

Experience with Large Fiscal Adjustments

George C. Tsibouris, Mark A. Horton, Mark J. Flanagan,
and Wojciech S. Maliszewski



Experience with Large Fiscal Adjustments

George C. Tsibouris, Mark A. Horton, Mark J. Flanagan,
and Wojciech S. Maliszewski

© 2006 International Monetary Fund

Production: IMF Multimedia Services Division
Typesetting: Alicia Etchebarne-Bourdin

Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Experience with large fiscal adjustments/George C. Tsibouris . . . [et al.]—
Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund [2006].
p. cm.—(Occasional paper; no. 246)

ISBN 1-58906-458-5

Includes bibliographical references.

1. Fiscal policy. 2. Fiscal policy—Case studies. 3. Fiscal policy—
Developing countries. 4. International Monetary Fund. I. Tsibouris, George C.
II. Series: Occasional paper (International Monetary Fund); no. 246.

HJ192.5.E86 2006

Price: US\$28.00
(US\$25.00 to full-time faculty members and
students at universities and colleges)

Please send orders to:
International Monetary Fund, Publication Services
700 19th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20431, U.S.A.
Tel.: (202) 623-7430 Telefax: (202) 623-7201
E-mail: publications@imf.org
Internet: www.imf.org



recycled paper

Contents

Preface	v
I Overview	1
II Introduction	3
III What Is a “Large” Fiscal Adjustment?	4
IV What Sustains a Large Fiscal Adjustment?	9
Statistical Analysis and Case Study Findings	9
Duration Analysis of Large Fiscal Adjustments	14
V Macroeconomic Impact of Large Fiscal Adjustments	19
VI Conclusions	23
Appendixes	
I. Data and Definitions	24
II. Large Fiscal Adjustment Episodes	27
III. Case Studies of Large Fiscal Adjustment	30
IV. Econometric Methodology	40
V. Macroeconomic Impact of Fiscal Adjustment	41
Bibliography	44
Boxes	
3.1. Frequency of Large Fiscal Adjustments	6
4.1. Case Studies: Structural Fiscal Reforms	13
4.2. Political Support for Fiscal Adjustment	17
4.3. IMF’s Role in Supporting Adjustment Efforts	18
Text Tables	
3.1. Episodes of Fiscal Adjustment by Size and Length, 1971–2001	5
3.2. Pre-Adjustment Macroeconomic Context	6
3.3. Pre-Adjustment Financing Context	7
3.4. Pre-Adjustment Budget Structure	7
4.1. Components of Sustained Versus Reversed Fiscal Adjustments	10
4.2. Success of Fiscal Adjustment in Case Studies	11
4.3. Initial Conditions and Fiscal Adjustment	13
4.4. Enduring Adjustments: Up-Front Versus Gradual Cases	14
4.5. Components of Forced Versus Discretionary Fiscal Adjustments	15
4.6. Results from Proportional Hazard Model for Duration of Large Fiscal Adjustments	16

Text Figures

5.1. Event Studies of Large and Small Fiscal Adjustment: Primary Balance and Growth	20
5.2. Event Studies of Various Types of Large Fiscal Adjustment: Primary Balance and Growth	21

Appendix Tables

A1.1. Data Set: Country Coverage	25
A1.2. Data Sources and Transformations	26
A2.1. Large Fiscal Adjustment Episodes	27
A3.1. Context for Adjustment	30
A3.2. Adjustment Design	33
A3.3. Impact of Fiscal Adjustment	35
A3.4. Key Fiscal Structural Reforms and Subnational Adjustments	37
A3.5. Macroeconomic Impact of Large Fiscal Adjustment	38
A3.6. Role of the IMF	39

Appendix Figures

A5.1. Event Studies: Key Macroeconomic Indicators	41
A5.2. Event Studies: CPI Inflation and Real Private Consumption Growth	42
A5.3. Event Studies: Key External Indicators	43

The following symbols have been used throughout this paper:

- . . . to indicate that data are not available;
- to indicate that the figure is zero or less than half the final digit shown, or that the item does not exist;
- between years or months (e.g., 2003–04 or January–June) to indicate the years or months covered, including the beginning and ending years or months;
- / between years (e.g., 2003/04) to indicate a fiscal (financial) year.

“n.a.” means not applicable.

“Billion” means a thousand million.

Minor discrepancies between constituent figures and totals are due to rounding.

The term “country,” as used in this paper, does not in all cases refer to a territorial entity that is a state as understood by international law and practice; the term also covers some territorial entities that are not states, but for which statistical data are maintained and provided internationally on a separate and independent basis.

