

# Financial Reporting Standard 17

## Objective

- 1 The objective of this **FRS** is to ensure that:
  - (a) financial statements reflect at fair value the assets and liabilities arising from an employer's retirement benefit obligations and any related funding;
  - (b) the operating costs of providing retirement benefits to employees are recognised in the accounting period(s) in which the benefits are earned by the employees, and the related finance costs and any other changes in value of the assets and liabilities are recognised in the accounting periods in which they arise; and
  - (c) the financial statements contain adequate disclosure of the cost of providing retirement benefits and the related gains, losses, assets and liabilities.

## Definitions

- 2 The following definitions shall apply in the **FRS** and in particular in the Statement of Standard Accounting Practice set out **in bold type**.

**Actuarial gains and losses** - Changes in actuarial deficits or surpluses that arise because:

- (a) events have not coincided with the actuarial assumptions made for the last valuation (experience gains and losses) or
- (b) the actuarial assumptions have changed.

**Current service cost** - The increase in the present value of the scheme liabilities expected to arise from employee service in the current period.

**Curtailment** - An event that reduces the expected years of future service of present employees or reduces for a number of employees the accrual of defined benefits for some or all of their future service. Curtailments include:

- (a) termination of employees' services earlier than expected, for example as a result of closing a factory or discontinuing a segment of a business, and
- (b) termination of, or amendment to the terms of, a defined benefit scheme so that some or all future service by current employees will no longer qualify for benefits or will qualify only for reduced benefits.

**Defined benefit scheme** - A pension or other retirement benefit scheme other than a defined contribution scheme. Usually, the scheme rules define the benefits independently of the contributions payable, and the benefits are not directly related to the investments of the scheme. The scheme may be funded or unfunded.

**Defined contribution scheme** - A pension or other retirement benefit scheme into which an employer pays regular contributions fixed as an amount or as a percentage of pay and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the scheme does not have sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods.

An individual member's benefits are determined by reference to contributions paid into the scheme in respect of that member, usually increased by an amount

based on the investment return on those contributions. Defined contribution schemes may also provide death-in-service benefits. For the purposes of this definition, death-in-service benefits are not deemed to relate to employee service in the current and prior periods.

**Expected rate of return on assets** - The average rate of return, including both income and changes in fair value but net of scheme expenses, expected over the remaining life of the related obligation on the actual assets held by the scheme.

**Interest cost** - The expected increase during the period in the present value of the scheme liabilities because the benefits are one period closer to settlement.

**Past service cost** - The increase in the present value of the scheme liabilities related to employee service in prior periods arising in the current period as a result of the introduction of, or improvement to, retirement benefits.

**Projected unit method** - An accrued benefits valuation method in which the scheme liabilities make allowance for projected earnings. An accrued benefits valuation method is a valuation method in which the scheme liabilities at the valuation date relate to:

- (a) the benefits for pensioners and deferred pensioners (ie individuals who have ceased to be active members but are entitled to benefits payable at a later date) and their dependants, allowing where appropriate for future increases, and
- (b) the accrued benefits for members in service on the valuation date.

The accrued benefits are the benefits for service up to a given point in time, whether vested rights or not.

Guidance on the projected unit method is given in the Guidance Note GN26 issued by the Faculty and Institute of Actuaries.

**Retirement benefits** - All forms of consideration given by an employer in exchange for services rendered by employees that are payable after the completion of employment.

Retirement benefits do not include termination benefits payable as a result of either

- (i) an employer's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date or
- (ii) an employee's decision to accept voluntary redundancy in exchange for those benefits,

because these are not given in exchange for services rendered by employees.

**Scheme liabilities** - The liabilities of a defined benefit scheme for outgoings due after the valuation date.

Scheme liabilities measured using the projected unit method reflect the benefits that the employer is committed to provide for service up to the valuation date.

**Settlement** - An irrevocable action that relieves the employer (or the defined benefit scheme) of the primary responsibility for a pension obligation and eliminates significant risks relating to the obligation and the assets used to effect the settlement. Settlements include:

- (a) a lump-sum cash payment to scheme members in exchange for their rights to receive specified pension benefits;
- (b) the purchase of an irrevocable annuity contract sufficient to cover vested benefits; and
- (c) the transfer of scheme assets and liabilities relating to a group of employees leaving the scheme.

**Vested rights** - These are:

- (a) for active members, benefits to which they would unconditionally be entitled on leaving the scheme;
- (b) for deferred pensioners, their preserved benefits;
- (c) for pensioners, pensions to which they are entitled.

Vested rights include where appropriate the related benefits for spouses or other dependants.

## Scope

- 3 The FRS applies to all financial statements that are intended to give a true and fair view of a reporting employer's financial position and profit or loss (or income and expenditure) for a period.**
- 4 The FRS covers all retirement benefits that an employer is committed to providing, whether the commitment is statutory, contractual or implicit in the employer's actions. It applies to retirement benefits arising overseas, as well as those arising in the UK and the Republic of Ireland. Retirement benefits include, for example, pensions and medical care during retirement.**
- 5 The FRS covers funded and unfunded retirement benefits, including schemes that are operated on a pay-as-you-go basis, whereby benefits are paid by the employer in the period they fall due and no payments are made to fund benefits earned in the period. The FRS requires a liability to be recognised as the benefits are earned, not when they are due to be paid. The fact that the employer is funded by central government (or any other body) is not a reason for the employer not to recognise its own liabilities arising under the FRS.**
- 6 Reporting entities applying the Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities currently applicable are exempt from the FRS.**

## Defined Contribution Schemes

- 7 The cost of a defined contribution scheme is equal to the contributions payable to the scheme for the accounting period. The cost should be recognised within operating profit in the profit and loss account.**

## Multi-Employer Schemes

- 8 Where more than one employer participates in a defined contribution scheme, no special problems arise, since the employer's cost is limited to the contributions payable.**
- 9 Where more than one employer participates in a defined benefit scheme the employer should account for the scheme as a defined benefit scheme unless:**

**(a) the employer's contributions are set in relation to the current service period only (ie are not affected by any surplus or deficit in the scheme relating to past service of its own employees or any other members of the scheme). If this is the case, the employer should account for the contributions to the scheme as if it were a defined contribution scheme .**

**(b) the employer's contributions are affected by a surplus or deficit in the scheme but the employer is unable to identify its share of the underlying assets and liabilities in the scheme on a consistent and reasonable basis. If this is the case, the employer should account for the contributions to the scheme as if it were a defined contribution scheme but, in addition, disclose:**

- (i) the fact that the scheme is a defined benefit scheme but that the employer is unable to identify its share of the underlying assets and liabilities; and**
- (ii) any available information about the existence of the surplus or deficit in the scheme and the implications of that surplus or deficit for the employer.**

**10** Most multi-employer schemes will set contributions from employers so as to make good any deficit in the scheme and may reduce contributions to enable employers to benefit from a surplus. However, in some multi-employer schemes, an employer may have no obligation other than to pay a contribution that reflects only the benefits earned in the current period. In this case, from the point of view of the employer, the scheme is a defined contribution scheme and is accounted for as such. For this to be the case, there must be clear evidence that the employer cannot be required to pay additional contributions to the scheme relating to past service, including the existence of a third party that accepts that it has an obligation to fund the pension payments should the scheme have insufficient assets.

**11** An employer may be required to make contributions set at a level to make good any deficit but may be unable to identify its share of the underlying assets and liabilities in the scheme on a consistent and reasonable basis. This may be the case if the scheme exposes the participating employers to actuarial risks associated with the current and former employees of other entities, for example when the contributions from employers are set at a common level rather than reflecting the characteristics of the workforces of individual employers.

**12** Subsidiaries are not exempt from the **FRS** and, where possible, will account for defined benefit schemes in accordance with its requirements. However, many group schemes are run on a basis that does not enable individual companies within the group to identify their share of the underlying assets and liabilities. In these circumstances, the individual companies (including the parent company) within the group will account for the scheme as a defined contribution scheme and will give the additional disclosures required above. From the point of view of the group entity, a group defined benefit scheme is not a multi-employer scheme and is treated as any other defined benefit scheme.

### **Measurement of defined benefit schemes**

**13** Paragraphs 14-36 of the **FRS** set out the requirements for measuring the assets and liabilities within a defined benefit scheme (the scheme assets and the scheme liabilities). The recognition of an asset or liability and the movements

therein in the financial statements of the employer arising from the defined benefit scheme measured on this basis is covered in [paragraphs 37-74](#).

## **Scheme assets**

- 14 Assets in a defined benefit scheme should be measured at their fair value at the balance sheet date.**
- 15** Scheme assets include current assets as well as investments. Any liabilities such as accrued expenses should be deducted.
- 16** For quoted securities, the mid-market value is taken as the fair value. For unquoted securities, an estimate of fair value is used. The fair value of unitised securities is taken to be the average of the bid and offer prices.
- 17** Property should be valued at open market value or on another appropriate basis of valuation determined in accordance with the Appraisal and Valuation Manual published by the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors and the Practice Statements contained therein.
- 18** Insurance policies that exactly match the amount and timing of some or all of the benefits payable under the scheme should be measured at the same amount as the related obligations. For other insurance policies there are a number of possible valuation methods. A method should be chosen which gives the best approximation to fair value given the circumstances of the scheme.
- 19** Notional funding of a pension scheme does not give rise to assets in a scheme for the purposes of the **FRS**.

## **Scheme liabilities**

### **Actuarial method and assumptions**

- 20 Defined benefit scheme liabilities should be measured on an actuarial basis using the projected unit method. The scheme liabilities comprise:**
- (a) any benefits promised under the formal terms of the scheme; and**
- (b) any constructive obligations for further benefits where a public statement or past practice by the employer has created a valid expectation in the employees that such benefits will be granted.**

**Cross reference:** See also [UITF Abstract 35](#): Death-in-service and incapacity benefits.

- 21** Where the scheme rules require a surplus arising in the scheme to be shared between the employer and members (perhaps in conjunction with a similar sharing of deficits), or where past practice has established a valid expectation that this will be done, the amount that will be passed to members should be treated as increasing the scheme liabilities.
- 22** The benefits should be attributed to periods of service according to the scheme's benefit formula, except where the benefit formula attributes a disproportionate share of the total benefits to later years of service. In such cases, the benefit should be attributed on a straight-line basis over the period during which it is earned.

