective actions (1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Publication of inspection manual (1999)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Development of public recapitalization system &amp; recapitalization (1998-2002: ¥10.4trillion)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-performing loans problem Major banks March 2002: 8.4% → March 2005: 2.9% Regional banks n = 8.0% → n = 5.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Special inspection on major banks (2001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Partial removal of blanket deposit insurance (2002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration of repayment of public funds (2005~) (Face amount of repayment ¥8.8trillion, Capital gains ¥1.3trillion, Income gains ¥0.7trillion)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Customer Protection & Customer Convenience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diversification of sales channels for financial products</th>
<th>Non-payment problem at insurance companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Over-the-counter sales of investment trusts by banks (1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Over-the-counter sales of insurance products by banks (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Deregulation of bank agency business (2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in damages caused by forex margin trading</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient systems and controls in place at banks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Amendment to Financial Futures Trading Law (2004)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Administrative actions against insurance companies and amendment to supervisory guideline (from 2005 onward)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Administrative actions against banks and amendment to supervisory guideline (abuse of dominant position, real estate screening system, sales of investment trusts, etc.: from 2006 onward)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Better Regulation: improving the quality of financial regulation

- Entrenchment and sophistication of risk management at financial institutions
- Response to new type of risks
- Establishing sustainable & continuous systems and controls for customer protection at financial institutions
- Establishing competitive environment for higher quality of services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary efforts by financial institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Progress of Japanese Financial “Big Bang”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>From now on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Financial System Reform Law (1998) (Change to registration system for securities firms, liberalization of brokerage fees, diversification of financial &amp; investment products, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistakenly placed order by securities firms &amp; system troubles at stock exchange (2005-06)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Introduction and expansion of civil money penalties (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task</strong> Establishing norms of conduct for securities firms and other market intermediaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary efforts by financial institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Better Regulation: improving the quality of financial regulation

- Entrenchment of Financial Instruments & Exchange Law
- Enhancing market surveillance mechanisms
- Improving the quality of accounting and auditing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary efforts by financial institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fair and Transparent Markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>From now on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Partial removal of blanket deposit insurance (except the payment and settlement deposits)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Better Regulation: improving the quality of financial regulation

- Entrenchment of Financial Instruments & Exchange Law
- Enhancing market surveillance mechanisms
- Improving the quality of accounting and auditing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary efforts by financial institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


- *Amendment to the Certified Public Accountants Law (2007)*
Aiming for Better Regulation

I. What is Better Regulation? (FSA’s Supervisory Approach)

2. Risk-focused, forward-looking approach: prompt & effective response to high-priority issues.
3. Encouraging voluntary efforts by financial institutions and placing greater emphasis on incentives for them.
4. Improving the transparency and predictability of regulatory actions.

II. Specific Areas of Priority

1. Enhanced dialogue with financial institutions and other relevant parties.
2. Effective dissemination of information.
3. Further cooperation with authorities abroad.
4. Enhance research function for real-time monitoring of market developments.
5. Human resource development.
Optimal Combination of Rules-Based and Principles-Based Approaches

1. Advantages of Rules-based and Principles-based Approaches

**Rules-based approach**: ensures predictability and eliminates arbitrariness in regulatory actions from the viewpoint of financial institutions.

**Principles-based approach**: encourages voluntary efforts by financial institutions and ensures maximum freedom of business management.

2. JFSA’s View

- Pursue fair and transparent financial regulation and supervision, placing emphasis on voluntary efforts by financial institutions.
- Rules-based and principles-based approaches are complementary for each other, not mutually exclusive.
  - Cases in which a rules-based approach is relatively effective:
    - Applying common rules to market participants including a large number of unspecified parties.
    - Imposing administrative sanctions under regulatory authority.
  - Cases in which a principles-based approach is relatively effective:
    - Encouraging financial institutions to develop their own systems and internal controls for governance, risk management and compliance.
    - Timely corrective action when there is a gap in existing rules for newly-introduced products, services and/or sales methods.
- Self-regulatory organizations: expected to play an important role in improving the effectiveness of the principles-based approach.
Example of Principles: “Principles for Businesses” (by UK FSA)

1. A firm must conduct its business with integrity.
2. A firm must conduct its business with due skill, care and diligence.
3. A firm must take reasonable care to organize and control its affairs responsibly and effectively, with adequate risk management systems.
4. A firm must maintain adequate financial resources.
5. A firm must observe proper standards of market conduct.
6. A firm must pay due regard to the interests of its customers and treat them fairly.
7. A firm must pay due regard to the information needs of its clients, and communicate information to them in a way which is clear, fair and not misleading.
8. A firm must manage conflicts of interest fairly, both between itself and its customers and between a customer and another client.
9. A firm must take reasonable care to ensure the suitability of its advice and discretionary decisions for any customer who is entitled to rely upon its judgment.
10. A firm must arrange adequate protection for clients’ assets when it is responsible for them.
11. A firm must deal with its regulators in an open and co-operative way, and must disclose to the FSA appropriately anything relating to the firm of which the FSA would reasonably expect notice.

