The Baltics

Competitiveness on the Eve of EU Accession

Robert Burgess, Stefania Fabrizio, Yuan Xiao

International Monetary Fund Washington, DC

©2004 International Monetary Fund

Production: IMF Multimedia Services Division Cover Design: Luisa Menjivar Typesetting: Alicia Etchebarne-Bourdin Cover Photo: Annika Haas

Photo Caption: Eesti Ühispank (Estonian Union Bank) headquarters, built in 1998, and Jaani Seegi Church, built in 1724, in Tallinn, Republic of Estonia.

Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Burgess, Robert (Robert Mark)

The Baltics: competitiveness on the eve of EU accession / Robert Burgess, Stefania Fabrizio, and Yuan Xiao — [Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund, 2004].

p. cm.

ISBN 1-58906-326-0 Includes bibliographical references.

1. European Union—Baltic States. 2. Foreign exchange rates—Baltic States. I. Fabrizio, Stefania. II. Xiao, Yuan.

HC243.B87 2004

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the IMF or IMF policy. The IMF has not edited this publication. Some documents cited in this work may be not available publicly.

Price: \$25.00

Please send orders to: International Monetary Fund, Publication Services 700 19th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20431, U.S.A. Telephone: (202) 623-7430 Telefax: (202) 623-7201 Internet: http://www.imf.org

Contents

Preface		vii
Overview		ix
Chapter 1.	Introduction	1
Chapter 2.	Assessing Competitiveness	7
	Real Effective Exchange Rate Indicators Export Performance	7 16
Chapter 3.	Productivity Developments in the Baltics	21
	Labor Productivity Total Factor Productivity	21 24
Chapter 4.	Equilibrium Real Exchange Rates	26
	Productivity and Equilibrium Real Exchange Rates Econometric Estimates of Equilibrium Real Exchange Rates	26 37
Chapter 5.	Conclusions and Policy Implications	44
Appendix 1.	Estimating Equilibrium Real Exchange Rates in the Baltics in a Cointegration Framework	46
References		64
Boxes		
	 Exchange Rate Aspects of EU Enlargement Survey-Based Indicators of Competitiveness Real Exchange Rate Indicators of Competitiveness The Balassa-Samuelson Effect 	4 5 8 29
Text Tables		
	 Market Shares of Baltic Exports to the EU Main Exports to the EU from the Baltics 	20 20

THE BALTICS: COMPETITIVENESS ON THE EVE OF EU ACCESSION

3. Exchange Rates Relative to Purchasing Power Parity in 20014. Alternative Estimates of the Balassa-Samuelson Effect on	27
Inflation Differentials (1997–2001)	32
5. Share of Administered Prices in CPI	35
6. Estimation Results	39
Appendix Tables	
A1. Integration Tests	55
A2. Cointegration Tests	56
A3. Cointegration Results	56
A4. Estonia: α Loading Matrix	59
A5. Estonia: α Orthogonal Components	59
A6. Estonia: β Orthogonal Components	60
A7. Estonia: Long-Run Impact Matrix	60
A8. Latvia: α Loading Matrix	61
A9. Latvia: α Orthogonal Components	61
A10. Latvia: β Orthogonal Components	61
A11. Latvia: Long-Run Impact Matrix	62
A12. Lithuania: α Loading Matrix	62
A13. Lithuania: α Orthogonal Components	63
A14. Lithuania: β Orthogonal Compoments	63
A15. Lithuania: Long-Run Impact Matrix	63
Text Figures	
1. External Balances: Current Account Balances	2
2. Effective Exchange Rates	9
3. Trade Shares	10
4. Acceding Countries: Real Effective Exchange Rates, CPI-Based	12
5. Real Effective Exchange Rates, CPI- and PPI-Based	13
6. Unit Labor Cost-Based Real Effective Exchange Rates	15
7. Wage Growth	16
8. Wage Costs in Acceding Countries	17
9. Export Growth	18
10. Income and Productivity Levels	22
11. Income and Productivity Convergence	23
12. Total Factor Productivity Growth	24
13. Exchange Rates and Income Levels	28
14. Labor Productivity and Real Effective Exchange Rates	30
15. Inflation Convergence	33
16. Administered Prices and CPI	36
17. Baltics: Real Effective Exchange Rate (REER) and Its	
Equilibrium (EREER)	40

18. Baltics: Real Effective Exchange Rate and Its Permanent	
Component	42
19. Real Effective Exchange Rate Deviations and External Balances	43
Appendix Figure	
A1. Real Exchange Rates and Their Determinants	58

Preface

This Special Issues Paper assesses the competitive position of the Baltic countries—Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania—as they stand on the threshold of membership of the European Union. It focuses in particular on the viability of their strategy of maintaining their fixed exchange rates upon joining the European Union, participating in its exchange rate mechanism, and then adopting the euro at the earliest possible date. The paper is based on a staff background paper for the 2003 Article IV consultations between the International Monetary Fund and the Republic of Estonia, the Republic of Latvia, and the Republic of Lithuania.