**Cross reference:** See also [UITF Abstract 35](#): Death-in-service and incapacity benefits.

- 23 The assumptions underlying the valuation should be mutually compatible and lead to the best estimate of the future cash flows that will arise**

**under the scheme liabilities. The assumptions are ultimately the responsibility of the directors (or equivalent) but should be set upon advice given by an actuary. Any assumptions that are affected by economic conditions (financial assumptions) should reflect market expectations at the balance sheet date.**

- 24** Because of the long-term nature of most defined benefit schemes and the inherent uncertainties affecting them, the liabilities of the scheme are measured on an actuarial basis. This involves estimating the future cash flows arising under the scheme liabilities based on a number of actuarial assumptions such as mortality rates, employee turnover rates and salary growth, then discounting the cash flows at an appropriate rate.
- 25** Some of these assumptions are affected by the same economic factors. Actuarial assumptions are mutually compatible if they reflect the underlying economic factors consistently. To be consistent with the measurement of the assets of the scheme at fair value, they must also reflect market expectations at the balance sheet date.
- 26** For example, the rate of increase in salaries and the discount rate must reflect the same rate of general inflation. In jurisdictions where there is a liquid market in long-dated inflation-linked bonds, the yields on such bonds relative to those on fixed interest bonds of similar credit standing will give an indication of the expected rate of general inflation.
- 27** **The actuarial assumptions should reflect expected future events that will affect the cost of the benefits to which the employer is committed (either legally or through a constructive obligation) at the balance sheet date.**

- 28** Expected future events that will affect the cost of the benefits include:
  - (a) any expected cost of living increases either provided for in the scheme rules, publicly announced or awarded under an established practice that creates among the employees a valid expectation of receiving them;
  - (b) in the case of pensions based on final salary, any expected salary increases; and
  - (c) expected early retirement where the employee has that right under the scheme rules.

These events affect the measurement of benefits to which the employer is committed at the balance sheet date.

- 29** Expected future redundancies are not reflected in the actuarial assumptions because the employer is not committed (either legally or constructively) to making such redundancies in advance. When the employer does become committed to making the redundancies, any impact on the defined benefit scheme is treated as a settlement and/or curtailment (see [paragraph 64](#)).
- 30** Expected future changes in the cost of retirement healthcare are particularly difficult to estimate - the cost often increases at a faster rate than either the retail price index or national earnings rate. Relevant considerations in determining the assumptions used to arrive at the retirement healthcare obligation include:
  - (a) advances in medical skills and technologies, often involving more expensive treatment;

- (b) the rise in the expectations of prospective patients; and
- (c) the effect of the above on companies, governments and insurance schemes in cutting back benefits, or making the patient pay a proportion.

**31** It is not appropriate to assume a reduction in benefits below those currently promised on the grounds that the employer will curtail the scheme at some time in the future.

#### **The discount rate**

**32 Defined benefit scheme liabilities should be discounted at a rate that reflects the time value of money and the characteristics of the liability. Such a rate should be assumed to be the current rate of return on a high quality corporate bond of equivalent currency and term to the scheme liabilities.**

**33** For this purpose, a high quality corporate bond means a bond that has been rated at the level of AA or equivalent status. The rate of return for such a bond reflects the time value of money and a small premium for risk. That premium is taken to reflect the options that the employer has to reduce the assumed scheme liabilities, including in extremis the option of closing down the scheme. If there is no liquid market in bonds of this type or duration, then a reasonable proxy should be used. This may be government bonds plus a margin for assumed credit risk spreads derived from global bond markets.

**34** Many pension schemes provide benefits at least partly linked to inflation. One way to reflect that characteristic would be to consider the return on an index-linked corporate bond. However, given that there are few such bonds in existence, a more reliable alternative is to consider fixed interest corporate bonds and increase the cash flows to be discounted in line with inflation (ie project the liability to be discounted in nominal terms). Guidance on the inflation assumption is given in [paragraph 26](#).

#### **Frequency of valuations**

**35 Full actuarial valuations by a professionally qualified actuary should be obtained for a defined benefit scheme at intervals not exceeding three years. The actuary should review the most recent actuarial valuation at the balance sheet date and update it to reflect current conditions.**

**36** The actuarial valuations required for the **FRS** may use different assumptions and measurement methods from those used for a scheme's funding valuation. Full actuarial valuations under the **FRS** are not needed at every balance sheet date. Some aspects of the valuation will need to be updated at each balance sheet date, for example the fair value of the assets and financial assumptions such as the discount rate. Other assumptions, such as the expected leaving rate and mortality rate, may not need to be updated annually.

#### **Recognition of defined benefit schemes**

##### **Recognition in the balance sheet**

**37 The surplus/deficit in a defined benefit scheme is the excess/shortfall of the value of the assets in the scheme over/below the present value of the scheme liabilities. The employer should recognise an asset to the extent that it is able to recover a surplus either through reduced contributions in the future or through refunds from the scheme. The**

**employer should recognise a liability to the extent that it reflects its legal or constructive obligation.**

**38** A surplus in the scheme gives rise to an asset of the employer to the extent that:

- (a) the employer controls its use, ie has the ability to use the surplus to generate future economic benefits for itself, either in the form of a reduction in future contributions or a refund from the scheme; and
- (b) that control is a result of past events (contributions paid by the employer and investment growth in excess of rights earned by the employees).

Usually the employer's obligation under the trust deed is to pay such contributions as the actuary believes to be necessary to keep the scheme fully funded but without building up a surplus. When a surplus arises, it is unlikely that the employer can be required to make contributions to maintain the surplus. In addition, the award of benefit improvements is also usually in the hands of the employer. Thus, in general, the employer controls the use of a surplus in the scheme.

**39** Conversely, the employer has a liability if it has a legal or constructive obligation to make good a deficit in the defined benefit scheme. In general, the employer will either have a legal obligation under the terms of the scheme trust deed or will have by its past actions and statements created a constructive obligation as defined in **FRS 12** 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets'. The legal or constructive obligation to fund the deficit should be assumed to apply to the deficit based on assumptions used under the **FRS**.

**40** In a scheme where employees as well as the employer make contributions, any deficit should be assumed to be borne by the employer unless the scheme rules require members' contributions to be increased to help fund a deficit. In this case, the present value of the required additional contributions should be treated as reducing the deficit to be recognised by the employer.

**41** In determining the asset to be recognised in accordance with [paragraph 37](#), the amount that can be recovered through reduced contributions in the future is the present value of the liability expected to arise from future service by current and future scheme members less the present value of future employee contributions. No growth in the number of active scheme members should be assumed but a declining membership should be reflected if appropriate. The amount that can be recovered should be based on the assumptions used under the **FRS**, not the funding assumptions. The present value of the reduction in future contributions is determined using the discount rate applied to measure the defined benefit liability.

**42 The amount to be recovered from refunds from the scheme should reflect only refunds that have been agreed by the pension scheme trustees at the balance sheet date.**

**43** The employer may not control or be able to benefit from the whole of a surplus - it may be so large that the employer cannot absorb it all through reduced contributions, and refunds from the scheme may be difficult to obtain.

**44** The amount recoverable through reduced contributions reflects the maximum possible to be recovered without assuming an increase in the number of employees covered by the scheme. There is no restriction on the period over which the reduction in contributions can be obtained, but the effect of discounting will increasingly reduce the impact of the reductions the further into the future they are, leading to an absolute limit on the amount that can be recognised.

**45** In practice, a surplus that potentially could be recovered will instead often be used in part to provide benefit improvements to members, thereby reducing the amount that the employer recovers through reduced contributions. The use of a potentially recoverable surplus in this way should be treated as a past service cost when it occurs (see paragraph 60) and not anticipated by reducing the amount recognised as an asset.

**46** Paragraphs 67-70 specify how the limit on the amount that can be recognised as an asset should be recognised in the performance statements.

**47 Any unpaid contributions to the scheme should be presented in the balance sheet as a creditor due within one year. The defined benefit asset or liability should be presented separately on the face of the balance sheet:**

- (a) in balance sheets of the type prescribed for companies in Great Britain\* by the [Companies Act 1985, Schedule 4](#), format 1: after item J *Accruals and deferred income* but before item K *Capital and reserves*; and**
- (b) in balance sheets of the type prescribed for companies in Great Britain\* by the [Companies Act 1985, Schedule 4](#), format 2: any asset after ASSETS item D *Prepayments and accrued income* and any liability after LIABILITIES item D *Accruals and deferred income*.**

**Where an employer has more than one scheme, the total of any defined benefit assets and the total of any defined benefit liabilities should be shown separately on the face of the balance sheet.**

---

\* The equivalent statutory provisions for Northern Ireland are in the Companies (Northern Ireland) Order 1986, Schedule 4; and for the Republic of Ireland are in the Companies (Amendment) Act 1986, the Schedule.

**48** An example of the required presentation for the defined benefit asset or liability other than any unpaid contributions is shown in Appendix I.

**49 The deferred tax relating to the defined benefit asset or liability should be offset against the defined benefit asset or liability and not included with other deferred tax assets or liabilities.**

### **Recognition in the performance statements**

**50 The change in the defined benefit asset or liability (other than that arising from contributions to the scheme) should be analysed into the following components:**

#### **Periodic costs**

- (a) the current service cost;**
- (b) the interest cost;**
- (c) the expected return on assets;**
- (d) actuarial gains and losses;**

#### **Non-periodic costs**

- (e) past service costs; and**
- (f) gains and losses on settlements and curtailments.**

## **Current service cost, interest cost and expected return on assets**

- 51 The current service cost should be based on the most recent actuarial valuation at the beginning of the period, with the financial assumptions updated to reflect conditions at that date. It should be included within operating profit in the profit and loss account (except insofar as the related employee remuneration is capitalised in accordance with another accounting standard). Any contributions from employees should be set off against the current service cost.**
- 52 The current service cost will be based on the discount rate at the beginning of the period and will therefore reflect current long-term market interest rates at that time.**
- 53 The interest cost should be based on the discount rate and the present value of the scheme liabilities at the beginning of the period. The interest cost should, in addition, reflect changes in the scheme liabilities during the period.**
- 54 The expected return on assets is based on long-term expectations at the beginning of the period and is expected to be reasonably stable. For quoted corporate or government bonds, the expected return should be calculated by applying the current redemption yield at the beginning of the period to the market value of the bonds held by the scheme at the beginning of the period. For other assets (for example, equities), the expected return should be calculated by applying the rate of return expected over the long term at the beginning of the period (given the value of the assets at that date) to the fair value of the assets held by the scheme at the beginning of the period. The expected return on assets should, in addition, reflect changes in the assets in the scheme during the period as a result of contributions paid into and benefits paid out of the scheme. The expected rate of return should be set by the directors (or equivalent) having taken advice from an actuary.**
- 55 For quoted fixed and index-linked securities, the expected return can be observed from the market. For other assets, the expected return has to be based on assumptions about the expected long-term rate of return. The rate of return expected over the long term will vary according to market conditions, but it is expected that the amount of the return will be reasonably stable.**
- 56 The net of the interest cost and the expected return on assets should be included as other finance costs (or income) adjacent to interest.**

## **Actuarial gains and losses**

- 57 Actuarial gains and losses arising from any new valuation and from updating the latest actuarial valuation to reflect conditions at the balance sheet date should be recognised in the statement of total recognised gains and losses for the period.**
- 58 Actuarial gains and losses may arise on both the defined benefit scheme liabilities and any scheme assets. They comprise:**
- (a) on the scheme assets, differences between the expected return and the actual return (for example, a sudden change in the value of the scheme assets);

- (b) on the scheme liabilities, (i) differences between the actuarial assumptions underlying the scheme liabilities and actual experience during the period and (ii) the effect of changes in actuarial assumptions; and
- (c) any adjustment necessary in accordance with paragraph 67 resulting from the limit on the amount that can be recognised as an asset in the balance sheet.

