

Reconstructing **AFGHANISTAN**

Adam Bennett, Editor
Bruno de Schaetzen
Louis Dicks-Mireaux
Felix Fischer
Thierry Kalfon
Ron van Rooden

I n t e r n a t i o n a l M o n e t a r y F u n d



© 2005 International Monetary Fund

Production: IMF Multimedia Services Division
Cover design: Massoud Etemadi
Figures: Theodore F. Peters, Jr.
Typesetting: Alicia Etchebarne-Bourdin

Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Reconstructing Afghanistan/Adam Bennett, editor—[Washington, D.C.]: International Monetary Fund, 2004.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 1-58906-324-4

1. Afghanistan—Economic policy. 2. Afghanistan—Economic conditions. 3. Fiscal policy—Afghanistan. 4. Monetary policy—Afghanistan. I. Bennett, Adam.

HC417.R43 2004

Price: US\$25.00

Please send orders to:

International Monetary Fund, Publication Services
700 19th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20431, USA
Tel.: (202) 623-7430 • Telefax: (202) 623-7201
E-mail: publications@imf.org
Internet: <http://www.imf.org>

Contents

Preface	vii
List of Acronyms	ix
Map	x
1. Overview	
<i>Ron van Rooden</i>	1
The Political Landscape	1
Coordination of Assistance	1
Role of the IMF	2
Economic Developments and Achievements	3
Looking Ahead	5
2. Recent Macroeconomic Developments	
<i>Ron van Rooden and Louis Dicks-Mireaux</i>	6
Comparison of Donor Assistance	6
Output and Prices	9
External Sector	12
Appendix 2.1. Poppy Dimension in the Afghan Economy	20
References	27
3. Fiscal Framework and the Budget	
<i>Thierry Kalfon</i>	28
A Slow Descent into Chaos	28
Dismal Situation but Resilient Structures	29
Reconstruction Strategy	31
Fiscal Policy Framework: Postconflict Budgeting	34
Appendix 3.1. Programs of the National Development Framework	42
Appendix 3.2. Comparing Afghanistan's 2002/03 Operating Budget with Low-Income Countries' Budgets	45
References	46
4. Structural Reforms: Revitalizing Fiscal Institutions	
<i>Thierry Kalfon</i>	47
Improving Public Expenditure Management	47
Reforming Revenue Policy and Administration	50
Revitalizing the Civil Service	52
Developing the Private Sector: Building a Market-Led Economy	54

Appendix 4.1. Pay Structure for Government Staff and Tax Summary	55
5. Monetary and Exchange Rate Policy in a Postconflict Environment	
<i>Ron van Rooden and Louis Dicks-Mireaux</i>	59
Choice of Currency	60
Preconditions for a Successful Monetary Policy	62
Fixed or Flexible: Choosing the Exchange Rate Regime	64
Formulating a Monetary Program	66
Monetary and Exchange Rate Developments in 2002–04	69
Reference	71
6. Financial Sector Development in Afghanistan: Seeking a Renaissance	
<i>Felix Fischer</i>	72
After the Taliban: Financial System at the End of 2001	72
Modernizing the Financial Sector in Afghanistan	77
References	82
Text Boxes	
1.1 Asian Development Bank in Afghanistan	4
1.2 World Bank in Afghanistan	5
2.1 Social Indicators	7
2.2 Trade, Transit, and Transport Agreements	18
2.3 Exchange and Trade Arrangements	19
3.1 Public Sector and The Relationship Between the Center and Provinces	30
3.2 UN Immediate and Transitional Assistance Programs, 2001–02	32
3.3 Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund	39
5.1 Introducing the New Currency	61
5.2 What Is the Right Exchange Rate Level?	65
5.3 Foreign Exchange Auctions	67
5.4 Considerations on the Level of Foreign Exchange Reserves	70
6.1 The Law on Money and Banking of the Islamic State of Afghanistan	74
6.2 Physical Infrastructure and Security of Da Afghanistan Bank	75
6.3 Six Licensed Banks in Afghanistan	76
6.4 Money Dealers in Afghanistan	78
Text Tables	
2.1 Gross Domestic Product	10
2.2 Selected Indicators of Economic Activity	10
2.3 Balance of Payments	13
2.4 Direction of Trade 1	14
2.5 Direction of Trade 2	16
2.6 Commodity Composition of Trade	17
3.1 General Government Current Budget, 2002/03	36
3.2 Budget Execution, Center and Provinces, 2002/03	37
3.3 Operating Budget, 2003/04	41
3.4 Development Budget, 2003/04	42
5.1 Monetary Developments (Da Afghanistan Bank)	68

