## The evolution of the French reporting system since the inception of the EMU

Banque de France October 2004



# The advent of the euro: a significant change

- The disappearance of the national currencies
- a new pan-European payment system
- the feeling of a world without regulatory constraints (foreign exchange) any more
- an increased pressure from the reporters and the governments to reduce the Intra MU reporting burden



## ...accentuated by the heightened pressures from the BPM5 's revision process

- The importance given to the notion of transactions
- The consistency with National accounts and monetary statistics
- The upgrading of the IIP among the macroeconomic statistics and the central role given to the stocks-flows reconciliation, and its consequences (valuation,...)



#### The immediate answers

- A particular effort in terms of communication with respondents or professional associations
- an increased cooperation between national statistical administrations
- an adaptation of the reporting system
- an increased European cooperation and harmonisation



## A particular effort in terms of communication

- The importance of coordination bodies with
  - the banking community and with corporate associations, in France and within the EMU
  - other statistical administrations and professional associations under the aegis of INSEE (CNIS)



#### An adaptation in several steps of the reporting system 1st step: coping with the advent of the euro, the case of the travel surveys

- The implementation of surveys to gauge crossborder travel inward (EVE) and outward (SDT) surveys
- with some difficulties: France 's institutional and geographic specificities
- and a cost to bear



#### 1st step : Travel surveys (cont 'd)

- A necessary cooperation with the Tourism Directorate (TD) in the Ministry of Tourism, Equipment and Transportation which is the main user of the BOP data on travel
- the cost sharing with the TD
- the recourse to outsourcing for the implementation of both surveys



#### 2d step: coping with the consequences of the banks ' exemption reporting threshold within the MU

- From January 2002 no more reporting by the banks of payments below the threshold
- The difficulty in their estimate
- The shock on the bilateral data, mostly for services and income
- the need to react: recalibrate the General Direct reporting system to compensate for the loss of data from the banks, and implement surveys with SMEs



#### 3d step: the modification of the GDRS principles

- The need for a new regulation (March 2003)
- The introduction of new criteria for the selection of direct reporters
- Priority given to DRs in the service sector against the trade in goods
- A heavy selection process over 2001 and 2002

EUROSYSTÈME

 A new DR ' population in Jan. 2004: reduced, but more representative (450 companies instead of 750 before) 3d step (cont 'd): The new GDRS difficulties encountered in the process

- A less cooperative corporate sector, in spite of the new decree
- the absence of effective sanctions
- the need to revise the 2003 decree
- nevertheless a good coverage but still some laggards and grey areas (eg. Business services)



#### The next steps

- The introduction of a quarterly or annual survey focused on SMEs active in crossborder service business to complement the new GDRS
  - Questions to be solved in this process: cooperation or not with the ONS, outsourcing or not, human and financial resources...
- A new approach of portfolio income: the accrual basis
- A revision of the trade credit survey sample



#### The work in progress

• Quantitative analysis of companies crossborder payments in addition to a reflection on the setting up of a business register constituted with companies involved in international transactions on a permanent basis: the need for data from different administrative sources



#### The work in progress (cont 'd)

- Investment income on an accrual basis
  - Implementation of the recommendations of the ECB-Eurostat TF on Investment income
  - Estimate on a security by security basis when available
  - Geographic breakdown only on the credit side



## Some potential problems ahead

- ONS not keen to assist effectively the Central Bank mainly for the SMEs survey, but ready to help through experts ' transfers
- Budgetary constraints within the Central Bank and its own priorities (restructuration, downsizing...)
- Pressure from the banking community to move quickly



## The likely framework of the future French BOP reporting system

- It will be based on multiple reporting sources, but within the present mixed system structure (Banks+ DRs+ Surveys+estimates)
- with a different weight of the various reporting sources and techniques: a larger share for the companies 'reports, a wider use of statistical techniques, banks devoted to be DRs for their operations for o/a, but remaining the major source of data for portfolio stocks and flows



#### The particular case of portfolio investments

- A research is under way within Banque de France along the lines recommended by the ESCB Statistics Committee
- the choice of the future system will be between monthly stocks (and derived BOP flows) or monthly flows combined with quarterly stocks; the second option is presently favored.



## The pros and cons of each solution

- Only monthly stocks from custodians:
  - *pros*: revolutionary, theoretically simple, more suited to recent market making evolutions (OTC transactions difficult to handle within an ITRS), limited number of reporters, sectoral breakdowns
  - *cons*: costly for the compiler (new IT software), no precedent, limited coverage of short term papers and repos, no cross checking with flows, estimates of flows



#### **Pros and cons (cont 'd)**

- quarterly stocks and monthly flows
  - *pros*: system already partly in place in France (banks ' assets), which only requires an improvement to capture Q stocks (assets and liabilities) for the other sectors
  - *cons*: difficulty to catch up with growing OTC transactions and to cover short term papers transactions (mostly repayments) in spite of a sbs reporting; cost of a dual reporting (F/S)



## The future: how to fulfil contradictory needs ?

- Lean and mean
- complex
- heterogeneous



 under various pressures: more demanding methodology (BPM6) and users, reporters somewhat reluctant in front of additional requirements



# A different world in the making...





#### ... with new actors





## **Appendix : Data sources of the future French reporting system**

BOP items	Already achieved in 2003 and 2004	In the offing	Final stage
Goods	Customs (M)		Customs
Services	Updating GDRs	SMEs survey	GDRs + travel
	(M) + Travel	(Q/Y ?)	surveys + SMEs
	surveys (M)		survey + Banks o/a
Income	Model for macro	Accrual (M)	Accrual + GDRs +
	checking (M)		Banks' individual
			customers
Transfers		Foreign workers	
		remittances (M)	+ foreign workers
Direct Investment			GDRs + Banks o/a
			+ other sources
Portfolio		CSDB (M) +	Banks or
Investment		stock/flow model	custodians +GDRs
		(M/Q) for banks or	
		custodians	
Other Investment		1 0	Banks + GDRs +
		credit survey (M)	Government +
			trade credit survey
			+ other sources

