

Enforcement Trends and Compliance Challenges Judicial Review : A legal challenge, towards better regulatory

4 April 2013

Yam-yuen CHU Commissioner of Inland Revenue Hong Kong Special Administrative Region



Highlights of presentation

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- + Quick recap on managing tax compliance
- Concept and application of judicial review in tax matter
 - Impact of judicial review on tax enforcement
- Regulatory policy and governance



Managing tax compliance

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Tax compliance is an ever-changing landscape ...



Compliance challenges

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Key issues for corporation tax compliance

- Transfer pricing issues
- Intra-group transactions
- Financing arrangements
 - Tax avoidance issues
- **International challenges**
 - + International tax avoidance
 - Cross-border transactions
 - Permanent establishment issues (under tax treaty)
 - Abuse of tax treaty benefits
 - Hybrid mismatch arrangements



Compliance challenges

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- HKSAR being an open economy with substantial trade and investments has a high potential for tax disputes
- Globalization trend, increasing tax disputes in terms of number and complexity
 - Aggressive/ abusive tax positions and transactions associated with large corporate taxpayers which are represented by sophisticated tax/ legal professionals
 - Exploiting differences in the tax treatment of instruments, entities or transfer between different tax jurisdictions



Enforcement on tax compliance

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

- Risk management
 - Risk-based approach
 - Taskforces focusing on high-risk sectors/ taxpayers
 - Technical teams for special business sectors
 - IT-assisted tools for better case selection across the compliance
 spectrum & identification of anomalies

Audit strategy

- Compliance audit
- 3-tier audits : desk audit, field audit, in-depth investigation
- **Building up capabilities**
 - Staff training and development
 - Enhanced computer/ statistical tools
 - Expanded intelligence/ databases cross-matching



Managing tax compliance

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

+ Domestic level

- Enforcement power -
 - wide range of information seeking power under tax law, Inland Revenue Ordinance (IRO)
 - general and specific anti-avoidance provisions
 - penal provisions
- International front
 - International cooperation, enhanced dialogues
 - + Technical cooperation / sharing of best practices
 - + Expanded tax treaty network, TIEAs (pending)
 - exchange of information



Enforcement on tax compliance

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- + Legal challenges on
 - Contravention of civil rights
 - Protection of personal privacy
 - Impropriety of administrative decisions
 - Judicial review



Judicial Review of a tax matter

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

What is judicial review?

- Judicial review is a formal mechanism for challenging both administrative actions or judgments of tax authority
- It enables courts to ensure that the administration's decisions are
 - legal,
 - all relevant considerations are taken into account and irrelevant considerations ignored
 - procedurally fair, &
 - + all relevant interests to be properly addressed & assessed
 - + rational
 - avoiding arbitrary and illogical decisions



Judicial review of a tax matter

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

- + Theoretical basis of judicial review
 - under administrative law
- + Constitutional basis of judicial review
 - Administrative review is part of the Common Law
 - + Article 35 of the Basic Law of HKSAR,

"Hong Kong residents shall have the right to institute legal proceedings in the court against the acts of the executive authorities and their personnel"



Judicial review of a tax matter

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Why is judicial review important?

- Judicial review provides an oversight of the tax administration by an independent and free judiciary
 It is an important means by which the administration can be held accountable and ensures that the administration perfroms in a lawful manner
 - + by ensuring that it exercises those powers
 - + given to it under statutes &
 - that it exercises those powers fairly and reasonably



Law and administrative power

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Rule of law

- Rules based on elementary concepts of legality, reasonableness and fairness
- Equality before the law
- Rules which restrict discretionary power
- All administrative powers must be based on law
 - The doctrine of "ultra vires"



Legal principles on judicial review¹

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- + Illegality
 - + unconstitutional
 - ultra vires subsidiary legislation
 - improper purposes
 - + bad faith
 - unfettering decision
 - acting in excess of power
 - + error of law
 - + material error of fact
 - irrelevancy
 - + uncertainty
 - inordinate delay



Legal principles on judicial review²

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- Irrationality
 - Wednesbury unreasonableness
 - proportionality
 - margin of appreciation
 - **Procedural impropriety**
 - natural justice
 - right against biased decision
 - right to a fair hearing
 - substantive legitimate expectation
 - duty to give reasons



Rules and discretion

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- Decisions the exercise of discretion
- Inland Revenue Ordinance, HKSAR Law
 - + Section 59(3)
 - power to raise an estimated assessment
 - Section 64(1)
 - Commissioner may extend the time for filing an objection
 - + Section 64(2)
 - Commissioner determines an objection within a reasonable time
 - + Section 69(1)
 - taxpayer may apply to Board of Review to state a case on a question of law
 - Section 71(2)
 - Commissioner may order tax be held over unconditionally (or conditionally upon purchase of tax reserve certificates or banker's undertaking) pending objection or appeal



Application of judicial review

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Common grounds raised in notable judicial review cases

- Validity of the assessment
 - + the assessment is ultra vires
- Objection and appeal procedures
 - Commissioner's refusal to grant an extension of time to lodge an objection
 - Commissioner's failure to determine objections to the assessments within a specified time
 - challenging the constitutionality of section 69 which requires an appeal from the Board of Review to proceed by way of case stated
 - Payment of tax under objection
 - Commissioner's refusal to holdover the tax in dispute unconditionally pending the determination of objection