Figures

2.1 Foreign Assistance to Postconflict Countries	8
2.2 Price and Exchange Rate Developments	11
3.1 National Development Framework: Relationship Between Pillars, National Development Programs, and National Priority Subprograms	33
3.2 Budget Execution: Economic Classification, 2002/03	38
3.3 Budget Execution: Functional Classification, 2002/03	38
5.1 Exchange Rates	71

Appendix Box

A4.1 Civil Servant Salaries	56
-----------------------------	----

Appendix Tables

A2.1 Indicators of Opium Cultivation	21
A2.2 Estimate of Farmers' Net Income from Opium	23
A2.3 Revenues from Opiates	26
A3.1 Afghanistan's 2002/03 Budget Compared with Budgets of Selected Low-Income Countries	44
A3.2 Sample of Low-Income Countries Used for Comparison	45
A4.1 Tax Summary	57

The following symbols have been used throughout this paper:

... to indicate that data are not available;

— to indicate that the figure is zero or less than half the final digit shown, or that the item does not exist;

– between years or months (for example, 2002–03 or January–June) to indicate the years or months covered, including the beginning and ending years or months;

/ between years (for example, 2002/03) to indicate a crop or fiscal (financial) year.

“Billion” means a thousand million.

Minor discrepancies between constituent figures and totals are due to rounding.

The term “country,” as used in this paper, does not in all cases refer to a territorial entity that is a state as understood by international law and practice; the term also covers some territorial entities that are not states, but for which statistical data are maintained and provided internationally on a separate and independent basis.

Preface


This study was prepared by an IMF staff team headed by Adam Bennett. Team members included Louis Dicks-Mireaux, Felix Fischer, Thierry Kalfon, Ron van Rooden, and Bruno de Schaetzen. *Reconstructing Afghanistan* reflects the staff's work in Afghanistan beginning in early 2002, with the establishment of the interim administration headed by President Hamid Karzai, through the first quarter of 2004. During this period, the staff focused on helping (often under difficult circumstances) the Afghan authorities quickly establish a basic framework for economic management and policies, including rebuilding key institutions, notably the Ministry of Finance and the central bank, Da Afghanistan Bank. A preliminary version of this study was first published during the Annual Meetings of the IMF and the World Bank in Dubai in the fall of 2003, in the context of the IMF's 2003 Article IV Consultation with Afghanistan.

The authors are grateful for the excellent cooperation received from the Afghan authorities. In particular, our thanks go to Ashraf Ghani, Minister of Finance of Afghanistan (2002–04); Anwar Ul-Haq Ahady, Governor of Da Afghanistan Bank (2002–04); and Michael Carnahan, Larry Seale, and Martin Dinning, three key advisors in the Ministry of Finance and the central bank. The authors are also grateful to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, which provided valuable information on and useful insights into the illicit drug sector in Afghanistan. The study covers the key areas in which the IMF staff has made important contributions toward the reconstruction of Afghanistan. In this regard, the authors would like to acknowledge the work of Paul Chabrier, Jean Le Dem, and Milan Zavadzil, who respectively led the staff team before Adam Bennett took over in the spring of 2003. The authors also acknowledge the work of the staff members of the IMF's functional departments who have provided technical assistance to the Afghan authorities in their various areas of expertise, especially Steven Symansky and Emil Sunley of the IMF's Fiscal Affairs Department, Ake Lönnberg of the Monetary and Financial Systems Department, Tobias Asser of the Legal Department, and Adriaan Bloem and Vilay Soulatha of the Statistics Department. The authors are also grateful for helpful comments and suggestions received from our colleagues in the IMF's Middle East and Central Asia Department, especially George Abed and Mohammad Shadman-Valavi. The authors are indebted to Sheila Tomilloso for providing invaluable secretarial assistance, both at the IMF headquarters in Washington and in Kabul, and to Archana Kumar of the IMF's External Relations Department for editing this book and coordinating its publication.