**59** Once an actuarial gain or loss has been recognised in the statement of total recognised gains and losses it is not recognised again in the profit and loss account in subsequent periods.

### **Past service costs**

**60** **Past service costs should be recognised in the profit and loss account on a straight-line basis over the period in which the increases in benefit vest. To the extent that the benefits vest immediately, the past service cost should be recognised immediately. Any unrecognised past service costs should be deducted from the scheme liabilities and the balance sheet asset or liability adjusted accordingly.**

**61** Past service costs arise when the employer makes a commitment to provide a higher level of benefit than previously promised, for example the creation of a pension benefit for a spouse where such a benefit did not previously exist or a grant of early retirement with added-on years of service.

**62** Past service costs do not include increases in the expected cost of benefits that the employer is already statutorily, contractually or implicitly committed to, for example cost of living increases to pensions in payment and deferred pensions. Such increases are covered by the actuarial assumptions and any difference between actual experience and the assumptions or the effects of any changes in the assumptions are actuarial gains and losses.

**63** Past service costs include benefit improvements awarded as a result of a surplus arising in the scheme. The fact that they are funded out of a surplus does not result in there being no cost to the employer if the surplus was potentially recoverable by the employer - the use of the surplus for benefit improvements means that the employer cannot then benefit from it in other ways.

### **Settlements and curtailments**

**64** **Losses arising on a settlement or curtailment not allowed for in the actuarial assumptions should be measured at the date on which the employer becomes demonstrably committed to the transaction and recognised in the profit and loss account covering that date. Gains arising on a settlement or curtailment not allowed for in the actuarial assumptions should be measured at the date on which all parties whose consent is required are irrevocably committed to the transaction and recognised in the profit and loss account covering that date.**

**65** Where under the scheme rules the employees have the option to retire early or transfer out of the scheme, the resulting settlements and curtailments are allowed for in the normal demographic assumptions made by the actuary and any gains and losses arising are actuarial gains and losses.

**66** In contrast, some settlements and curtailments arise from specific decisions made by an employer that are not covered by actuarial assumptions, for example major changes in the circumstances of the scheme instigated by the employer, such as the transfer of accrued benefits of some or all the members into a defined contribution scheme or a reduction in employees because of the sale or

termination of an operation. Gains and losses arising from such events are part of the employer's operating results for the period (unless they attach to one of the items shown immediately after operating profit).

#### **Impact of limit on balance sheet asset**

**67 The limit set out in paragraph 41 on the amount that can be recognised as an asset may result in there being some part of a defined benefit scheme surplus that is not recognised. Where this is the case, the amounts recognised in the performance statements should be adjusted as follows.**

**(a) First, if any refund is agreed and is covered by the unrecognised surplus, it should be recognised as other finance income adjacent to interest, with separate disclosure in the notes.**

Refunds from schemes where the whole surplus is regarded as recoverable do not give rise to gains. The cash received simply reduces the balance sheet asset (along with any related tax effect).

**(b) Next, the unrecognised surplus should be applied to extinguish past service costs or losses on settlements or curtailments that would otherwise be charged in the profit and loss account for the period, with disclosure in the notes of the items and amounts so extinguished.**

**(c) Next, the expected return on assets should be restricted so that it does not exceed the total of the current service cost, interest cost (and any past service costs and losses on settlements and curtailments not covered by the unrecognised surplus) and any increase in the recoverable surplus.**

**(d) Finally, any further adjustment necessary should be treated as an actuarial gain or loss.**

**68 An increase in the recoverable amount of a surplus arising from an increase in the active membership of the scheme should be recognised as an operating gain.**

**69 An increase in the active membership can arise either from an increase in general recruitment or from the transfer of employees following an acquisition. The gain arising in the latter case is a post-acquisition operating gain, not an adjustment to the purchase price and goodwill.**

**70 A decrease in the recoverable amount of a surplus arising from a fall in the active membership should be treated as an actuarial loss unless it arises from an event not covered by the assumptions underlying the amount originally regarded as recoverable, for example a settlement or curtailment. If it does arise from such an event, it should be treated as part of the loss arising on that event.**

#### **Tax**

**71 When current tax relief arises on contributions made to a defined benefit scheme, it should be allocated to the profit and loss account or statement of total recognised gains and losses on the basis that the contribution covers first the items reported in the profit and loss account and then any actuarial losses reported in the statement of total recognised gains and losses, unless it is clear that some other allocation**

**is more appropriate. To the extent that the contribution exceeds these items, the current tax relief attributable to the excess should be allocated to the profit and loss account, again unless it is clearly more appropriate to allocate it to the statement of total recognised gains and losses.**

**72** Current tax relief is usually available on contributions paid to the scheme and deferred tax usually arises on the balance of the charges/credits. The tax follows the relevant item, ie tax on the service cost, interest cost and expected return on assets will be recognised in the profit and loss account and tax on the actuarial gains and losses will be recognised in the statement of total recognised gains and losses. **FRS 16** 'Current Tax' requires disclosure of the current tax recognised in the profit and loss account and statement of total recognised gains and losses. The question arises of where the current tax relief arising on contributions should be deemed to belong. Sometimes it will be clear what the contribution relates to, for example when a special contribution is made to fund a deficit arising from an identifiable cause, say an actuarial loss, in which case the current tax relief should be allocated to the statement of total recognised gains and losses. In the absence of a clear link between the contribution and the items recognised in the performance statements, the allocation in [paragraph 71](#) should be followed.

### **Death-in-service and incapacity benefits**

**73 A charge should be made to operating profit to reflect the expected cost of providing any death-in-service or incapacity benefits for the period. Any difference between that expected cost and amounts actually incurred should be treated as an actuarial gain or loss.**

**Cross reference:** See also [UITF Abstract 35](#): Death-in-service and incapacity benefits.

**74** Where a scheme insures the death-in-service costs, the expected cost for the accounting period is simply the premium payable for the period. Where the costs are not insured, the expected cost reflects the probability of any employees dying in the period and the benefit that would then be paid out.

**Cross reference:** See also [UITF Abstract 35](#): Death-in-service and incapacity benefits.

### **Disclosures**

#### **Defined contribution schemes**

**75 The following disclosures should be made in respect of a defined contribution scheme:**

- (a) the nature of the scheme (ie defined contribution);**
- (b) the cost for the period; and**
- (c) any outstanding or prepaid contributions at the balance sheet date.**

#### **Defined benefit schemes**

**76 The following disclosures should be made in respect of a defined benefit scheme:**

- (a) the nature of the scheme (ie defined benefit);**
- (b) the date of the most recent full actuarial valuation on which the amounts in the financial statements are based. If the actuary is an**

**employee or officer of the reporting entity, or of the group of which it is a member, this fact should be disclosed;**

- (c) the contribution made in respect of the accounting period and any agreed contribution rates for future years; and**
- (d) for closed schemes and those in which the age profile of the active membership is rising significantly, the fact that under the projected unit method the current service cost will increase as the members of the scheme approach retirement.**

**77** Paragraph 9 requires additional disclosures about some multi-employer defined benefit schemes that are accounted for as if they were defined contribution schemes.

#### **Assumptions**

**78 Each of the main financial assumptions used at the beginning of the period and at the balance sheet date should be disclosed. They should be disclosed as separate individual figures, not combined or netted. The main financial assumptions include:**

- (a) the inflation assumption;**
- (b) the rate of increase in salaries;**
- (c) the rate of increase for pensions in payment and deferred pensions; and**
- (d) the rate used to discount scheme liabilities.**

**79** The most important assumptions underlying the present value of the scheme liabilities are the rates of increase in salaries and pensions in payment and the rate of interest applied to discount the estimated cash flows arising under the liabilities. The valuation of assets in the scheme is not affected by the actuarial assumptions because the assets are measured at fair value.

#### **Fair value and expected return on assets**

**80 The fair value of the assets held by the pension scheme at the beginning and end of the period should be analysed into the following classes and disclosed together with the expected rate of return assumed for each class for the period and the subsequent period:**

- (a) equities;**
- (b) bonds; and**
- (c) other (subanalysed if material).**

**81** The assumption made for the expected return on assets does not affect the valuation of the scheme assets because they are measured at fair value. It does, however, determine the amount to be recognised in the profit and loss account.

#### **Components of the defined benefit cost**

**82 The following amounts included within operating profit (or capitalised with the relevant employee remuneration) should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements:**

- (a) the current service cost;**
- (b) any past service costs;**
- (c) any previously unrecognised surplus deducted from the past service costs;**
- (d) gains and losses on any settlements or curtailments; and**
- (e) any previously unrecognised surplus deducted from the settlement or curtailment losses.**

**83 Any gains and losses on settlements or curtailments (and any previously unrecognised surplus deducted from the losses) included within a separate item after operating profit should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.**

**84 The following amounts included as other finance costs (or income) should be disclosed separately in the notes to the financial statements:**

- (a) the interest cost; and**
- (b) the expected return on assets in the scheme.**

**85 The following amounts included within the statement of total recognised gains and losses should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements:**

- (a) the difference between the expected and actual return on assets;**
- (b) experience gains and losses arising on the scheme liabilities; and**
- (c) the effects of changes in the demographic and financial assumptions underlying the present value of the scheme liabilities.**

#### **History of amounts recognised in the statement of total recognised gains and losses**

**86 The notes to the financial statements should disclose, for the accounting period and previous four periods:**

- (a) the difference between the expected and actual return on assets expressed as**
  - (i) an amount and**
  - (ii) a percentage of the scheme assets at the balance sheet date;**
- (b) the experience gains and losses arising on the scheme liabilities expressed as**
  - (i) an amount and**
  - (ii) a percentage of the present value of the scheme liabilities at the balance sheet date; and**
- (c) the total actuarial gain or loss expressed as**
  - (i) an amount and**
  - (ii) a percentage of the present value of the scheme liabilities at the balance sheet date.**

**87** A consistent trend of experience losses/gains in the statement of total recognised gains and losses may indicate that the assumptions used have been over-optimistic/over-pessimistic and may cast doubt upon the reliability of the amounts reported in the profit and loss account. Where such a trend has emerged it is important that careful consideration is given to the choice of assumptions in the future.

#### **Reconciliation to the balance sheet**

**88 The fair value of the scheme assets, the present value of the scheme liabilities based on the accounting assumptions and the resulting surplus or deficit should be disclosed in a note to the financial statements.**

**Where the asset or liability in the balance sheet differs from the surplus or deficit in the scheme, an explanation of the difference should be given. An analysis of the movements during the period in the surplus or deficit in the scheme should be given.**

**89** Differences between the asset or liability in the balance sheet and the surplus or deficit in the scheme will arise because of the related deferred tax balance and also when part of a surplus or deficit has not been recognised in the balance sheet, for example when part of the surplus in the scheme is not recoverable by the employer or when past service awards have not yet vested.