The paper reflects the contributions of several staff members. The authors would like to thank Richard Haas for his support and overall guidance, and Patricia Alonso-Gamo and Johannes Mueller for their many helpful comments. Excellent research assistance was provided by Haiyan Shi and the local IMF staff in Riga, Tallinn, and Vilnius. We would like to acknowledge the invaluable help provided by Vanessa Abrea, Julie Burton, Elisabeth Immers, and Audrey Scott in putting the document together, and thank Archana Kumar of the External Relations Department for editing the paper and coordinating the production of the publication. We are also grateful to the authorities in Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania for their support and comments.

The views expressed in this paper are those of its authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the International Monetary Fund, its Executive Directors, or the national authorities.

Overview

Large current account deficits in Estonia and Latvia, and the continued real appreciation of the exchange rate in Lithuania, have prompted concerns about the competitiveness of the Baltic economies and called into question the sustainability of their current fixed exchange rate arrangements. Recent external performance, however, appears to be explained more by temporary or cyclical developments than by a deterioration in the underlying competitive position of the Baltic economies.

Real effective exchange rates (REERs) have in fact been quite stable over the last four years compared with the strong real appreciations experienced in the earlier stages of the transition process, appreciating by an average of 2 percent a year since 1999. Estonia's effective exchange rate has been particularly stable, reflecting the kroon's peg to the euro in combination with a high proportion of trade with euro area countries. Latvia's REER is also close to its level of early 1999, although in the intervening period it has been sensitive to swings in the dollar-euro exchange through the peg to the SDR. In Lithuania, the exchange rate was pegged to an appreciating dollar until February 2002, and has been pegged to an appreciating euro since then. Very low inflation, however, has helped to limit the extent of real effective appreciation, which is only a little above the average of other central and eastern European countries acceding to the European Union (EU). Indicators based on measures of relative prices or costs that are more representative of the traded goods sector, such as producer prices or manufacturing unit labor costs, have been even more stable. A more direct assessment of competitiveness based on export performance is complicated by the importance of electronics subcontracting in Estonia and oil processing in Lithuania, which are significant in terms of trade flows but much less so in terms of value added. In general, however, exports have performed well despite the global slowdown, and the Baltics have been successful in maintaining and, in some cases, increasing their share of EU markets.

Several factors appear to have driven movements in equilibrium real exchange rates in the Baltics since the start of the transition process. In the earlier years of transition, price liberalization, increased demand for services and other nontradables, and shifts in domestic production and exports toward higher valued-added products contributed to real appreciation through higher measured inflation. The strength of the real appreciation during these years appears to also reflect the correction of an initial undervaluation of exchange rates. While these factors have to varying degrees dissipated, strong productivity growth, together with increased capital inflows in response to improved growth prospects, has continued to contribute to real appreciation. There is inevitably much uncertainty over estimates of equilibrium exchange rates. But an assessment based on a broad range of indicators and analysis of the factors behind exchange rate

movements suggests no clear evidence of exchange rate misalignment that would call into question the underlying competitiveness of the Baltic economies or the sustainability of their exchange rate regimes.

The extent of any further appreciation of real exchange rates in the Baltics has important implications for their goal of participating in exchange rate mechanism (ERM) II and adopting the euro at an early stage. Productivity growth in the Baltics has been impressive in recent years and will likely continue to outstrip that in the euro area over the coming years. As a result, equilibrium real exchange rates will tend to appreciate against the euro in the period leading up to and beyond EU accession. If, as they intend, the Baltics maintain or adopt fixed exchange rate arrangements with a peg to the euro, this will be reflected in inflation rates that are higher than in the euro area. The heavy weight of the tradable sector in the Baltics relative to the euro area, however, will limit significantly the extent to which productivity convergence translates into higher consumer price inflation. As such, productivity convergence alone is unlikely to preclude the ability of the Baltics to meet the Maastricht inflation criterion.

On balance, the strategy of maintaining fixed exchange rates within ERM II and then adopting the euro at the earliest possible date appears to be viable. But the strategy is not without risks. The continued consistency and credibility of macroeconomic and structural policies will be essential to ensure the maintenance of competitiveness and a smooth entry into the European Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). Fiscal policy has a key role to play in this regard by ensuring that domestic demand does not add to inflationary pressures and lead to a deterioration in external balances. Public sector pay restraint, for example, will be particularly important in moderating wage demands. Moreover, fiscal policy will also be the first line of defense in the event that there are temporary surges in capital inflows in anticipation of entry into ERM II or adoption of the euro. In such circumstances, a more contractionary fiscal position may be necessary to counteract the inflationary impact of such inflows.