The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the IMF, its Executive Directors, or the Afghan authorities.

List of Acronyms

AACA	Afghan Aid Coordination Agency
AFMIS	Afghanistan's Financial Management Information System
AIA	Afghan Interim Administration
AIAF	Afghan Interim Administration Fund
ARCSC	Administrative Reform and Civil Service Commission
ARTF	Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund
AsDB	Asian Development Bank
ATA	Afghan Transitional Administration
CG	Consultative Group
CIRR	Commercial Interest Reference Rates
CIT	Corporate income tax
CSO	Central Statistics Office
DAB	Da Afghanistan Bank
ECO	Economic Cooperation Organization
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GMU	Grant Management Unit
IAS	International Accounting Standards
ICMP	Illicit Crop Monitoring Program
IDA	International Development Association
IG	Implementation Group
ISAF	International Security Assistance Force
IsDB	Islamic Development Bank
ITAPs	Immediate and Transitional Assistance Programs
LOTFA	Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan
LTU	Large taxpayer unit
MoF	Ministry of Finance
NCR	National Cash Registry
NDB	National Development Budget
NDF	National Development Framework
PRGF	Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility
PIT	Personal income tax
RTGS	Real time gross settlement
SG	Steering Group
SOEs	State-owned enterprises
TAPAs	Transitional Assistance Programs for Afghanistan
TIN	Taxpayer identification number
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
UNDCP	United Nations International Drug Control Program
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
UNODC	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
WFP	World Food Program



Source: United Nations: Afghanistan Information Management Service.



Overview

Ron van Rooden

After more than two decades of conflict, a new opportunity emerged for the peaceful development of Afghanistan when the Taliban regime fell in late 2001 and a political agreement was reached between the various Afghan factions in Bonn in December of the same year. The years of fighting had started with the former Soviet Union's intervention in Afghanistan in December 1979 to support the communist regime that had taken power in a military coup in the previous year. The following 10 years saw fierce fighting, until the Soviet forces withdrew in 1989. In 1992, the Mujahedin took over Kabul and installed a new government. However, much of the country remained under the control of local warlords and it was in response to the growing lawlessness and increased factional fighting that the Taliban movement emerged. The Taliban took over Kabul in 1996, following heavy fighting between the various factions that left much of the city destroyed, and eventually controlled almost 90 percent of the country. They made little effort, however, to form a functioning civilian government or provide adequate public services. The U.S.-led military intervention in Afghanistan, following the tragic events of September 11, 2001, resulted in the collapse of the Taliban regime in late 2001 and the formation of a new government.

The Political Landscape

The 2001 Bonn agreement provided a road map for the creation of a peaceful, democratic state.

An Afghan Interim Administration (AIA) was appointed and governed for six months until an Emergency Loya Jirga (grand council) of some 1,500 delegates could be convened in June 2002. The Loya Jirga chose a new Afghan Transitional Administration (ATA), headed by President Karzai, that was scheduled to remain in office for two years. The ATA was charged with preparing a new constitution and holding free and fair elections to elect a fully representative government. Following broad consultation with the Afghan people, a new constitution was adopted by a Constitutional Loya Jirga in late 2003, and signed into law in January 2004. Presidential elections were held in October 2004—a little over two years after the convening of the Emergency Loya Jirga. This was a challenging task, made even more difficult by the logistical and security concerns, including the need to establish a register of voters. The United Nations worked closely with the Afghan authorities to prepare for this major undertaking.