#### **Analysis of reserves**

**90 The analysis of reserves in the notes to the financial statements should distinguish the amount relating to the defined benefit asset or liability net of the related deferred tax.**

#### **Comparative amounts**

**91** There is a general requirement in companies legislation and accounting standards for comparative figures to be given. It should be noted that this requirement applies to the disclosures specified in paragraphs 78 and 80 relating to the position at the beginning of the period.

#### **Entities with more than one scheme**

**92 Where an employer has more than one defined benefit scheme, disclosures may be made in total, separately for each scheme, or in such groupings as are considered to be the most useful. When an employer provides disclosures in total for a number of schemes, the assumptions should be given in the form of weighted averages or of relatively narrow ranges with any outside the range disclosed separately.**

**93** Useful groupings of schemes for disclosure purposes may be based on:

- (a) the geographical location of the schemes, for example by distinguishing UK schemes from overseas schemes; or
- (b) whether the schemes are subject to significantly different risks, for example pension schemes and retirement medical care schemes.

#### **Date from which effective and transitional arrangements**

**94 The following amounts, measured in accordance with the requirements of the FRS, should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements:**

- (a) for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2001: the disclosures required by **paragraphs 76-81** and **88-93** of the **FRS** relating to the closing balance sheet (without comparatives for the previous period);
- (b) in addition, for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2002:
  - (i) the disclosures required by **paragraphs 76-81** and **88-93** of the **FRS** relating to the opening balance sheet (without comparatives for the previous period);
  - (ii) the disclosures required by **paragraphs 82-85** of the **FRS** relating to the performance statements (without comparatives for the previous period); and
  - (iii) the disclosures required by **paragraph 86** for the current period only;
- (c) in addition, for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2003:
  - (i) the disclosures required by **paragraphs 78** and **80** for the opening balance sheet of the comparative period;
  - (ii) the disclosures required by **paragraphs 82-85** for the comparative period; and
  - (iii) the disclosures required by **paragraph 86** for periods ending on or after 22 June 2002.

**None of these amounts needs to be recognised in the primary statements in these financial statements.**

**Editorial note:** **FRS 17.94** substituted by **Amendment to FRS 17 & FRSSE**. Previously "94 The following amounts, measured in accordance with the requirements of the **FRS**, should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements: (a) for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2001: the disclosures required by paragraphs 76-81 and 88-93 of the **FRS** relating to the closing balance sheet (without comparatives for the previous period); (b) in addition, for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2002: (i) the disclosures required by paragraphs 76-81 and 88-93 of the **FRS** relating to the opening balance sheet (without comparatives for the previous period); (ii) the disclosures required by paragraphs 82-85 of the **FRS** relating to the performance statements (without comparatives for the previous period); and (iii) the disclosures required by paragraph 86 for the current period only. None of these amounts need be recognised in the primary statements in these financial statements."

**95 All the requirements of the **FRS** should be regarded as standard for accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2005. Earlier adoption is encouraged.**

**Editorial note:** **FRS 17.95** substituted by **Amendment to FRS 17 & FRSSE**. Previously "95 All the requirements of the **FRS** should be regarded as standard for accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2003. Earlier adoption is encouraged."

**96 Gains and losses arising on the initial recognition of items in the primary statements under the **FRS** should be dealt with as prior period adjustments in accordance with **FRS 3**. It is not required to create retrospectively the five-year history of amounts recognised in the statement of total recognised gains and losses beyond those figures already disclosed in financial statements under **paragraph 94** above.**

**97 FRS 7** requires the fair value of the deficit or surplus to be recognised as part of a business acquisition. This **FRS** applies the same policy in requiring the fair value of the defined benefit asset/liability to be recognised. The method of arriving at fair value under this **FRS** may be different from that previously used on acquisition, but any such difference should be treated as a change in assumptions

(ie an actuarial gain or loss) arising since acquisition. Goodwill arising on the acquisition should not, therefore, be restated.

### **Withdrawal of SSAP 24 and UITF Abstracts 6 and 18 and amendment of other accounting standards**

**98 When applied in full, the FRS supersedes SSAP 24 'Accounting for pension costs', UITF Abstract 6 'Accounting for post-retirement benefits other than pensions' and UITF Abstract 18 'Pension costs following the 1997 tax changes in respect of dividend income'.**

**99 SSAP 15 'Accounting for deferred tax' is amended as follows:**

**(a) the following sentence should be added to the end of paragraph 16**  
"An exception to this rule is required by **FRS 17** 'Retirement Benefits'."

**(b) in paragraph 32A the words "SSAP 24 'Accounting for pension costs' and UITF 6 'Accounting for post-retirement benefits other than pensions'" are replaced by "FRS 17 'Retirement Benefits'".**

**100 In FRS 5 'Reporting the Substance of Transactions', paragraph 44 is amended as follows:**

**(a) in the first sentence the words, "SSAP 24 'Accounting for pension costs'" are replaced by "FRS 17 'Retirement Benefits'".**

**(b) in the second sentence the words "SSAP 24" are replaced by "FRS 17".**

**101 FRS 7 'Fair Values in Acquisition Accounting' is amended as follows:**

**(a) in paragraph 19 the words "to the extent that it is reasonably expected to be realised" are replaced by "to the extent that it can be recovered through reduced contributions or through refunds from the scheme".**

**(b) the final sentence of paragraph 70 is deleted.**

**(c) the text of paragraph 71 is replaced by:** "The fair value of the deficiency or surplus should be measured in accordance with the requirements of **FRS 17** 'Retirement Benefits'. The extent to which a surplus can be recovered should also be determined in accordance with the requirements of **FRS 17**."

**(d) paragraph 72 is deleted.**

**(e) in the final sentence of paragraph 73 the words "SSAP 24" are replaced by "FRS 17".**

**(f) the following footnote is added to the last sentence of paragraph 42 of Appendix III:** "This requirement was amended by **FRS 17** so that a surplus is recognised to the extent that it can be recovered through reduced contributions or through refunds from the scheme."

**(g) the following footnote is added to the last sentence of paragraph 43 of Appendix III:** "SSAP 24 was superseded by **FRS 17**."

**102 FRS 12 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets' is amended as follows:**

**(a) in paragraph 8 the words "SSAP 24 'Accounting for pension costs'" are replaced by "FRS 17 'Retirement Benefits'".**

**(b) in paragraph 48 the words "a financial item adjacent to interest but should be shown separately from other interest either on the face of the profit and loss account or in a note" are replaced by "other finance costs adjacent to interest".**

**103 In **FRS 13** paragraph 5 the words "**SSAP 24** 'Accounting for pension costs' and **UITF Abstract 6** 'Accounting for post-retirement benefits other than pensions'" are replaced by "**FRS 17** 'Retirement Benefits'".**

**104 In **UITF Abstract 4** 'Presentation of long-term debtors in current assets' the following footnote is added to the end of the second sentence in paragraph 2: "Under **FRS 17** 'Retirement Benefits', the pension asset or liability will be shown separately rather than under these format headings."**

**105 In **UITF Abstract 13** 'Accounting for ESOP trusts', Appendix I, third paragraph, the words "**SSAP 24**" are replaced by "**FRS 17** 'Retirement Benefits'".**

### **Adoption of **FRS 17** by the Board**

Financial Reporting Standard **17** 'Retirement Benefits' was approved for issue by the ten members of the Accounting Standards Board.

Sir David Tweedie (Chairman);  
Allan Cook CBE (Technical Director);  
David Allvey;  
Ian Brindle;  
Dr John Buchanan;  
John Coombe;  
Huw Jones;  
Isobel Sharp;  
Professor Geoffrey Whittington;  
Ken Wild

## Appendix I - Disclosure example

### Balance sheet presentation

|   | <b>20X2</b><br>£<br>million | <b>20X1</b><br>£<br>million |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Net assets excluding pension asset        | 700                         | 650                         |
| Pension asset                             | 335                         | 143                         |
| <b>Net assets including pension asset</b> | <b>1035</b>                 | <b>793</b>                  |

### Reserves note

|   | <b>20X2</b><br>£<br>million | <b>20X1</b><br>£<br>million |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Profit and loss reserve excluding pension asset | 400                         | 350                         |
| Pension reserve                                 | 335                         | 143                         |
| <b>Profit and loss reserve</b>                  | <b>735</b>                  | <b>493</b>                  |

### Pension cost note

#### *Composition of the schemes*

The group operates a defined benefit scheme in the UK. A full actuarial valuation was carried out at 31 December 20X1 and updated to 31 December 20X2 by a qualified independent actuary. The major assumptions used by the actuary were:

|   | <b>At</b><br><b>31/12/X2</b> | <b>At</b><br><b>31/12/X1</b> | <b>At</b><br><b>31/12/X0</b> |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Rate of increase in salaries            | 4.0 %                        | 5.5 %                        | 6.5 %                        |
| Rate of increase in pensions in payment | 2.0 %                        | 3.0 %                        | 3.5 %                        |
| Discount rate                           | 4.5 %                        | 7.0 %                        | 8.5 %                        |
| Inflation assumption                    | 2.5 %                        | 4.0 %                        | 5.0 %                        |

The assets in the scheme and the expected rate of return were:

|  | Long-term rate of return expected at 31/12/X2 | Value at 31/12/X2 | Long-term rate of return expected at 31/12/X1 | Value at 31/12/X1 | Long-term rate of return expected at 31/12/X0 | Value at 31/12/X0 |
|--|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|
|  |   | £ million         |   | £ million         |   | £ million         |
|  |   |                   |   |                   |   |                   |

|  |      |               |      |              |      |              |
|--|------|---------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|
| Equities                                   | 7.3% | 1116          | 8.0% | 721          | 9.3% | 570          |
| Bonds                                      | 5.5% | 298           | 6.0% | 192          | 8.0% | 152          |
| Property                                   | 6.0% | 74            | 6.1% | 49           | 7.9% | 38           |
| <b>Total market value of assets</b>        |      | <b>1488</b>   |      | <b>962</b>   |      | <b>760</b>   |
| <b>Present value of scheme liabilities</b> |      | <b>(1009)</b> |      | <b>(758)</b> |      | <b>(668)</b> |
| <b>Surplus in the scheme</b>               |      | <b>479</b>    |      | <b>204</b>   |      | <b>92</b>    |
| <b>Related deferred tax liability</b>      |      | <b>(144)</b>  |      | <b>(61)</b>  |      | <b>(28)</b>  |
| <b>Net pension asset</b>                   |      | <b>335</b>    |      | <b>143</b>   |      | <b>64</b>    |

[Note: shaded figures not mandatory under the FRS]

#### Analysis of the amount charged to operating profit

|                               | <b>20X2</b><br>£ million | <b>20X1</b><br>£ million |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| current service cost          | 34                       | 25                       |
| Past service cost             | 12                       | -                        |
| <b>Total operating charge</b> | <b>46</b>                | <b>25</b>                |

#### Analysis of the amount credited to other finance income

|  | <b>20X2</b><br>£ million | <b>20X1</b><br>£ million |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Expected return on pension scheme assets | 73                       | 68                       |
| Interest on pension scheme liabilities   | (53)                     | (57)                     |
| <b>Net return</b>                        | <b>20</b>                | <b>11</b>                |

### Analysis of amount recognised in statement of total recognised gains and losses (STRGL)

|   | <b>20X2</b><br>£<br>millio<br>n | <b>20X1</b><br>£<br>millio<br>n |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Actual return less expected return on pension scheme assets                   | 480                             | 138                             |
| Experience gains and losses arising on the scheme liabilities                 | (58)                            | (6)                             |
| Changes in assumptions underlying the present value of the scheme liabilities | (146)                           | (41)                            |
| Actuarial gain recognised in STRGL  | <u>276</u>                      | <u>91</u>                       |

### Movement in surplus during the year

|  | <b>20X2</b><br>£<br>millio<br>n | <b>20X1</b><br>£<br>millio<br>n |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Surplus in scheme at beginning of the year | 204                             | 92                              |
| Movement in year:                          |                                 |                                 |
| current service cost                       | (34)                            | (25)                            |
| Contributions                              | 25                              | 35                              |
| Past service costs                         | (12)                            | -                               |
| Other finance income                       | 20                              | 11                              |
| Actuarial gain                             | <u>276</u>                      | <u>91</u>                       |
| Surplus in scheme at end of the year       | <u>479</u>                      | <u>204</u>                      |

The full actuarial valuation at 31 December 20X1 showed an increase in the surplus from £92 million to £204 million. Improvements in benefits costing £12 million were made in 20X2 and contributions reduced to £25 million (8 per cent of pensionable pay). It has been agreed with the trustees that contributions for the next three years will remain at that level.