(Source) UK Financial Services Authority (http://fsahandbook.info/FSA/html/handbook/PRIN/2/1)
Risk-focused, Forward-looking Approach: Prompt & Effective Response to High-Priority Issues

- Foresee and promptly identify the areas in which serious problems may be hidden and where huge risks might materialize in the future.
- Effectively allocate necessary regulatory resources to these areas.

**Areas where serious problems may be hidden**

**Areas where huge risks might materialize in the future**

**Proactive Approach**
(Identify potential risks in the financial system)

**Effective Allocation**

**Limited Regulatory Resources**

**<Example: real estate funds>**

- Carefully monitor developments in the real estate market which is increasingly linked to financial markets and becoming global.
- Ensuring due process for appropriate pricing and investor protection, preventing transactions involving conflicts of interest.
- Ensuring appropriate risk management at banks with real estate exposures.
Encouraging Voluntary Efforts by Financial Institutions and Placing Greater Emphasis on Incentives

Incentive-compatible approach: examples in existing frameworks

- **Financial Inspection Rating System**
  - Selective administrative response depending on financial inspection results (frequency, scope and depth of inspection).

- **Basel II**
  - Refining risk measurement practices and promoting more advanced risk management methods at financial institutions.

- **Relationship Banking Framework for Regional Banks**
  - Incorporated into supervisory guidelines and promoting voluntary efforts of regional financial institutions.

- **Publishing guidelines for inspection and supervision, clarifying criteria for administrative actions**
  - Encouraging voluntary efforts for improvement by financial institutions.
Improving Transparency and Predictability of Regulatory Action

Pursuing fair and transparent financial regulation and supervision (examples)

- **Publication of inspection manuals and supervisory guidelines**
  - Clarifying guidelines in inspection and supervision, and due process for administrative actions.

- **Publication of annual inspection and supervisory policies**
  - Indicating priority issues in inspection and supervision for each business year.

- **Publication of criteria for administrative actions**
  - Clarifying the criteria in deciding on administrative actions.

- **Reform of no-action letter system**
  - Series of reforms since the introduction of the system in July 2001.
2. Coping with the Global Financial Market Turbulence
Current assessment

- Given the prevailing uncertainty, it is too early to draw definitive conclusions.
- However, the effect of recent global financial market turbulence on Japanese financial institutions and markets appears to have been limited so far.
- This has been due to factors such as:
  1. The health of Japanese financial institutions has been steadily improving, as shown, for example, in lower NPL ratios and higher capital ratios.
  2. Exposures of Japanese financial institutions to sub-prime loans and related products have been limited. (In total, ¥1.4 trillion or around $13 billion for sub-prime loans and related products etc.)
  3. Implementation of Basel II for all deposit-taking institutions as of end-March 2007
Issues that need to be addressed

Three important uncertainties regarding sub-prime related products:

- Uncertainty regarding the incidence of risk
- Uncertainty in pricing/valuation
- Uncertainty of liquidity for ABCP conduits and other vehicles

Task Force (Financial Market Strategy Team) formed under the Minister to analyze the situation, and develop policy recommendations
Major Recommendations of the FMST
(Issues to be considered at the global level)

- Transparency in origination, arrangement, and distribution of securitized products; *inter alia* proper transmission of risk information for such products
- Enhanced risk management by financial institutions and investors; prompt implementation of Basel II
- Proper disclosure and appropriate internal controls at CRAs, and appropriate use of the ratings by the investors
- Appropriate pricing and accounting of securitized products
- Proper treatment in the accounting of conduits and SIVs
Major Recommendations of the FMST (continued)
(Measures to be taken at the domestic level)

- Closer monitoring of market developments and financial institutions by the supervisory authorities
- Further coordination with authorities of other countries
- Examination of issues arising from the originate-to-distribute model
- Improving the traceability of risks incorporated in securitized products
Major Recommendations of the FMST (continued)

- Securitization to be based on the availability of sufficient data
- Indication of principles and the search for best practices in the context of the “better regulation” initiative
- Study proper regulation of CRAs, and means to ensure the appropriateness of rating models
- Monitor and consider proper valuation and accounting of securitized products
Broader Issues to Bear in Mind

- Housing and real-estate markets and their effects on the economy in general
- Developments in currency markets, stock markets and other financial markets
- Oil and commodity market developments
- Monetary policy
- Fiscal policy (incl. tax policy)
- Other macro-economic issues
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