Coordination of Assistance

The enormous task of rebuilding Afghanistan has required the strong support of the international community. Even before the AIA came to office, the international community had organized a number of meetings on Afghanistan's reconstruction, starting in the fall of 2001. This included the creation of a Steering Group (SG)—cochaired by the United States, Japan, Saudi Arabia, and the European Union—of donor governments to enhance in-

ternational political support for the reconstruction process and provide strategic guidance. In November 2001, the SG requested the World Bank, the Asian Development Bank (AsDB), and the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) to produce a preliminary needs assessment for Afghanistan's reconstruction. This assessment was presented at the International Conference on Reconstruction Assistance to Afghanistan in Tokyo in January 2002. The conference generated pledges of assistance for Afghanistan's reconstruction totaling \$4.5 billion, with \$1.8 billion in pledges for the first year. The Afghan government presented an updated needs assessment at a donors' conference held in Berlin in March 2004. This conference generated pledges totaling \$8.2 billion in support for the period March 2004–March 2007, including pledges of \$4.4 billion for the period March 2004–March 2005.

In the two years that followed the establishment of the AIA, the leadership and ownership of the reconstruction process were steadily transferred to the Afghan authorities. In early 2002, an Implementation Group (IG) was established, chaired by the government and with the AsDB, the UNDP, the Islamic Development Bank (IsDB), and the World Bank as vice-chairs, to facilitate the coordination of assistance. The Implementation Group meetings were held in Kabul in March and September of 2002. The SG/IG structure evolved in early 2003 into a normal Consultative Group (CG) mechanism and the first major CG meetings—Afghanistan Development Forum and Afghanistan High-Level Strategic Forum—were held in March 2003 in Kabul and Brussels, respectively.

The authorities strengthened their responsibility to coordinate and manage the reconstruction effort by adopting a National Development Framework (NDF) in 2002. The NDF focused on three pillars of development: (1) security and human development; (2) rebuilding physical infrastructure; and (3) enabling the creation of a viable private sector as the engine for sustainable and inclusive economic growth. For each of these pillars, broad sectoral programs have been developed, and individual CGs, which provide the framework for identifying and selecting individual projects within the overall resource envelope, have been established. This process culminated in the creation of the National Development Budget (NDB), which translated the broad priorities and programs of the NDF into detailed and prioritized development projects that are to be funded through the budget.

Role of the IMF

Starting in January 2002, at the request of the Afghan authorities, the IMF began to provide extensive policy advice and technical assistance in its areas of expertise.¹ The focus of this assistance was on ensuring the early establishment of sound foundations for economic management and macroeconomic stability in order to support a sustained economic recovery and facilitate the process of reconstruction. This assistance included helping the authorities to rehabilitate key economic institutions, in particular the Ministry of Finance (MoF) and the central bank, Da Afghanistan Bank (DAB). The IMF Fiscal Affairs Department has focused on improving expenditure management and the tax system. The IMF Monetary and Financial Systems Department assisted with the introduction of the new currency, central bank modernization, and, together with the IMF Legal Department, the preparation of new financial sector legislation. The IMF Statistics Department provided assistance on a new framework for macroeconomic statistics. In addition, a staff team from the IMF's Middle East and Central Asia, Fiscal Affairs, and Policy Development and Review Departments assisted the authorities in developing a macroeconomic framework to guide economic decision making aimed at achieving a sustainable, noninflationary recovery. Policy advice centered on issues crucial to a quick restoration of macroeconomic stability, including the formulation and execution of fiscal policy, the choice of currency arrangement, and the design and conduct of monetary policy. In early 2004, the authorities requested closer involvement from the IMF and an agreement was reached on a staff-monitored program (SMP), which provided a more detailed framework for economic policies for 2004/05. The main objectives of the SMP were to maintain macroeconomic stability and strengthen Afghanistan's institutional and administrative capacity to implement policies. The SMP was announced in the context of the donors' conference in Berlin in March 2004.²

¹In August 2002, the IMF opened a resident representative office in Kabul, and in February 2003, Afghanistan cleared its arrears to the IMF.