Application of judicial review

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Statistics of judicial review (JR) applications in recent years

	2012/13	2011/12	2010/11	2009/10
JR application – Court of First Instance	2	3	2	4
Application for leave granted		3	1	4
Application for leave refused				
Withdrawn before leave granted	1			
Withdrawn after leave granted	1		1	
Full bearing by Court of First Instance	4	4	0	2
Full hearing by Court of First Instance		4	2	2
Application dismissed	1	2	2	1
Remedies granted		1#		
Partial remedies granted		1		1
Appeal hearing by Court of Appeal		2		
Appeal by taxpayer dismissed		1		
Appeal by Revenue allowed #		1		
Remedies granted				
Appeal hearing by Court of Final Appeal	1			
Appeal dismissed	1			
Remedies granted	1			



Application of judicial review

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Statistics of judicial review (JR) applications in recent years (by application grounds)

For the period 2009 to 2013	No of application covering the relevant ground	Application dismissed	Remedies granted (order of mandamus)
Grounds for Judicial Review			
Ultra vires assessments	3	3	
Refusal to allow late objection	1	1	
Refusal to allow unconditional holdover of tax pending objection	3	3	
Requesting the issue of determination	2		2
Challenging the appeal mechanism	2	2	



Role of court in judicial review

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Seeking a judicial review

- NOT a correct forum for determining the substantive issues or resolving the disputes relating to the bases of assessment
- a more appropriate means of addressing a public officer's exercise of his statutory powers or duties on administrative law
- deals with public law: not every decision by a decision maker is amenable to judicial review





4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Most common relief for successful application

- + An order of certiorari
 - By which the decision impugned be quashed
 - No such order was given in all the judicial review applications in the past six years
 - An order of mandamus
 - To enforce the exercise of statutory duties or discretion in accordance with the law
 - An order of mandamus was granted to two judicial review applications in the past six years
 - Allow damages
 - No such relief was granted in all the judicial review applications in the past six years



Impact on enforcing compliance ¹

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- Growing trend of judicial review application has changed the compliance landscape
- + Effect on compliance management process
 - Taxpayers starts judicial review proceedings rather than trying to resolve the matter in other cheaper and quicker ways
 - May create the incentives for proceeding shopping or for frivolous and vexatious appeals by those affected by regulatory decisions
 - Reduces regulatory certainty, not conducive to the approach of timeliness in compliance management process



Impact on enforcing compliance²

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- Resources implications
 - Unnecessarily ties up the resources of tax administration
 - Higher administrative costs
 - requires special resources and expertise
 - needs to respond in faster timescales
 - Cost implication on the taxpayers as well



+

Impact on regulatory process

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- Improves the justice
 - Courts have a legitimate role to play in ensuring that the administration acts in accordance with the rule of law
 - Taxpayers have a real and adequate opportunity to challenge the administration's decisions before independent courts
 - The availability of judicial review by itself improves confidence
 - Improves the quality of the decisions themselves
 - Judicial review is an important instrument of quality control
 - Good administration requires decision-makers to have proper regard both to all relevant legal considerations and to the interests of the taxpaying public which they serve
 - Gets in the way of efficient decision-making
 - The tax administration is doing its best to make decisions and get things done efficiently



Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- + Internal complaints systems
 - The relative speed and the modest costs
 - Not independent, may be biased, whether internal systems are imbued with the value necessary to promote justice
 - **Recourse to Ombudsman**
 - Independent of the tax administration against which a compliant is made
 - Free service to the aggrieved person, operating using investigation techniques
 - Have a role in promoting principles and standards of good administration
- Mediation
 - + Emerging trend in resolving disputes beyond judicial review



Policy implications on regulatory process

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Effective implementation, compliance and enforcement of tax law are essential for meeting tax policy objectives ...



Policy implications on regulatory process

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- Exercise its authority only within the scope permitted by its legal powers
 - proper delegation of authorities under tax law (IRO)
- Treat like cases consistently and in a like manner
 - + Issue of Departmental Interpretation and Practice Notes
 - Internal assessing guidelines, procedure handbooks & etc
- Require justifiable reasons for decisions and for any departure from normal regular practices
 - Department of Justice issue Guide to Judicial Review "JOYS"
 - Notifying taxpayers the decisions, giving reasons
- Prevent abuse of discretionary authority
 - has effective objection and appeals processes under tax law (IRO)
- Preserve the integrity of the regulatory system
 - has internal complaint system, ombudsman



Regulatory policy and governance

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Hong Kong, China

Judicial review and good governance

- Public participation
- Justice and fairness
- + Transparency
- Accountability
- Proportionality



Regulatory policy and governance

4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

- Fundamental to good governance that public powers be exercised within legal limits and with fairness
 - the tax administration's commitments to openness, transparency, fairness
 - the tax administration applies and enforces regulations
 systematically and fairly
 - Mission statements and Values
 - Taxpayers' right (Taxpayer's charter)
 - access to administrative and judicial review procedures for disputes relating to rules/ laws that bind them as well as
 - timely decisions on appeal



4th IMF – Japan High-level Tax Conference

Thank you