

E-money and Payments Policy

Charles M. Kahn
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

Francisco Rivadeneyra
Bank of Canada

Russell Wong
Richmond Fed



The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not reflect those of the Bank of Canada. Do not distribute without consent.

IMF-BoB-BoC Workshop on Fintech, Payments, and Financial Inclusion
July 9-10, 2018

E-money: should central banks issue a *new* form of e-money?

Central banks offer some payments media: high value payments systems (restricted access) and cash (universal access)

- **Have the new technologies like DLT and mobile computing changed the risk and efficiency tradeoff in the public provision of centralized and decentralized payments media?**

Preview of conclusions

- New technologies have not changed the tradeoff for the universal provision of central bank accounts
 - System would be expensive
 - Directly compete with commercial banks
- New technologies have potentially improved the tradeoff for the issuance of digital tokens
 - Likely increase in the contestability of payments platforms
 - Questions remain on counterfeiting risks (cyber)

Plan of my remarks

1. Public policy objectives
2. Technology and payment arrangements
3. Central bank e-money schemes
4. Discussion

Public Policy Objectives



Central bank e-money: what are the objectives?

Monetary policy objectives

- Improve monetary policy implementation
- Break below the effective lower bound

Financial system objectives

- Provide, regulate or oversee safe and efficient payments systems
- Ensure financial stability (with macro/micro regulation of institutions and markets)

Central bank e-money: what are the objectives?

Other public policy objectives

- Acquire reserve currency status (for seignorage and international trade)
- Reduce tax evasion and crime (by eliminating cash)
- Broaden financial inclusion (with cheaper financial instruments)
- Enable micropayments (with cheap and divisible assets)
- Spur innovation of financial services
- Provide *or restrict* anonymity

Payment Arrangements

Account- and Token-Based Systems



Payments arrangements as record-keeping systems

Two broad types of arrangements distinguished by identification requirements:

- **Account-based:** is the *individual* really who he says he is, i.e. the owner of the account?
- **Token-based:** is the *object* real or counterfeit?

This distinction helps understand the risk and efficiency tradeoffs:

- What is the cost of identifying and individual/object in a transaction?
- Who has access to the records? For safety and privacy issues.

Payments arrangements as record-keeping systems

Record-keeping has two dimensions: access to the records and the protocol to update the records

	Access	Centralized	Decentralized
Updating			
Centralized		Account-based systems (LVTS, CCPs, etc.)	Hybrid systems
Decentralized		Not applicable	Token based-systems (Bitcoin, cash)

Tradeoffs: costs, risks and privacy

Account-based systems track **individuals**

- Cost structure: issuer verifies identities, monitors behaviour and handles collateral. Liability usually lies on the issuer/operator
- Users relinquish some degree of anonymity

Token-based systems track the history of **objects**

- Verification of cash is bilateral; Bitcoin is distributed
- Cost structure: issuer cares about the cost of counterfeiting tokens more than the cost of verification of transactions

Central bank e-money schemes



Central bank e-money schemes

1. Account-based scheme
2. Token-based schemes
 - Decentralized verification
 - Centralized verification
 - Delegated schemes: custodians and intermediaries

Central bank e-money: account-based scheme

- Proposal: universal account at the central bank
- Requires: i) account opening; ii) processing of transactions; and iii) management of relationships with the public
- Challenges: do we have the comparative advantage in any of these functions? No. Would compete with commercial bank deposits
- Examples of government-issued transactional accounts: Post Office UK, Japan Post, others. Redistribution motives and pricing issues

Central bank e-money: token with decentralized verification

- Proposal: develop/choose tech to issue, store and transfer tokens using a decentralized ledger of tokens
- Requires: i) decentralized token verification tech; ii) underwrite safety of the system
- Example: CADcoin, Fedcoin
- Challenges:
 - Do we decentralized verification when already have a trusted central party?

Central bank e-money: token with centralized verification

- Proposal: develop/choose tech to issue, store and transfer tokens using a centralized ledger of tokens
- Requires: i) token verification tech; ii) underwrite safety of the system
- Example: ‘digital cash’ sacrificing some anonymity, speed or safety
- Challenges:
 - Can we develop or choose the appropriate technology?
 - Counterfeiting risk (cyber) in digital is potentially catastrophic

Central bank e-money: delegated token scheme

- Proposal: delegate management of tokens to special set of institutions. Like “deposited currency schemes” or narrow banks
- Requires: i) institution supervision; ii) technology to prevent individuals from holding central bank tokens directly
- Accounts would necessarily emerge: need to identify owners of tokens
- Challenges:
 - Would current intermediaries have incentives to distribute tokens?
 - For institutions tokens would be inferior to reserves

Conclusions



Conclusions: forms

Account-based system:

- Requires management of relationships with the public
- Not a new possibility, and directly competes with bank deposits

Token-based system:

- Allows simpler delegation of operations
- New technology, cyber risks can be catastrophic

Conclusions: tiering and the role of the central bank

Accounts are likely to emerge in equilibrium

- Due to returns to scale in verifying identities, monitoring individuals or managing tokens complete decentralization is socially inefficient

Role of the central bank

- Tiering will involve central banks because some transactions require certainty of settlement and stable value of tokens
- Central banks can commit to both

Conclusions: broader tradeoffs

- **Payments systems:** efficiency likely to improve
- **Financial intermediation:** uncertain effect at this point; need to consider threat to commercial deposits and the response of banks
- **Cyber risk:** hacking can have catastrophic consequences; paper money does not have such risks