²The SMP is described in the Afghan government's Memorandum of Economic and Financial Policies, which was posted on the IMF's website on March 24, 2004. The IMF Staff Report on the SMP was also posted on the IMF's website (www.imf.org/External/NP/LOI/2004/afg/01) on April 16, 2004.

Economic Developments and Achievements

From the outset, the Afghan authorities proved strongly committed to achieving financial stability and maintaining fiscal discipline to support the reconstruction and recovery of the economy. They also sought to establish transparency in government operations and to improve economic management. The economy would be based on liberal and open markets, led by private sector activity with low state intervention. Also, the external trade, payments, and exchange systems would be open and liberal; and private sector investment would be promoted. In their efforts to achieve all these goals, the authorities received the support of the IMF, the AsDB (Box 1.1), the World Bank (Box 1.2), various UN agencies, and numerous bilateral donors. This book provides an overview of the institutional and economic achievements in Afghanistan from late 2001 to early 2004.

Chapter 2 describes the strong economic recovery that took place during 2002 and 2003. Economic growth, excluding opium production, is estimated to have reached almost 30 percent in 2002/03 and to have continued at a rate of about 16 percent in 2003/04.³ This recovery was most visible in agriculture, reflecting the end of prolonged drought, and in the construction and services sectors. Per capita GDP is estimated to have reached about \$200 in 2003/04 (although it was still one of the lowest levels in the world).

As the formal economy recovered, so did the production of opium. This has had a profound impact on the economy, with the share of the opium sector in the economy estimated to be about half. The ban on poppy cultivation imposed by the Taliban in 2000 was very successful, but opium production quickly returned to the levels of the late 1990s. Apart from improved weather conditions and an increase in area under cultivation, the reemergence of poppy cultivation reflected the lack of alternative livelihoods and the limited control of the central government beyond Kabul.

Although donor assistance has been sizable, a comparison of aid levels with other recent postconflict

countries suggests that aid flows to Afghanistan during 2002 and 2003 were relatively low. Disbursements were high compared with pledged amounts, but pledges were low compared with actual needs. Putting the country back on its own feet will continue to require sizable international assistance over the next several years. This assistance will need to be overwhelmingly in the form of grants to avoid possible future debt-servicing difficulties.

Chapter 3 traces the formulation and implementation of the government's budgetary policy. Afghanistan's fiscal policy, as embodied by the 2002/03 and 2003/04 operating and development budgets, was characterized by a strong commitment to respect fiscal discipline and refrain from monetary financing (the "no-overdraft rule"); the 2002/03 budget financing gap was entirely covered by donor support and the 2003/04 budget was based on the same principle. In 2002/03, expenditures are estimated to have reached \$349 million—mostly for government salaries, including those of the provinces, and for the security and social sectors—while domestic revenues turned out much higher than expected, at \$132 million. The execution of the 2002/03 budget was, however, seriously hampered by the breakdown of the fiscal system. Only a very small amount of the locally collected revenues were transferred to the center, and little information was available on provincial nonwage expenditures.

The 2003/04 operating budget adopted in March 2003 constituted a major improvement in procedures compared with the previous year. It envisaged a considerable increase in government expenditures, to \$550 million, with the increase concentrated in the security and education sectors. It also targeted a sharp rise in domestic revenues, to \$200 million. In the event, expenditures are estimated to have reached \$451 million in 2003/04, while domestic resources are estimated to have reached \$207 million. The \$1.8 billion development budget of 2003/04 provided a program of both reconstruction and humanitarian projects, whose outcome would depend on the availability of donor support and the authorities' implementation capacities.