### History of experience gains and losses

|  | <b>20X2</b> | <b>20X1</b> | <b>20X0</b> | <b>20W9</b> | <b>20W8</b> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <b>Difference between the expected and actual return on scheme assets:</b> |             |             |             |             |             |
| <b>amount (£ million)</b>  | 480         | 138         | (6)         | 94          | (73)        |
| <b>percentage of scheme assets</b>   | 32%         | 14%         | (1%)        | 16%         | (26%)       |

**Experience gains and losses on scheme liabilities:**

|                           |      |     |    |    |      |
|---------------------------|------|-----|----|----|------|
| <b>amount (£ million)</b> | (58) | (6) | 34 | 25 | (23) |
|---------------------------|------|-----|----|----|------|

|  |      |      |    |    |      |
|--|------|------|----|----|------|
| <b>percentage of the present value of the scheme liabilities</b> | (6%) | (1%) | 5% | 2% | (2%) |
|--|------|------|----|----|------|

**Total amount recognised in statement of total recognised gains and losses:**

|                           |     |    |   |    |       |
|---------------------------|-----|----|---|----|-------|
| <b>amount (£ million)</b> | 276 | 91 | 1 | 66 | (158) |
|---------------------------|-----|----|---|----|-------|

|  |     |     |    |    |             |
|--|-----|-----|----|----|-------------|
| <b>percentage of the present value of the scheme liabilities</b> | 27% | 12% | 0% | 5% | 5%<br>(14%) |
|--|-----|-----|----|----|-------------|

## Appendix II - Note on legal requirements

### Great Britain

- 1** The statutory requirements relating to the presentation of pension costs in company accounts are set out in the Companies Act 1985. The relevant requirements are contained in Schedule 4 and are summarised below. Schedule 4 to the Act does not apply to banking and insurance companies and groups, nor to small companies to the extent that they choose instead to comply with the reduced requirements set out in Schedule 8. Requirements corresponding to those of Schedule 4 are set out for banking companies and groups in Schedule 9 and for insurance companies and groups in Schedule 9A.
- 2** The specific references in Schedule 4 include the following:
  - (a) the balance sheet formats include a heading: "Provisions for liabilities and charges: 1 Pensions and similar obligations".
  - (b) the profit and loss formats 2 and 4 include a heading:  
"Staff costs:
    - (a) wages and salaries
    - (b) social security costs
    - (c) other pension costs".
  - (c) When profit and loss formats 1 and 3 are used, paragraph 56(4) requires the information in (b) to be disclosed.
- 3** Pension costs are defined in paragraph 94 of Schedule 4 as follows:

"Pension costs" includes any costs incurred by the company in respect of any pension scheme established for the purpose of providing pensions for persons currently or formerly employed by the company, any sums set aside for the future payment of pensions directly by the company to current or former employees and any pensions paid directly to such persons without having first been set aside.'
- 4** Paragraph 50(4) requires disclosure of particulars of any pension commitments under any provision shown in the company's balance sheet and any such commitments for which no provision has been made.
- 5** The requirements in the FRS regarding the recognition of the amounts arising from a defined benefit scheme are that:
  - (a) the service cost should be presented within operating profit in the profit and loss account;
  - (b) the interest cost and expected return on assets should be presented as a net financial item in the profit and loss account;
  - (c) actuarial gains and losses should be recognised in the statement of total recognised gains and losses; and
  - (d) the net pension asset or liability should be presented separately on the face of the balance sheet following other net assets and before capital and reserves.

- 6** The Board has received legal advice that these requirements do not contravene the Companies Act 1985 but that the interest cost and expected return should be presented in a new format heading separate from "interest and similar charges". Accordingly the FRS requires these items to be included as other finance costs (or income) adjacent to interest.

## Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland

- 7** The relevant references to companies legislation in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland are as follows

| Great Britain                   | Northern Ireland                                     | Republic of Ireland  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Companies Act 1985: Schedule 4: | Companies (Northern Ireland) Order 1986: Schedule 4: | The Schedule to the Companies (Amendment) Act 1986:                      |
| paragraph 8                     | paragraph 8  | paragraph 3  |
| paragraph 50(4)                 | paragraph 50(4)                                      | paragraph 36(4)  |
| paragraph 56(4)                 | paragraph 56(4)                                      | paragraph 42(2)  |
| paragraph 94                    | paragraph 92   | paragraph 74*  |
| Schedule 8                      | Schedule 8   | no equivalent  |
| Schedule 9                      | Schedule 9   | European Communities (Credit Institutions: Accounts) Regulations 1992    |
| Schedule 9A                     | Schedule 9A  | European Communities (Insurance Undertakings: Accounts) Regulations 1996 |

---

\* Note The definition of pension costs in the Republic of Ireland legislation is slightly different from that in the UK legislation (see paragraph 3) and is as follows: '..."pension costs" include any other contributions by a company for the purposes of any pension scheme established for the purpose of providing pensions for persons employed by the company, any sum set aside for that purpose and any amounts paid by the company in respect of pensions without first being so set aside'

## Appendix III - Compliance with International Accounting Standards

1. The requirements for retirement benefit costs are included in International Accounting Standard (IAS) 19 (revised 1998) 'Employee Benefits'. The requirements of the FRS are consistent with [IAS 19](#) (revised) in most respects. The only major difference is the recognition of actuarial gains and losses.
2. The FRS requires actuarial gains and losses to be recognised, immediately they occur, in the statement of total recognised gains and losses. [IAS 19](#) (revised) requires actuarial gains and losses to be recognised in the profit and loss account to the extent that they exceed 10 per cent of the greater of the gross assets or gross liabilities in the scheme.\* Recognition of actuarial gains and losses exceeding the 10 per cent corridor may be spread forward over the expected average remaining working lives of the employees participating in the scheme.

\* Recognition of actuarial gains and losses within the 10 per cent corridor is allowed but not required.

3. The structure for reporting financial performance is more developed in the UK and the Republic of Ireland than under IASs: a second performance statement - the statement of total recognised gains and losses - was introduced by FRS 3 'Reporting Financial Performance' in 1992, whereas no such statement is used in practice under IASs. For the reasons set out in Appendix IV paragraphs 34-47, the Board believes that immediate recognition in the statement of total recognised gains and losses is a major improvement from the traditional treatment of spreading actuarial gains and losses forward in the profit and loss account.
4. There is some indication that the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) may also wish to follow this route once it has moved forward with its work on reporting financial performance.\* In [IAS 19](#) (revised), Appendix 3 'Basis for Conclusions' discusses the option of immediate recognition of actuarial gains and losses in a second performance statement. It states that: "the [IASC] Board found the immediate recognition approach attractive. However, the [IASC] Board believes that it is not feasible to use this approach for actuarial gains and losses until the [IASC] Board resolves substantial issues about performance reporting. When the [IASC] Board makes further progress with those issues, it may decide to revisit the treatment of actuarial gains and losses."

\* IASC is currently (November 2000) working on a project on reporting financial performance.

## Appendix IV - The development of the FRS

### Background to the FRS

1. The **FRS** has been developed from the proposals set out in [FRED 20](#) 'Retirement Benefits', which was published in November 1999. [FRED 20](#) was itself the result of many years' deliberations by the Board in which a number of factors were influential, in particular:
  - (a) concerns in the UK about the existing standard, [SSAP 24](#) 'Accounting for pension costs';
  - (b) the trend internationally towards the use of fair values for pension cost accounting; and

(c) the move within the UK actuarial profession away from traditional actuarial valuation methodologies to a greater use of market values.

**2** The main concerns about [SSAP 24](#) were:

- (a) there were too many options available to the preparers of accounts, leading to inconsistency in accounting practice and allowing a great deal of flexibility to adjust results on a short-term basis; and
- (b) the disclosure requirements did not necessarily ensure that the pension cost and related amounts in the balance sheet were adequately explained.

**3** In response to these concerns, in June 1995 the Board published a Discussion Paper 'Pension Costs in the Employer's Financial Statements' which set out two contrasting approaches to accounting for pension costs:

- (a) an actuarial approach, which relied on actuarial measurement of pension scheme assets but removed many of the options in [SSAP 24](#) and enhanced the disclosure requirements; and
- (b) a market value approach, which was based on measuring the pension scheme assets at market value.

**4** The Discussion Paper noted that the Board's initial view was that the actuarial approach was preferable. The market value approach was included because the Board was aware that the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) was likely to propose such an approach and the Board wished to gauge UK reaction to it.

**5** IASC published an exposure draft, E54, in October 1996 and a revised standard was issued in February 1998. As expected, [IAS 19](#) (revised 1998) 'Employee Benefits' adopts a market value approach that is very similar to the US standard, FAS 87.

**6** The Board set out its views on [IAS 19](#) (revised) in a Discussion Paper 'Aspects of Accounting for Pension Costs', published in July 1998. It explained that the Board did not believe that there were sufficient reasons to stand out against the global trend to a market value approach as long as such an approach could be developed in a way that did not introduce undue volatility into the profit and loss account. It was clear that a pensions standard based on actuarial values for assets would be regarded internationally as weak and would not be an approach that other standard-setters would follow. Given this, and the increasing use of market values by the actuarial profession, it concluded that the UK and the Republic of Ireland should move into line with international practice and use market values rather than actuarial values for scheme assets. This view was accepted by a majority of the respondents to the Discussion Paper.

