



12TH JACQUES POLAK ANNUAL RESEARCH CONFERENCE

NOVEMBER 10–11, 2011

The Low Monetary Rates Paradox, Banking Stability and Credit: Evidence from the Euro Area

María Soledad Martínez Pería
World Bank

Presentation presented at the 12th Jacques Polak Annual Research Conference
Hosted by the International Monetary Fund
Washington, DC—November 10–11, 2011

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) only, and the presence of them, or of links to them, on the IMF website does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the paper.

The Low Monetary Rates Paradox,
Banking Stability and Credit:
Evidence from the Euro Area
Angela Maddaloni (ECB) and José Luis Peydró (UPF)

Comments by
María Soledad Martínez Pería
World Bank

Prepared for the IMF Twelfth Jacques Polak
Annual Research Conference
Washington, D.C., November 10-11, 2011

Paper motivation and objectives

- ▶ Low interest rates due to loose monetary policy have been blamed for the relaxation of lending standards that led to the sub-prime crisis.
 - ▶ Common argument is that as interest rates drop, banks increase risks in search for yields.
- ▶ Paper seeks to examine the impact of monetary policy on bank lending standards before and during the 2008 crisis.
 - ▶ Is there a paradox in that low interest foster crisis but are at the same time needed to mitigate the credit crunch during crisis, sowing the seeds for future crises?
- ▶ Paper also aims to study whether more stringent banking supervision and regulation affect the impact of monetary policy on lending standards.
 - ▶ i.e., Does regulation and supervision limit the extent of bank risk taking that results from low interest rates?



Summary of the analysis

- ▶ Uses the Bank Lending Survey (BLS) data conducted by Euro area national central banks to analyze impact of monetary policy (overnight interest rates & Taylor rule residuals) on lending standards.
 - ▶ Banks are requested to provide quarterly information on lending standards (whether they have tightened, loosened or not changed).
 - ▶ BLS contains information on factors affecting lending standards, in particular: quality of loan applicants (credit risk) and bank balance sheet capacity and competition (bank risk-taking).
 - ▶ Summarizes evidence of impact of monetary policy on lending standards from related research using very detailed loan-level data from Spain (This really does not belong here).
 - ▶ Assesses the impact of monetary policy and tightening of lending standards on GDP (This needs to be expanded or dropped).
-

Main findings / conclusions

- ▶ In the period prior to the crisis:
 - ▶ Low short-term interest rates softened lending standards.
 - ▶ The impact of low short-term rates is more significant than the effect of long-term interest rates or current account deficits.
 - ▶ The impact of loose monetary policy on lending standards is reduced by more stringent regulation and supervision.
- ▶ After 2008:
 - ▶ Loose monetary policy softened lending conditions, especially for banks with greater liquidity problems.
- ▶ Conclusion: monetary policy and macro-prudential regulation should be related since monetary policy impacts bank risk-taking.



Overall comments

- ▶ Topic is relevant and timely.
- ▶ Analysis presented so far is very interesting.
- ▶ But paper is work in progress.
 - ▶ Contribution of the paper needs to be spelled out more clearly.
 - ▶ What is the value added relative to previous work by the authors and others?
 - ▶ Writing needs to be polished and expanded.
 - ▶ E.g., more detailed description of data and survey questions would be valuable.
 - ▶ Tables need to be cleaned and presented with explanations.
 - ▶ Empirical analysis needs improvement.
 - ▶ Interpretation and economic significance of results needs to be expanded.
 - ▶ E.g., Table 8 and analysis in Figures 1 and 2 of contribution of monetary policy and lending standards to GDP.
- ▶ Parts of the paper are missing.
 - ▶ The authors promise an analysis of monetary policy on loan conditions

Specific comments

- ▶ Why use net percentage of banks that have tightened credit standards as dependent variable instead of share that has loosen standards?
 - ▶ By taking %tightened - % loosened, aren't you just looking at the % that observed no change?
- ▶ Not clear what type of estimations are used to obtain results. Given dynamic panel nature of data, GMM estimates seem the best option.
 - ▶ FE estimates with dynamic term would be biased and inconsistent.
- ▶ Endogeneity cannot be ruled out in many estimations.
 - ▶ Since data is country level, it is possible that overall lending standards are driving monetary policy stance. Need to find a way to instrument for short-term rates in EU (maybe use foreign rates?)
- ▶ Separate demand from supply shocks.
 - ▶ Why not control for share of banks that say that demand has increased or decreased?
- ▶ To verify reliability of survey responses indicating changes in lending standards due to lender balance sheet conditions, why
- ▶ not correlate average bank characteristics to survey responses?

Specific comments

- ▶ Impact of regulation and supervision might be overstated. Some results are puzzling:
 - ▶ Table 4 – Panel A considering the interaction between monetary policy and capital stringency shows a negative impact of monetary policy and of capital stringency on overall lending standards.
 - ▶ Table 4 – Panel B – looking at lending standards due to balance sheet constraints shows impact of monetary policy and capital stringency only on business loans lending standards.
 - ▶ Table 4 – Panel C – using LTV as measure of regulation and supervision shows no impact of monetary policy and of LTV independently.



Conclusions

- ▶ Paper is very interesting and has potential... but more work is needed.
- ▶ Looking forward to the next version!