Preface

This Occasional Paper analyzes the experience of countries that have implemented very sizable fiscal adjustments over the past three decades. It aims to identify key conditions and institutional approaches that have contributed to sustained outcomes and to favorable macroeconomic developments. In this way, the paper may provide operational guidance to policymakers. The paper was prepared by George Tsibouris, Mark Horton, Mark Flanagan, and Wojciech Maliszewski while they were in the IMF's Fiscal Affairs Department (FAD).

The authors would like to thank Teresa Ter-Minassian, Jeff Davis, Sanjeev Gupta, and Rolando Ossowski for their guidance and advice on this study. Useful comments and suggestions were also provided by Anupam Basu, Adrienne Cheasty, Alfredo Cuevas, James Daniel, Xavier Debrun, Liam Ebrill, Hans Flickenschild, Manal Fouad, Michael Keen, Steven Symansky, and Ricardo Velloso. We are also grateful for feedback provided by participants in the annual FAD Academic Panel Conference in January 2004. Case studies were prepared by Thomas Baunsgaard, Ana Corbacho, Stephan Danninger, Lubin Doe, Stefano Fassina, Mark Flanagan, Mark Horton, and Antonio Spilimbergo. Luis Blancas and Noel Perez Benitez provided excellent research assistance throughout, while Anna Mateos-Perry and Juliet Narsiah ably assisted in preparing the manuscript. Archana Kumar of the External Relations Department edited the manuscript and coordinated production of the publication.

Opinions expressed in this paper are solely those of its authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the International Monetary Fund, its Executive Directors, or national authorities.

I Overview

There are instances when policymakers may have little option but to consider a sizable fiscal adjustment. In such circumstances, they may be confronted with questions such as, Can a large fiscal adjustment be implemented successfully? How is a large adjustment best designed and implemented? What will be its impact on the economy?

This paper sheds light on these questions by describing the experience of countries that have undertaken large fiscal adjustments over the past three decades. It identifies preconditions, common policy approaches, and institutional arrangements underlying successful and unsuccessful adjustment episodes, thereby providing operational guidance to policymakers.

The key findings of this paper are the following:

- Large adjustments have occurred relatively frequently over the past 30 years. Some 300 episodes of consolidation in excess of 5 percent of GDP were identified over the past three decades, nearly half of which involved an adjustment over a relatively short time span of just one to two years. Sizable consolidations have been implemented under a range of circumstances, including during financial crises, as part of planned adjustments, and in connection with improved economic circumstances. Large adjustments have been undertaken by a diverse group of countries, including G-7 countries, EU member states, resource-rich countries, major emerging market countries, and numerous developing countries.
- Macroeconomic conditions at the outset of large fiscal adjustments were relatively difficult. Countries that undertook large adjustments had significantly higher debt ratios and inflation at the outset, as well as more sluggish growth of GDP, private consumption, and investment, relative to countries that undertook small fiscal consolidations. Restoring sustainability and ensuring access to short-term financing proved to be pressing needs. The structure of the fiscal accounts for countries that undertook large fiscal adjustments showed greater reliance on relatively volatile

grants and nontax revenues, less reliance on domestic indirect taxes, and more capital investment outlays in the run-up to the adjustment.

- Durable large fiscal adjustments relied primarily on expenditure reduction. Statistical and econometric analyses suggest that a balanced reduction of capital and current spending, with an emphasis on a durable lowering of the wage bill, worked best in these situations. A high interest burden proved relatively difficult to overcome and led to a lower incidence of success. Medium-term budgetary frameworks tangibly supported the adjustment by providing a road map and a benchmark when additional measures were needed.
- There were also cases of durable fiscal adjustments based on revenue enhancement, particularly in countries with low initial revenue-to-GDP ratios and where the pace of adjustment was more gradual. This allowed for sustained implementation over time of tax policy and administration reforms.
- High political risk had an adverse impact on the duration of adjustment. Case studies confirm that political support was a key element in sustained fiscal adjustments.
- Large adjustments, in contrast with small consolidations, generally had a positive macroeconomic impact. Among large adjustments, a more gradual pace of implementation seems to have led to more favorable macroeconomic outcomes.

The analysis of individual country experiences also highlights the importance of fiscal structural reforms. In particular,

- greater transparency and improved monitoring of the fiscal stance has helped to focus attention on underlying policies at an early stage and to generate stronger political support;
- enhanced legal and institutional arrangements, as well as fiscal indicators such as the nonresource balance in oil-producing countries, have played an important role; and

- countries with more advanced expenditure management systems faced a less arduous task when undertaking fiscal adjustments by being

able to better track and control contingent liabilities and tax expenditures, and to improve debt management.