**7** The Discussion Paper then set out some options for how the Board might proceed in developing a standard based on market values. [FRED 20](#) took forward some of those options, and they are now embodied in the **FRS**, as explained below. The resulting main changes from [SSAP 24](#) are:

- (a) measuring pension scheme assets: a move from using an actuarial basis to using market values (this is consistent with [IAS 19](#) (revised) and FAS 87\*).
- (b) the discount rate for scheme liabilities: a move from using the expected rate of return on the scheme assets to a rate that reflects the characteristics of the liabilities (resulting in the use of a high quality corporate bond rate, again consistently with [IAS 19](#) (revised) and FAS 87).

- (c) recognition of actuarial gains and losses: a move from gradual recognition of such gains and losses in the profit and loss account to immediate recognition in the statement of total recognised gains and losses (an approach that **IAS 19** (revised) indicated a willingness to revisit once further developments have taken place in the IASC project on reporting financial performance (see Appendix III) and which the G4+1 has also supported in general terms†).
- (d) as a consequence of (c), the balance sheet shows a pension liability or asset equal to the deficit or recoverable surplus in the scheme.

† \* However, FAS 87 allows the market values to be averaged over a period up to five years, which the **FRS** and **IAS 19** (revised) do not.

† The G4+1 is a group of representatives of the national standard-setters of Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the UK and the USA, and of IASC. In the communiqué issued by the G4+1 after its meeting in April 2000, the group expressed support for the direction of the conclusions in **FRED 20**.

- 8** The Board believes that these changes, as well as moving practice in the UK and the Republic of Ireland more into line with international practice, reflect the underlying economics of providing defined benefit promises. The detailed reasoning behind the changes is set out below.
- 9** In practical terms, the Board believes that the **FRS** will, when implemented, make the reported amounts for retirement benefits more transparent and easier to understand. The pension scheme assets and liabilities will be measured at fair value. The balance sheet will show the surplus/deficit in the scheme to the extent that the employer expects to benefit/suffer from it. The profit and loss account will show the ongoing service cost, interest cost and expected return on assets while the market fluctuations will be recorded in the statement of total recognised gains and losses.

## Measurement of scheme assets and scheme liabilities

### Scheme assets

- 10** As noted above, the Board did not believe that there were sufficient reasons for the UK to differ from the rest of the world by measuring scheme assets at an actuarial value that did not equal fair value. In addition, and perhaps more importantly, it was clear that substantial changes were taking place within the actuarial profession relating to the traditional actuarial methodologies for measuring assets in a pension scheme. Of the actuaries responding to the 1995 Discussion Paper, all but one supported the use of actuarial valuations. Of the actuaries responding to the 1998 Discussion Paper, all but one supported the use of market values. Given this, and the advantages of market values in terms of objectivity and understandability, the Board believes there is no credible alternative to their use.

### Scheme liabilities

- 11** Ideally, under a market value approach, the scheme liabilities would, like the scheme assets, be measured at market value. However, there is no active market for most defined benefit scheme liabilities. Their fair value has therefore to be estimated using actuarial techniques. There are two families of actuarial methods for valuing defined benefit liabilities: accrued benefits methods and prospective benefits methods. The difference between them lies in their treatment of the time value of money. Under an accrued benefits method each period is allocated its share of the eventual undiscounted cost, the liability arising from the costs to date is discounted and the discount unwinds in the normal manner over the employee's service life. This results in a higher cost at the end of an employee's service life than at the beginning because the effect of discounting the cost

lessens as the employee approaches retirement. Under a prospective benefits method, the total cost including all the interest that will accrue is spread evenly over the employee's service life.

This does not represent the economic reality that, because of the time value of money, the cost of providing a defined benefit increases nearer retirement and such valuation methods do not, therefore, approximate the fair value of the liability. For this reason, the **FRS** requires the use of an accrued benefits method.

- 12** The **FRS** requires the defined benefit liability to be the best estimate of the present value of the amount that will actually be paid out. For this to be the case, all expected changes in factors affecting the payments should be taken into account. For final salary liabilities, the liability will therefore be based on the expected final salary, not the current salary. Some argue that this is not consistent with **FRS 12 'Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets'** because the employer has some control over the future increases in salary and hence does not have a present obligation relating to those increases. However, there is a difference between a present commitment to pay a pension based on present salary and a present commitment to pay a pension based on final salary, which the Board believes should be reflected in the measurement of the liabilities. The use of expected final salaries is also consistent with **IAS 19** (revised) and FAS 87. For retirement health-care liabilities, calculating the best estimate of the payments to be made in the future means taking into account expected changes in the cost of medical care.

#### **The discount rate**

- 13** In the UK, actuaries have traditionally discounted the liabilities in a defined benefit scheme at the expected rate of return on the assets in the scheme (prudently estimated). **IAS 19** (revised) and FAS 87 require the use of a high quality corporate bond rate.
- 14** The Board believes that the discount rate should reflect the time value of money and the risk associated with the liability. The view put forward in the Discussion Paper published in 1998 was that such a rate could be determined by looking at the rate of return on matching assets. (If the assets exactly matched the liability they must have the same fair value and hence the discount rate appropriate for the liability must be the same as the rate of return on the asset.) Matching assets were expected to be:
- (a) for pensions fixed in monetary terms, fixed rate government bonds;
  - (b) for index-linked pensions in payment and deferred pensions, index-linked government bonds;
  - (c) for final salary liabilities, a portfolio containing some element of equity investments.
- 15** However, later research conducted by the Faculty and Institute of Actuaries demonstrated from past data that the correlation between equities and salaries had not been close and that the best match for final salary liabilities was probably index-linked bonds.
- 16** Some argue that even if there is no close correlation between equity and salary growth, it is appropriate to use the expected return on equities as the discount rate if the scheme is invested therein because, over the long term, that return is relatively secure. However, the higher return expected on equities is a reward for the risk involved in equity investment. Unless the risk matches that associated with the liabilities, discounting the liabilities at the higher return anticipates the

expected benefit of equity investment without recognising the risks involved. The higher return should instead be recognised as it is earned over the period the equities are held.

- 17** On the other hand, although index-linked bonds seem to have been a better match for final salary liabilities, they are not a perfect match and an index-linked bond discount rate would ignore some important aspects of a final salary pension liability, for example the uncertainty of the amounts ultimately to be paid out. The Board has therefore decided not to try to find matching assets but to build up the discount rate from its components. As noted above, it believes that, if possible, the discount rate should reflect:
- (a) the time value of money (given by the rate of return on an investment regarded as being risk-free); and
  - (b) the risks associated with the liability because of the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate cash payments due.
- 18** The **FRS** requires the assumptions to reflect the best estimate of the ultimate cash flows. The resulting liability is clearly subject to uncertainty - the ultimate cash flows are not contractually fixed and will depend on final salaries, length of retirement etc. The uncertainty of the future cash outflows might be expected to make the liability more onerous - most entities are risk-averse and would prefer to avoid the possibility that the cash flows might be more than expected.
- 19** However, in many defined benefit schemes, the employer has the option of preventing the cash flows being greater than expected and even of reducing the cash flows if necessary (eg if investment performance has been consistently poor for a long period). These options exist because the best estimate of the cash flows will include expected benefit increases likely to be granted by the employer such as (i) increases in pensions in payment and deferred pensions at above the minimum required by statute or the scheme rules and (ii) increases in benefits arising from salary increases for active members over and above the rate applicable if they left service (it is assumed that an employer would, over any substantial period, have to increase salaries by at least the indexing rate applied to deferred pensions). Although the employer expects to give these increases, they are not guaranteed. If necessary the employer could, in many cases, give lower than expected increases in benefits and give lower than expected salary increases. In extremis, the employer could even close the scheme down.
- 20** These options are a crucial factor in the operation of UK defined benefit schemes and the level of benefits that is given. Employers' willingness to provide the expected benefits is often based, at least partly, on the assumption that the liability can be funded in equities. The expectation is that a higher return on equities compared with that on less risky investments will make such promises affordable. The employer can bear the risk associated with the higher return because, if equities were to under-perform for a long period, the options described above allow the employer to take action to mitigate the financial impact.
- 21** These options make the liability less onerous and can be reflected by using a discount rate higher than a risk-free rate. In principle, the premium over the risk-free rate should vary from scheme to scheme (and within schemes), reflecting the differing levels of discretion that exist for different scheme liabilities. However, assessing the appropriate premium is difficult and subjective. In the interests of objectivity and international harmonisation, the Board has therefore decided to adopt a standard discount rate: the rate of return on a high quality corporate bond, ie one rated at the level of AA or equivalent status. This includes

a small premium above the risk-free rate, which can be regarded as reflecting the options open to the employer to limit the pension scheme liabilities.

**22** Reflecting these options in the discount rate is not inconsistent with the proposal in paragraph 31 of the **FRS** that it is not appropriate to assume a reduction in benefits below those currently promised. It is not appropriate to assume that a curtailment of the scheme will take place in the future but it is appropriate to reflect the value of the option to make that curtailment.

### Frequency of valuations

**23** The **FRS** requires the actuarial valuation to be updated at each balance sheet date to reflect current conditions. The Board does not believe that this imposes an excessively onerous or impracticable burden on preparers of accounts for two reasons.

- (a) The figures in the profit and loss account are based on assumptions at the beginning of the period, and will therefore be known before the balance sheet date. It is only the figures in the statement of total recognised gains and losses and the balance sheet that depend on the valuation updated at the balance sheet date.
- (b) Unless there have been major changes to the scheme, only the financial assumptions and the fair value of the assets need to be updated at the balance sheet date. The actuarial profession is preparing guidance on what the annual update should involve.

### Recognition in the balance sheet

**24** Pension schemes will not usually be subsidiary (or quasi-subsidiary) undertakings of the employer because defined benefit schemes are controlled by the trustees, not the employer. It is not, therefore, appropriate to consolidate the scheme into the employer's financial statements. A pension scheme can give rise to assets and liabilities of the employer but these are not the gross amounts of the pension scheme assets and liabilities - the employer does not control the assets nor is it directly liable for the pension payments. Instead, the employer has a pension asset or liability to the extent that it is entitled to benefit from any surplus or has a legal or constructive obligation to make good any deficit.

**25** Pension schemes differ in this respect from employee share ownership plans (ESOPs). The key difference lies in the control that the employer has over the trust. ESOP trusts are such that the actions that the trustees can take are very limited - the ESOP exists only to hold the sponsoring company's own shares for future distribution to employees. ESOP trusts are designed to ensure that there is minimal risk in practice that the trustees would act other than in accordance with the sponsoring company's wishes. The sponsoring company has, in effect, de facto control. In contrast, for a pension scheme, the trustees' rights and duties are much wider. The employer cannot in practice ensure that the trustees will act as it would wish in many significant areas and, hence, does not control the assets and liabilities in the scheme.

**26** Many respondents to **FRED 20** questioned whether a surplus in the pension scheme should give rise to any asset in the balance sheet of the employer. Their view was that the employer did not own or control the surplus in the scheme and, hence, it was not appropriate to recognise an asset. The Board's view is that the employer has an asset if it has the right to reduce its contributions in the future. It is unlikely that an employer could be required to make contributions to a

scheme in order to maintain a surplus. Accordingly, in general, a surplus will give rise to an asset for the employer.

