

Philip Daniel Fiscal Affairs Department International Monetary Fund

Generating Extractive Industry Revenues

Kenya's Economic Successes, Prospects and Challenges

National Treasury, Central Bank of Kenya, IMF

Nairobi, September 17, 2013

IMF (FAD) TA actively engaged and research-based





THE TAXATION OF PETROLEUM AND MINERALS: PRINCIPLES, PROBLEMS AND PRACTICE

Edited by Philip Daniel, Michael Keen and Charles McPherson



Guide on Resource Revenue Transparency



INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

Fiscal Policy Formulation and Implementation in Oil-Producing Countries



Editors

J.M. Davis, R. Ossowski, and A. Fedelino

INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

Central objectives



- Maximize PV of net government revenues
- Timing of receipts
- "Progressivity"
- Ease of administration (for authorities) and compliance (for taxpayers)

Types of Fiscal Regime





Government usually owns minerals in the ground <u>Licence</u>:

 Investor has rights to 100% of production

<u> PSC:</u>

- Contractor has rights to a share of production
- Petroleum Tax&Royalty and PSC systems most common
- Mining Tax&Royalty; PSC uncommon
- Mechanics different, but economics can be equivalent
- Most countries have an "hybrid" system

Three main fiscal schemes (sometimes blended)...



- Contractual, including production sharing or service contracts
- Tax and royalty, with licensing of areas
- State ownership or participation
 - These can be made fiscally equivalent
 - Staff advice works within all three
 - Design to achieve efficiency and transparency in each case

Fiscal Instruments for El



- Bonuses (with bidding)
- Royalty
- Corporate income tax
- Explicit rent taxes
- State participation

Fiscal regimes for EI vary greatly



- Simulations for mining suggest government shares of 40-60 percent—but collection data suggest lower in practice
- For petroleum the simulated shares are higher: 65 to 85 percent
- Achieved shares below these ranges are cause for concern, or regret

FARI: Simulated petroleum field



Current Kenyan PSC Structure





Illustration of Government Take under POB and Separate Payment of CIT



CIT paid separately



450 mm bbl Offshore Field





Three Tiered R-Factor Illustration





Large oil field onshore (450 mmbbl), Oil Price at \$90, pre-tax IRR 33%



Fiscal regimes: mining



Taxes	Standard Regime	Specified Minerals	Stylized SML and Investment Agreement
Royalties	10% on diamonds; 5% on gold and precious metals; 3% on other minerals		Subject to negotiation or discretionary prescription in SMLs
CIT rate	30%		CIT reductions of up to 50% of the standard rate have been negotiated in Investment Agreements
Depreciation of capital expenditures for CIT purposes	Straight-line with 40% deduction in the first year, and 6 further annual installments of 10%	100%	Depends on whether the mineral has been defined as a "specified mineral" or not.
Withholding Taxes (subject to lower rates under DTAs)	Dividends - 10% for non-residents, 5% for residents; Interest - 15% for residents and non-residents;		Subject to negotiation or discretionary prescription in SMLs
VAT	Local sales - 16%; Export sales - zero rated		Remission of VAT may be provided under Investment Agreements
Custom duties	Machinery and spare parts used in mining imported by a licensed mining company are exempted		

14



Stylized Mineral Sands Project with a pre-tax IRR 33%



Where do we go?



- Develop a single fiscal regime for future mining leases, with royalties varying by mineral as at present.
- Maintain petroleum production sharing contracts, but
 - Modernize the model PSC for future contracts by introducing a petroleum profit sharing based on an economic criterion, such as the R-factor.
- Define gas terms for production sharing in existing contracts
 - By scale of profit gas?
 - By R-Factor or other means?
- Determine the preferred commercial configuration of the upstream, pipeline and LNG segments in any gas export project.
- Introduce competive bidding and new transparency measures.