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To estimate the role of country-specific socioeconomic conditions on the impact of a relatively large rise in oil prices on government subsidies and transfers, and their persistence over time, we follow the method proposed by Jordà (2005), which consists of estimating impulse response functions directly from local projections. An analysis of the impulse response function permits the assessment of the persistence of a commodity price shock on subsidies and transfers. This approach has been advocated by, among others, Stock and Watson (2007) and Auerbach and Gorodnichenko (2013) as a flexible alternative that does not impose the dynamic restrictions embedded in vector autoregressive (autoregressive distributed lag) specifications.

Specifically, for each period \( k \), the following reduced-form equation is estimated

\[
y_{i,t+k} = \alpha_i^k + \sum_{j=1}^{t} y_{i,t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j (x_{i,t+j} * s_{i,t+j}) + \beta x_{i,t} * s_{i,t} + \sum_{j=0}^{t} \delta_j z_{i,t-j} + \epsilon_{i,t}\]  

(1)

where, for country \( i \), \( y_{i,t+k} \) represents the change of subsidies and transfers as a percent of GDP between time \( t-1 \) and \( t+k \). \( s_{i,t} \) is a dummy variable that identifies years in which global oil prices are above the median of the distribution of positive price changes (annual percentage change above 26 percent).\(^1\) Thus, \( s_{i,t} \) is defined as follows:

\[
s_{i,t} = 1 \text{ if } \Delta \% p_t > \text{median}(\Delta \% p^*) ; \quad s_{i,t} = 0 \text{ otherwise}
\]

The change in oil prices in year \( t \) is multiplied by the country-specific relative share of oil (fuel) imports (for oil importer countries).

For each country \( i \), \( x_{i,t} \) represents a variable measuring country-specific socioeconomic conditions, proxied by a measure of the targeting of social protection programs and the Gini coefficient. The coefficient of interest is \( \beta \), measuring the impact of these country-specific characteristics on the impact of a relatively large oil price shock on subsidies and transfers. Our measure of low targeting of social protection programs is a dummy variable that takes the value of 1 when the beneficiary incidence for the lowest quartile of the income distribution (that is, the percentage of program beneficiaries in the lowest quartile of the income distribution relative to the total number of beneficiaries in the population for a given country) is below 27.5 percent, which corresponds to the median beneficiary incidence across Middle East and North Africa (MENA) countries.

The term \( z_{i,t} \) contains a list of control variables including the growth rate and lags of the country-specific commodity price shocks. The specification also includes the forward leads of the interactions between

time 0 (the date of the oil price shock) and the end of the forecast horizon \((k)\) to correct for the bias in the impulse response inherent in local projection methods (Teulings and Zubanov 2014). The number of lags \((l)\) included in the model is 1, but the results are robust to different lag length. Since fixed effects are included in the regression, the dynamic impact on subsidies and transfers should be interpreted as relative to a country-specific trend.

Estimation is performed on an unbalanced panel of MENA oil-importing countries on an annual frequency from 2000 to 2020. In regressions including beneficiary incidence of social assistance, the country sample is restricted by limited data availability to only few countries (Table A1).

Table A1. Country and Year Coverage for the Indicator Measuring Beneficiary Incidence of Social Assistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>DJI</th>
<th>EGY</th>
<th>IRQ</th>
<th>JOR</th>
<th>LBN</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>MRT</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>SDN</th>
<th>TUN</th>
<th>YEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The oil price corresponds to the percentage change of the Brent spot market price in US dollars (month average). Subsidies and transfers and real GDP growth are obtained from the *Regional Economic Outlook: Middle East and North Africa* data set. Beneficiary incidence of social protection programs are from World Bank’s ASPIRE database.

Empirical estimates of the dynamic response of price subsidies and transfers following a large positive shock to oil prices suggest a statistically significant stronger increase in subsidies in MENA countries with lower targeting of social protection programs. Similar evidence is found for countries where inequality, as measured by the Gini coefficient, is above the regional median (Figure A1).
Figure A1. Impact of Selected Social Variables on the Response of Subsidies and Transfers to an Increase in Oil Prices (Percent)
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Source: IMF staff calculations.
Note: The figure shows cumulative impulse response functions for the interaction term between a positive (above the median) oil price shock and panel 1: a dummy variable identifying low targeting of social protection programs (beneficiary incidence for the first quartile of the income distribution below the MENA median) and panel 2: higher Gini coefficient (above the MENA median). Dependent variable = subsidies and transfers, percent of GDP. MENA = Middle East and North Africa.
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