- 27** The amount recognised as an asset cannot, of course, exceed the amount that the employer can recover and such a limit is included in the **FRS**. The limit reflects the maximum that can be recovered through reduced contributions together with any refunds that have been agreed at the balance sheet date. Some argue that the reductions in contributions must be assessed in relation to the funding assumptions rather than the accounting assumptions because it is in relation to funding assumptions alone that the trustees of the scheme will agree to any such reductions. It is true that the trustees will set the contributions based on the funding assumptions, but over the life of the scheme the accounting and funding assumptions must come together. The delay in accessing the surplus does not affect its measurement because, in the period where the company is still making contributions based on funding assumptions, the accounting surplus will be growing because of the return earned by the excess assets in the scheme with the result that the surplus that the employer will eventually recover through reduced contributions in future will be larger. In present value terms (which is how the surplus is measured), the amount by which the employer can benefit is the same.
- 28** Furthermore, the assumptions required by the **FRS** are a best estimate. Funding assumptions may well build in an element of prudence. It is not appropriate to reflect an arbitrary element of prudence in the measurement of the pension asset for financial reporting purposes.

## Recognition in the performance statements

### Analysis of pension cost

- 29** The **FRS** requires the ongoing defined benefit cost to be analysed into (i) the service cost (ii) the interest cost and (iii) the expected return on assets, with (ii) and (iii) presented as finance costs (or income). The Board believes that including the interest cost and the expected return on assets with the service cost within operating activities distorts the operating cost that is shown. For example, the pension cost recorded for an unfunded scheme would be higher than that recorded for a funded scheme with exactly the same pension obligations. This does not properly reflect the fact that the pension in both cases costs the same, it is only the funding policy that is different. The interest cost and expected return are matters relating to the financing of the pension promise. The Board believes that the three components of the pension cost and their underlying economic nature are well accepted and understood and, hence, should be reflected in their presentation in the profit and loss account.

### Expected return on assets

- 30** Although the Board wishes to move to market values for retirement benefit accounting, it does not believe that it would be appropriate for the short-term volatility associated with equity returns to be reflected in the profit and loss account. Rather, the profit and loss account should reflect the long-term return that equities are expected to produce with any fluctuations around that return shown in the statement of total recognised gains and losses. The rationale for this view is explained further below (see paragraph 37).
- 31** In practice, it is difficult to judge the long-term rate of return on equities at any particular date, given that it needs to reflect the current state of the market. The **FRS**, therefore, requires the disclosure of an analysis of the assets in the scheme and the expected rates of return assumed so that users may assess the

assumptions and calculate the effects of making different assumptions. It is to be expected that those using rates at the extremes of the range at any particular date will come under close scrutiny and possible challenge.

- 32** The higher long-term return expected on equities compensates for the uncertainty over the return. [FRED 20](#) noted that some believe, therefore, that it is not appropriate to recognise the expected higher long-term return in the profit and loss account every year with the fluctuations around the return going to the statement of total recognised gains and losses. Doing so separates the reward for risk (the expected higher return) from the results of taking the risk (the variability in the actual return). It was suggested that an alternative approach would be to record in the profit and loss account a risk-free return on assets (removing the effects of risk to the statement of total recognised gains and losses completely).
- 33** There was almost no support for this alternative approach in the responses to [FRED 20](#) and it has therefore not been taken forward in the [FRS](#).

#### **Recognition of actuarial gains and losses**

- 34** SSAP 24 required actuarial gains and losses (variations from regular cost) to be recognised gradually over the service lives of the employees. In the 1995 Discussion Paper, under the alternative market value approach, a different treatment was proposed. The profit and loss account would be charged with the cost of pensions earned in the period. Actuarial gains and losses would be recorded in the statement of total recognised gains and losses.
- 35** This approach was explored in more detail in the 1998 Discussion Paper and in [FRED 20](#). It is based on the view that items of financial performance should be grouped together according to their characteristics. The Board's approach was set out in detail in its Discussion Paper 'Reporting Financial Performance: proposals for change' (June 1999). That Paper explained that, where gains and losses arise predominantly from price changes and relate to assets and liabilities that are held not with a view to benefiting directly from changes in their value but because they are needed for the employer's operating activities (eg a head office), it would be misleading to include those gains and losses within operating profit. Instead, they should be reported as 'other' gains and losses, ie at present within the statement of total recognised gains and losses rather than the profit and loss account.
- 36** The Board expects to publish shortly a FRED on reporting financial performance. The proposals in the FRED on the reporting of holding gains and losses will be consistent with those in the Discussion Paper noted above.
- 37** The Board regards actuarial gains and losses as similar in nature to revaluation gains and losses on fixed assets. In relation to the assets in the pension scheme, they are held with a view to producing a relatively secure long-term return that will assist in financing the pension cost. The length of the term, coupled with the options available to the employer to restrict the liability in extreme circumstances, mean that much of the fluctuations in market values does not affect the relatively stable cash flows between the employer and its pension scheme. Market fluctuations are incidental to the main purpose of the pension scheme just as the revaluation gains and losses on a fixed asset are incidental to its main operating role. They are therefore best reported within the statement of total recognised gains and losses.
- 38** On the scheme liabilities side, the effect of both experience gains and losses and changes in actuarial assumptions is to update the liabilities to reflect current

conditions consistent with the current market value used to measure the assets. As with fixed assets, where the profit and loss account reflects the current depreciation charge, so for scheme liabilities the profit and loss account reflects the service cost and interest cost of providing the pension promise. Subsequent changes in the value of the liabilities are generally related to financial assumptions and are caused by general changes in economic conditions. These fluctuations of the liabilities to reflect current market conditions are, like the market value fluctuations of the assets, incidental to the main operating business of the employer.

- 39** In the periods after their recognition in the statement of total recognised gains and losses, actuarial gains and losses do not change in nature to become operating costs. They should not, therefore, be 'recycled' by recognition in the profit and loss account in later years. (An additional, pragmatic, reason for not recycling the gains and losses is that doing so would introduce volatility into the profit and loss account. Actuarial gains and losses arising under a market value approach are such that, even when spread over the remaining service lives of the employees, they would cause significant fluctuations in the total amount charged to the profit and loss account. Further, there would be problems in knowing how to allocate the recycled amount between operating and financial costs.)
- 40** In addition to the fact that this approach is consistent with its views on reporting financial performance, the Board prefers immediate recognition in the statement of total recognised gains and losses to the spreading approach required under [SSAP 24](#) for the following reasons.
- (a) The balance sheet reflects the surplus (to the extent that the employer can benefit from it) or deficit (to the extent that the employer is obliged to fund it) in the scheme based on the latest actuarial valuation. These amounts meet the Board's definitions of assets and liabilities of the employer. In contrast, under [SSAP 24](#), some actuarial gains and losses were not recognised at the balance sheet date. In a market value model, there is no conceptual reason to defer the recognition of these gains and losses. Deferral means that the asset/liability in the balance sheet does not equal the recoverable surplus or the deficit in the scheme. In fact, it was not uncommon under [SSAP 24](#) for a deficit in the scheme to give rise to a supposed asset in the balance sheet which built up as the deficit was funded faster than it was recognised. Such figures do not meet the Board's definition of assets.
  - (b) The figures in the balance sheet and performance statements are transparent and easy to understand.
  - (c) The complex and arbitrary rules needed to govern spreading gains and losses forward are not required.
- 41** The main concerns expressed about this approach in the responses to the FRED were the following.
- (a) The figures in the statement of total recognised gains and losses and balance sheet can be large and volatile. They will distort the financial statements of the employer and will not be understood by users of the accounts.
  - (b) Some gains and losses are never recorded in the profit and loss account. This concern had two aspects:
    - (i) Some believed that all gains and losses (in particular, all losses) should be recorded in the profit and loss account at some point. Doing so is necessary for the profit and loss account to show the true margins achieved by the employer.

(ii) Others accepted the distinction in principle between actuarial gains and losses and operating costs but were concerned at the possibility of understating the costs that should be reflected in the profit and loss account. Over-optimistic actuarial assumptions could lead to lower service and interest costs in the profit and loss account, while the difference between the assumptions and actual experience would be reflected as a loss in the statement of total recognised gains and losses.

**42** In relation to the point (a), the Board believes that users of accounts are sufficiently sophisticated to view the figures in their proper context. It is important to remember that the amounts reported in the statement of total recognised gains and losses *in any one period* have relatively little significance and should not necessarily cause concern. What matters is *the pattern that emerges over a number of years*. For example, if a substantial actuarial loss arises in one year, but then reverses over the next few years, there may well be no impact on future cash flows. If, on the other hand, the loss does not reverse and perhaps even is repeated, then it is more likely that additional contributions to the pension scheme will be required. Repeated gains or losses may also imply that pension costs in the future will be lower or higher as experience causes the actuary to change his assumptions. These trends will be highlighted by the disclosure of a five-year history of actuarial gains and losses.

**43** The different context in which the figures in the statement of total recognised gains and losses and balance sheet need to be viewed is also highlighted by their position in the accounts: the actuarial gains and losses are reported in the statement of total recognised gains and losses, not the profit and loss account (or earnings per share), and the pension asset/liability is presented at the foot of the balance sheet separately from and after all other net assets.

**44.** It is of note that all the users responding to [FRED 20](#) supported the approach in the FRED.

**45** The Board's view on the fact that the approach in the **FRS** does not report actuarial gains and losses in the profit and loss account at any time (paragraph 41(b)(i)) is that this is entirely in line with the approach to reporting financial performance set out in the Board's Discussion Paper on the subject - some gains and losses have different characteristics from those that arise from the employer's mainstream operating activities and it is therefore appropriate for them to be reported separately. This does not imply that they are unimportant or can be disregarded in assessing the employer's performance. It is simply a reflection of the fact that they are different in nature from operating gains and losses.

**46** The Board accepts that the concern about understating the costs in the profit and loss account is valid (paragraph 41(b)(ii)), although as, with experience, more attention than hitherto is paid to gains and losses reported in the statement of total recognised gains and losses, such manipulation will become less effective. In the meantime, the five-year history of actuarial gains and losses will separately highlight experience gains and losses so that users of the accounts are aware when actuarial assumptions are consistently not being met. It would be expected that, although the assumptions would probably not be met in each and every year, the experience gains and losses would over time compensate for each other. A consistent trend of experience losses (or gains) should cause the preparers of accounts and the auditors to re-examine the assumptions.

**47** It is worth noting that an approach that spreads the actuarial gains and losses forward in the profit and loss account is equally open to abuse. Although the losses arising from over-optimistic assumptions are recognised in the profit and

loss account, only a small proportion is recognised in any one year. The beneficial effects of the over-optimistic assumptions out-weigh that small proportion until the effect has built up over many (typically twelve to fifteen) years. Such a delay in the bad news hitting the accounts is likely to be more of an incentive to manipulate the assumptions than immediate recognition of the losses in the statement of total recognised gains and losses.

