

Discussion of "What Hinders Investment in the Aftermath of Financial Crises?

C. Fritz Foley





Contribution (1)

- Large depreciations should increase output in the tradable sector, but they do not uniformly have this effect
- Some papers indicate that financing constraints also arise during depreciation and these limit the impact of competitiveness effects
- What is the source of this financing constraint?
- Balance sheet currency mismatch
 - Bleakley and Cowan (2008) present controversial evidence against this
- Banking crisis
 - Kaminsky and Reinhart (1999) present evidence for this
- Access to foreign sources of capital
 - Desai, Foley, and Forbes (2008) present evidence for this



Contribution (2)

- KKV bring amazing data to bear on this question
 - Observe local/foreign currency denomination of assets and liabilities
 - Observe firm level exports
 - Observe extent of foreign ownership
- Find foreign ownership allows firms to overcome financial constraints, regardless of balance sheet currency mismatches
- Conclude that limited access to credit, rather than balance sheet currency mismatch effects, plays important role in hindering investment
 - Foreign firms have better access to global sources of capital
 - If foreign firms hold more dollar debt, this could explain results of Bleakley and Cowan (2008)



Interpretation

- Are the different hypothesized mechanisms behind the financial constraints that different?
 - Many banking crises are a consequence of balance sheet currency mismatches at commercial banks
 - Other than overcoming balance sheet effects, what does access to foreign capital accomplish?
- Do the results show that balance sheet effects do not matter?
 - Access to foreign capital seems to alleviate balance sheet effects, so these still do matter
 - If balance sheet effects do not matter, why are local owned firms constrained?



Explaining Previous Conflicting Results

- Do the results explain why Bleakley and Cowan (2008) find that firms with dollar debt expand during depreciations?
 - BC do not control for foreign ownership
 - Would need to be the case that foreign owned firms have more dollar debt
 - But Table 3 suggest foreign firms have less dollar debt
- Could you rerun some of the Bleakley and Cowan (2008) specifications using your data?



Main Test

- Paper emphasizes estimates on coefficient of a triple interaction
 - Exports * Dollar Debt * Post
- Find that this coefficient is positive for foreign firms
- Hard for me to interpret effects of triple interactions
 - Understand that foreign firms that are exporters and have dollar debt increase investment more than similar local firms after the depreciation
 - But why would effect be larger if levels of dollar debt are higher?
 - What are effects of each of the variables on their own and each double interaction?
 - Does dollar debt reduce investment for local firms? Does being an exporter increase investment for local firms?



Other Issues

- How extensive is coverage of foreign firms?
 - Increasingly, foreign affiliates of MNCs are wholly owned, and they are rarely publicly listed
- Dollar debt is measured as the ratio of short term dollar denominated liabilities to total short term liabilities
 - This ratio could be high for firms with very low levels of liabilities
 - Such firms should not be affected by balance sheet effects
- Survivorship concerns can be addressed more comprehensively
- Foreign ownership and exporter status are not randomly assigned
 - I wish I had a way to address this



Idea for another project

- One of most striking features of current crisis is contraction in trade
 - Obvious demand shock
 - But I believe conditions in trade finance market matter a lot too
 - Amiti and Weinstein (2009) look for trade finance effects in Japan
- KKV data includes proxies for financial constraints and firm level exports

Can KKV test for effects of financial constraints on exports?



Relevance to Current Crisis

 Conclusion suggests can learn about what will happen to investment and growth in current crisis from past crises

- Some key differences to consider when thinking about KKV results
 - Few countries have experienced 25% real depreciations
 - Competitiveness effects of depreciations are muted given demand shock
 - Many foreign firms are financial constrained or supported by government
 - Do not have resources to fund foreign operations
 - Politically difficult to send capital abroad
 - Seems to be effort among MNCs to protect the core domestic operations
 - How relevant are result to more developed markets where capital constraints are believed to be less salient?

Conclusion

- Paper addresses a fundamental question about the effects of crises
- Hand collected data are very powerful
- Agree with the result that access to foreign capital plays a critical role in supporting investment in countries hit by large depreciations

 Think KKV can push their analysis further in this paper and can teach us much more about crises in their future work