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Deterministic benchmark
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W=\frac{E}{\frac{1}{B}-V V^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{r^{*}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}}
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- The model dynamics is now given by
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\end{aligned}
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- Depending on the size of the current shock with respect to past ones, shocks will have temporary or permanent effect.
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- Add idiosyncratic risk anf fixed costs
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Y_{t}=\min \left(u_{i t} v_{t} K_{t}^{\alpha}, L_{t}\right)-F
$$

- Firms that draw a too low $u_{i t}$ are not profitable ex post
- They give back their capital (the collateral of their loan) before producing
- At the steady state, there is always a fraction of firms that default and close.
- That fraction will be larger permanently after a big shock
- Shocks are also amplified on impact by an extensive margin adjustment : not only firms produce less and revise downward $E(v)$, but more capital is ex post idle.
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Modeling the drop in $\phi(v)$

- Do I understand well that a drop in the observed $q$ will be measured as a drop in $\phi(v)$
- Perception revisions of the the type: "I realize that my investment will not be as profitable as I thought" can be seen as an explanation for recessions
- "News Driven Business Cycles: Insights and Challenges", Beaudry and Portier, Journal of Economic Literature (2015).
- Do such expectation-driven booms and busts create variations in measured $\phi(v)$ ?
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