### Recognition of past service costs

- 48** Under [SSAP 24](#) past service costs for current employees were spread forward in the profit and loss account and past service costs for former employees were recognised immediately in the profit and loss account to the extent that they were not covered by a surplus in the scheme.
- 49** The decision to improve benefits or award new benefits in relation to past service increases the scheme liabilities immediately. If an employee left the day after the increased benefits vested (usually at the time of the award), the transfer value would reflect those increased benefits - no further service from the employee would be required to earn them. The Board does not, therefore, believe that there is any reason to defer recognition of the increased liability beyond the date the benefits vest.
- 50** This leaves the question of how the cost should be recognised in the performance statements. Many of the respondents to the FRED believed that the cost of the improved benefits should be offset against any surplus in the scheme, with only the excess cost being recognised in the profit and loss account. They argued that this properly reflects the fact that such benefit improvements may have been awarded only because there was a surplus in the scheme and therefore no cash cost to the employer.
- 51** The Board's view is that although there may be no direct cash cost, by using a surplus in this way the employer loses some of the advantages that it could otherwise obtain, for example reduced contributions. Further, by awarding such benefit improvements, it may be able to reduce other aspects of its staff costs. From this perspective, it seems appropriate that the cost of the benefit improvements should be recognised as an employment cost. The manner in which the cost is funded, whether through cash or the use of a surplus that could otherwise have been used to reduce contributions, does not affect that classification. However, sometimes the benefit improvements are funded out of a surplus that the employer could not otherwise benefit from, ie a surplus so large that the employer could not absorb it fully through reduced contributions (or agreed refunds). In these cases, the surplus will not have been recognised in full previously and to the extent that it has been used to fund the past service costs the unrecognised amount should now be offset against the past service cost in the profit and loss account.
- 52** This treatment of past service costs (including the use of any irrecoverable surplus) is consistent with [IAS 19 \(revised\)](#).

### Impact of limit on balance sheet asset

- 53** The limit on the amount that can be recognised as an asset in the balance sheet may mean that some part of a surplus is not recognised. The effect of the balance sheet limit might be allocated to the various pension components in the performance statements in a number of ways. The allocation required by the [FRS](#) is one that preserves the structure of the ongoing items (ie the current service cost, interest cost and expected return on assets) as far as possible but allows

one-off costs (eg past service costs) to be offset against the unrecognised surplus.

## Disclosures

**54** [FRED 20](#) proposed sufficient disclosures for a reader to understand the various elements that constitute the pension cost and the relationship between the actuarial valuation and the amounts recorded in the balance sheet. These disclosures were largely supported by the respondents to the FRED, with the exception of:

- (a) a comment on the difference between the expected rate of return on equities and the AA corporate bond rate; and
- (b) the five-year history of amounts recognised in the statement of total recognised gains and losses.

**55** The first of these disclosures has been dropped, because the two rates are required to be disclosed anyway and any comment was likely to be couched in terms that added little extra information.

**56** The second disclosure has been retained because the Board believes that it helps place in context the actuarial gains or losses in any one year and hence plays an important role in the **FRS**.

## Transitional arrangements

**57** The **FRS** allows for a long implementation period, with disclosures building up in the notes to the accounts. The reasons for this are:

- (a) to avoid companies having to revisit previous actuarial valuations;
- (b) to give the Board a chance to persuade IASC to follow the UK approach on the immediate recognition of actuarial gains and losses; and
- (c) to give preparers and users of accounts the opportunity to become accustomed to the figures arising under the **FRS** before they are recognised in the primary statements.

## Impact on distributable profits

**58** Appendix III to [FRED 20](#) set out a possible approach to mitigate the impact on distributable profits of a pension deficit measured and recognised in accordance with the FRED. Some respondents to [FRED 20](#) thought this approach was unsatisfactory in a number of respects. In the light of these responses and because a distribution problem is unlikely to arise often,\* the Board has decided not to proceed with this approach. It believes that it is better for those few companies that are affected to find appropriate solutions with the help of their legal advisers.

\* A distribution problem will arise only when individual company accounts show a defined benefit liability so large that it reduces distributable reserves to below that needed to cover any intended distribution. In this context, it should be noted that the **FRS** allows an exemption in some circumstances from the recognition of a defined benefit liability in the accounts of individual companies that are members of a group defined benefit scheme, and recognised as it arises over the service lives of the employees.

## Alternative cash-based approach to pension cost accounting

**59** Throughout the development of the **FRS**, a number of respondents to the various consultation documents raised the possibility of a return to a cash-based method of accounting for pension costs. It was suggested that in the UK the Pensions Act

1995, together with the existing tax regime, would impose such constraints on the contributions that an employer made to an approved UK pension scheme that, for such schemes, the contributions made in each period could be regarded as an appropriate measure for the pension cost for that period. The argument was that, because the scheme could be neither substantially overfunded (the tax limit) nor underfunded (the minimum funding requirement (MFR) of the Pensions Act), the contributions each year must be equivalent to the increase in the pension obligation that had arisen that year, ie the pension cost. The cost of implementing an accruals-based system, therefore, exceeded the benefits.

- 60** This argument does not apply to unfunded or overseas schemes, for which an accruals based method would still need to be prescribed. Also, pension regulation still allows substantial scope for employers and trustees to agree on different and varying contribution schedules.
- 61** For example, for a typical UK pension scheme, it would not be unusual for a scheme to be regarded as 100 per cent funded when measured using the test for the upper tax limit on funding, but 150 per cent funded using the MFR test. The profile of some schemes may lead to even larger discrepancies than this. A pension scheme funded between the 100 per cent level on the MFR basis and 100 per cent level on the maximum funding basis may be able to justify paying contributions at any level between zero (ie a temporary contribution holiday) and the full regular cost calculated on a conservative basis. With typical regular cost levels being between 10 per cent and 15 per cent of pensionable salaries, the difference between full regular cost and no contributions whatsoever is likely to be material.
- 62.** The Board does not, therefore, believe that a return to a cash-based method would ensure that the proper cost of a pension is measured

### **Alternative accounting standards**

- 63** Some respondents to the consultation papers have suggested that if overseas pension schemes have been accounted for under a 'recognised' standard (for example, FAS 87), those figures could be included in UK financial statements without restatement. The same suggestion was made for retirement benefits other than pensions that have been accounted for under FAS 106. The Board does not accept this suggestion. While it may sometimes be possible, using options in standards, to achieve a high degree of convergence between the effect of each, where there are differences the Board's standards must be followed.

# **Amendment to FRS 17 'Retirement Benefits' and Financial Reporting Standard For Smaller Entities (Effective June 2002)**

## **Preface**

- 1 This document sets out an amendment to **FRS 17** 'Retirement Benefits' and a consequential amendment to the Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities (effective June 2002), in both cases to extend the transitional arrangements for accounting periods before 2005. It is issued as part of the Board's programme to bring about convergence in an orderly manner between UK Accounting Standards and the standards of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).
- 2 When **FRS 17** was issued in November 2000, it included a long implementation period, with disclosures building up in the notes to the accounts. Full adoption of the requirements of **FRS 17** was mandated for accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2003. Entities using the Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities (effective June 2002) were required to adopt the corresponding requirements of **FRS 17** for accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2004.
- 3 One of the reasons for these transitional arrangements was to give the Board the opportunity to persuade the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) to follow the UK approach and require the immediate recognition of actuarial gains and losses. At the time of adoption of IAS 19 (revised 1998) 'Employee benefits', the IASC stated that it found the immediate recognition approach attractive. The ASB continues to believe that the treatment of actuarial gains and losses required by **FRS 17** is the correct approach.
- 4 The IASB, the successor body to the IASC, announced in June 2002 the adoption of a project to reconsider certain aspects of IAS 19 (revised 2000). The IASB has subsequently indicated that it hopes to have agreed a revised standard by 2004.
- 5 In the light of its strategy to effect an orderly transition from existing UK Accounting Standards to revised standards aligned with those of the IASB, the Board has concluded that it should avoid mandating two changes in quick succession in accounting for retirement benefits. It has therefore decided to defer the full adoption of the requirements of **FRS 17** during the period of the international discussions on IAS 19 (revised).
- 6 During that period, however, financial statements will include information prepared in accordance with **FRS 17** either in the notes or, where the standard is voluntarily adopted earlier than required, in the financial statements. The Board continues to encourage early adoption of all the requirements of **FRS 17**.

## **STATEMENT OF STANDARD ACCOUNTING PRACTICE**

### **Amendment to FRS 17 'Retirement benefits'**

Paragraphs 94 and 95 of **FRS 17** are amended as follows:

#### **"DATE FROM WHICH EFFECTIVE AND TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS**

**94 The following amounts, measured in accordance with the requirements of the FRS, should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements:**

- (a) for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2001: the disclosures required by paragraphs 76-81 and 88-93 of the **FRS** relating to the closing balance sheet (without comparatives for the previous period);
- (b) in addition, for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2002:
  - (i) the disclosures required by paragraphs 76-81 and 88-93 of the **FRS** relating to the opening balance sheet (without comparatives for the previous period);
  - (ii) the disclosures required by paragraphs 82-85 of the **FRS** relating to the performance statements (without comparatives for the previous period); and
  - (iii) the disclosures required by paragraph 86 for the current period only;
- (c) in addition, for financial statements relating to accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2003:
  - (i) the disclosures required by paragraphs 78 and 80 for the opening balance sheet of the comparative period;
  - (ii) the disclosures required by paragraphs 82-85 for the comparative period; and
  - (iii) the disclosures required by paragraph 86 for periods ending on or after 22 June 2002.

**None of these amounts needs to be recognised in the primary statements in these financial statements.**

**95 All the requirements of the **FRS** should be regarded as standard for accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2005. Earlier adoption is encouraged."**

## **Amendment to FRSSE**

### **Amendment to Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities (effective June 2002)**

The opening lines of paragraphs 1 and 2 of Appendix II to the Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities (effective June 2002) are amended as follows:

#### **"APPENDIX II ACCOUNTING FOR RETIREMENT BENEFITS: DEFINED BENEFIT SCHEMES**

- 1 The following requirements should be regarded as standard in respect of financial statements relating to accounting periods ending *before* 22 June 2006 (unless the requirements in paragraph 2 below are adopted early):

.....
- 2 The following requirements should be regarded as standard in respect of financial statements relating to accounting periods ending *on or after* 22 June 2006, although earlier adoption is encouraged:

The following is added to paragraph I of Appendix II:

"(j) In addition, for accounting periods ending on or after 22 June 2004, comparatives for the previous period should be given in respect of movement on the surplus or deficit in the scheme."

### **Adoption of Amendment to FRS 17 'Retirement Benefits' and Financial Reporting Standard For Smaller Entities (Effective June 2002)**

'Amendment to **FRS 17** "Retirement Benefits" and Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities (effective June 2002) - November 2002' was approved for issue by the ten members of the Accounting Standards Board.

Mary Keegan (Chairman)  
Allan Cook CBE (Technical Director)  
David Allvey  
John Coombe  
Douglas Flint  
Huw Jones  
Roger Marshall  
Isobel Sharp  
John Smith  
Ken Wild