Chapter 4 offers more detail on the progress made in rebuilding fiscal institutions. The biggest strides were made in improving expenditure management—the area where it was most needed, so the government could execute the budget and provide a still very basic level of public services to the population. The authorities also made progress toward fiscal transparency and accountability. Difficulties

³Afghan solar year 1381 ran from March 21, 2002, until March 20, 2003, while the solar year 1382 ran from March 21, 2003, until March 19, 2004. The fiscal calendar runs according to the solar year. The solar year 1381 corresponds to the fiscal year 2002/03.

Box 1.1. Asian Development Bank in Afghanistan

Afghanistan was a founding member of the Asian Development Bank (AsDB) in 1966. By the time of the Soviet occupation in 1979 and the suspension of AsDB operations, Afghanistan had received nine loans from the Asian Development Fund (ADF) totaling \$95.1 million and grant technical assistance (TA) totaling \$2.5 million.

The AsDB resumed operations when the Afghanistan Interim Administration was installed in December 2001. Since then, it has been substantially engaged in assisting Afghanistan. During 2002, AsDB provided \$15 million in capacity-building technical assistance grants, and administered a further \$22 million in grant-funded pilot projects from the Japan Fund for Poverty Reduction (JFPR). In 2003, AsDB planned to provide an additional \$10 million in capacity-building TA grants, and \$43 million in grants from JFPR and the Kuwait Fund for Arab Economic Development. Through September 2003, the AsDB had committed a total of \$300 million in a Postconflict Multisector Pro-

gram Loan (\$150 million), approved on December 4, 2002, and an Emergency Infrastructure Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Project (EIRRP) Loan (\$150 million), approved on June 3, 2003. The EIRRP is assisting the government in restoring key infrastructure in Afghanistan's transport (roads) and energy (electric power and gas) sectors.

The AsDB approved a Country Strategy and Program Update (CSPU) for Afghanistan in June 2003 for 2003–05, for which \$610 million was earmarked. The CSPU covered three broad sectors: (1) transportation (roads and civil aviation); (2) energy (power, gas, and petroleum); and (3) natural resources (agriculture, irrigation, and environment). Some \$10 million per year in technical assistance is planned in various areas during 2003–05.

All these loans were concessional, with a grant element equivalent to about 60 percent and principal repayment starting only in 2013.

remained in fiscal management of the provinces, but efforts were made to increase the central government's control over provincial finances. These efforts were rewarded and significant amounts of revenues collected by the provinces were transferred to the center in 2003/04.

After improving financial management, the focus of reform shifted toward increasing revenue mobilization, with the objective that the operating budget should eventually be fully financed from domestic revenues. To achieve this, the authorities embarked on a comprehensive reform of customs policy and administration, as well as a reform of tax policy and administration. Only limited progress was made in the difficult area of civil service reform, while the restructuring of the state-owned enterprise (SOE) sector had yet to begin.

Chapter 5 provides an overview of the challenges and issues that the authorities faced in the area of monetary and exchange rate policy. To regain control over the issuance of money, the authorities decided early on to introduce a new national currency, which would also be an important symbol of national unity and sovereignty. Replacing all banknotes in a postconflict country such as Afghanistan within a fairly short period posed tremendous logistical challenges. Nevertheless, after some initial problems that led to a spike in inflation in the fall of 2002, they succeeded in this

difficult endeavor sooner than many had thought possible. The introduction of the new currency was a crucial step in the authorities' efforts to establish financial stability.

