

REGISTRY'S SUMMARY¹: *Mr. "X", Applicant v. International Monetary Fund, Respondent*
(*Revision of Judgment No. 1994-1*), IMFAT Order No. 1995-1 (May 5, 2025)

REQUEST FOR REVISION – DECISIVE INFLUENCE

Applicant filed a request for revision of Judgment No. 1994-1 pursuant to Article XVI of the Tribunal's Statute and Rule XIX of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure.

Article XVI of the Tribunal's Statute provides that "[a] party to a case in which a judgment has been delivered may, in the event of the discovery of a fact which by its nature might have had a decisive influence on the judgment of the Tribunal, and which at the time the judgment was delivered was unknown both to the Tribunal and to that party, request the Tribunal, within a period of six months after that party acquired knowledge of such fact, to revise the judgment." This provision is amplified by Rule XIX of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure.

Applicant contended that a letter from the Fund contained new information that might have had a decisive influence on the judgment of the Tribunal. The "new information" referred to the financial balance that was struck in the fiscal year immediately following Applicant's retirement, at a time well in advance of the October 15, 1992 commencement of the Tribunal's jurisdiction. The Tribunal decided not to admit the Application for revision because the "new information" on which Applicant relied was not of a nature that might have had a decisive influence on Judgment No. 1994-1.

¹ This summary is provided by the Registry to assist in understanding the Tribunal's Order. It does not form part of the Order. The full Order of the Tribunal is the only authoritative text. The Tribunal's Orders are available at: www.imf.org/tribunal.