At the same time, the central bank needed a framework to conduct an independent monetary policy. The authorities decided that the primary objective of monetary policy should be to achieve and maintain price stability and thus to instill confidence in the new national currency. To do so, the central bank aimed to control the domestic money supply, within the context of a floating exchange rate regime. While Afghanistan's existing economic conditions favored a floating exchange rate regime, the authorities nonetheless saw benefits in at least some degree of exchange rate stability to instill confidence in the new currency and to support price stability. Therefore, following the introduction and float of the new currency in early 2003, DAB aimed to limit exchange rate volatility and keep the exchange rate within a range, but without intending to resist persistent exchange rate pressures should these have emerged. Monetary policy was restrained, supported by the no-overdraft rule for the budget. As a result, inflation remained low in 2003 and early 2004. Moreover, in the absence of any major shocks, exchange rate stability was established with the exchange rate fluctuating at about Af 48 per U.S. dollar.

Box I.2. World Bank in Afghanistan

Following the end of the Taliban era, the World Bank quickly resumed its long-standing relationship with Afghanistan, and in May 2002, it officially reopened its office in Kabul. Between April 2002 and September 2003, the World Bank committed \$186.8 million in grants and an additional \$128.4 million in no-interest loans (“credits”) for development projects that included improving roads; increasing the power supply in Kabul; cleaning up municipal waste; repairing schools; and improving health services. These funds are also being used to strengthen public administration, and develop the national highway and civil aviation.

In addition, the World Bank administered a number of activities financed through separate trust fund facilities. These included projects funded by a \$50 million grant from the Japan Social Development Fund (JSDF)—including community rehabilitation, local infrastructure development, and capacity building within the Ministry of Health. A number of projects were also funded by the World Bank Post-Conflict Fund, including teacher training programs implemented by non-governmental organizations (NGOs), technical assistance in key areas requested by government, early impact projects and technical assistance in a range of priority sectors, and a database of expatriate Afghan experts. The World Bank has also acted as the administrator of the multilaterally supported Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund (ARTF), which is used to cover recurring government costs and salaries as well as finance a number of new projects in areas such as microfinance, telecommunications, and infrastructure.

In March 2003, the World Bank finalized its Transitional Support Strategy (TSS) for Afghanistan, designed to support the government’s National Development Framework. The TSS outlined the World Bank’s assistance to Afghanistan over a period of 18 months to 2 years. The strategy focused on four key areas: improving livelihoods; assisting with the government’s fiscal strategy, institutional development, and management; supporting governance and public administration reform; and helping to enable private sector development in Afghanistan. The TSS envisaged operations amounting to \$470 million over 2003/04 and 2004/05.

The renaissance of the financial sector will be vital to Afghanistan’s economic development. Chapter 6 describes the parlous state the financial sector had reached by the end of the Taliban period, and the progress that was made during 2002 and 2003. The central bank had inherited the legacy of Soviet-style banking and its sole function had become to print money to cover budget deficits. The existing six commercial and development banks had virtually ceased to function and they had lost the public’s confidence. With a dysfunctional banking system, money traders—that is, hawala service providers—had become the only providers of most banking services. During 2002 and 2003, the central bank made important progress in restoring some key functions, especially in the areas of monetary policy, payments, and supervision. To underpin DAB’s transformation and to enable the entry of new commercial banks, a new central bank law, which provided DAB with autonomy, and a banking law were enacted in September 2003.

Looking Ahead

Overall, the Afghan authorities made solid progress in the two years since the Bonn Agreement in improving economic management and achieving macroeconomic stability under very difficult circumstances. Of course, difficulties and risks remain in a number of areas. Restoring security throughout the country remains a key priority. To a large extent, this has been achieved for Kabul and its immediate surroundings with the help of the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF), but the government’s control over many regional areas is still very limited. This situation complicates macroeconomic management and prevents reconstruction from taking place outside Kabul. Without security, and progress in reconstruction, the country could become dominated by poppy cultivation, sending Afghanistan back into a downward spiral of violence and corruption. Another key priority is to maintain the engagement of the international community. This will be important both for the restoration of security and the reconstruction process. Donor support will continue to be needed for some time. It is to be hoped that the impressive progress in reconstructing Afghanistan over the past two years will serve to persuade the international community that its contribution has been, and will continue to be, more than worthwhile.