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1. Direct Investment – 10 percent threshold of voting power/equity ownership, employment

2. Current international standards: Definition of direct investment

1. The IMF Balance of Payments Manual, 5th edition (BPM5) and the OECD Benchmark Definition of Foreign Direct Investment, 3rd edition (Benchmark Definition) are largely consistent with regard to basic principles used for the definition of direct investment, direct investor, and direct investment enterprise. Direct investment is based on the concept of ownership; it does not require the control of the direct investment enterprise by the direct investor. The underlying motivation of the direct investor distinguishes direct investment from other types of cross-border investments, in particular portfolio investment. The economic and other benefits of cross-border investment to both “home” and “host” economies are directly linked to the type of relationship established between the investor and the non-resident enterprise.

2. “Foreign direct investment reflects the objective of obtaining a lasting interest by a resident entity in one economy ("direct investor") in an entity resident in an economy other than that of the investor ("direct investment enterprise"). The lasting interest implies the existence of a long-term relationship between the direct investor and the enterprise and a significant degree of influence on the management of the enterprise. Direct investment involves both the initial transaction between the two entities and all subsequent capital transactions between them and among affiliated enterprises, both incorporated and unincorporated.” §5 Benchmark Definition (see also §359, IMF BPM5)

3. “The numerical guideline of ownership of 10 per cent of ordinary shares or voting stock determines the existence of a direct investment relationship. An effective voice in the management, as evidenced by an ownership of at least 10 per cent, implies that the direct investor is able to influence or participate in the management of an enterprise; it does not require absolute control by the foreign investor.” §8, Benchmark Definition (see also §359, IMF BPM5)

4. “…A direct investment enterprise is defined … as an incorporated or unincorporated enterprise in which a direct investor, who is resident in another economy, owns 10 percent or more of the ordinary shares or voting power (for an incorporated enterprise) or the equivalent (for an unincorporated enterprise). Direct investment enterprises comprise those entities that are subsidiaries (a nonresident investor owns more than 50 percent), associates (an investor owns 50 percent or less) and branches (wholly or jointly owned unincorporated enterprises) either directly or indirectly owned by the direct investor. … Subsidiaries in this connotation also may be identified as majority owned affiliates.” §362, IMF BPM5 (see also §6 and §7, Benchmark Definition)

5. Both the IMF BPM5 and the OECD Benchmark Definition do not recommend any qualifications to the 10 per cent numerical guideline which is set for statistical purposes to facilitate international comparison.

6. Multinational enterprises have recourse to special organisational structures which are vehicles mostly set up to facilitate financing of investments. These are usually referred to as Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) and can take different forms.

7. “SPEs are (1) generally organised or established in economies other than those in which the parent companies are resident and (2) engaged primarily in international transactions but in few or no local operations. SPEs are defined either by their structure (e.g., financing subsidiary, holding company, base company, regional headquarters), or their purpose (e.g. sale and regional administration, management of
foreign exchange risk, facilitation of financing of investment). SPEs should be treated as direct investment enterprises if they meet the 10 per cent criterion. SPEs are an integral part of direct investment networks as are, for the most part, SPE transactions with other members of the group.

For SPEs that have the sole purpose of serving as financial intermediaries:

- All transactions except those with affiliated banks and affiliated financial intermediaries should be recorded in the direct investment data.
- Transactions with affiliated banks and affiliated financial intermediaries should be excluded from the direct investment data, except transactions in equity capital and permanent debt.” (Foreign Direct Investment Statistics: How Countries Measure FDI – 2001, OECD/IMF, p.158)

3. Concerns/shortcomings of the current recommendation

3.1 Ordinary shares or voting power

8. The recommendation has led to some confusion for the interpretation of “ordinary shares or voting power” which, in principle, represents the same concept. The definition of ordinary shares is: “Ownership share with full voting rights, commonly known as equities. Ordinary shares are usually issued in registered form.” Appendix VI, IMF Co-ordinated Portfolio Investment Survey Guide, 2nd edition

9. On the other hand, any exceptions to the recommendation should be indicated, such as “golden shares” which “provide governments with special powers and veto rights in the fully or partially privatised companies”. (see Privatising state-owned enterprises – An overview of policies and practices in OECD countries, 2003, OECD) Such clauses are not very common and usually have limited scope and duration. From an analytical view, they should not prohibit the transaction to be recorded as FDI if other criteria are met.

10. With regard to the definition of subsidiaries, the Benchmark Definition may lead to a different interpretation than the definition provided in the IMF BPM5:

“Subsidiary Companies

Company X is a subsidiary of enterprise N if, and only if

(i) enterprise N either

(1) is a shareholder in or member of X and has the right to appoint or remove a majority of the members of X's administrative, management or supervisory body; or

(2) owns more than half of the shareholders' or members' voting power in X; or

(ii) company X is a subsidiary of any other company Y which is a subsidiary of N.” (§14 Benchmark Definition)

The features explained under (i) are expressed as “either/or” implying that both criteria are acceptable. The description under (1) may be interpreted as referring to ownership of shares of less than 50 per cent but having the rights generally attributed to controlling enterprises. For example, in a case where 3 foreign investors share the ownership of the direct investment enterprise where neither one owns more than 50 per cent of the enterprise but have 20, 25, 40 per cent of the shares, respectively. The latter enterprise may have the rights described under (1) even if it does not meet the requirement expressed under (2) which recognises only a numerical threshold. The case described under (ii) will be discussed in a separate document on the Fully Consolidated System.

3.2 Practical application of the 10 per cent equity ownership

11. In spite of the improvements in the recent years, all countries still do not apply fully the international standards. For example, at end 2001: out of 27 OECD countries only 20 countries used the
10 per cent threshold of equity ownership as the sole criteria for inward FDI transactions and only 16 countries for outward transactions. The results, although not identical, are very similar for FDI positions.(for more details see, *Foreign Direct Investment Statistics – How countries measure FD -2001*, IMF/OECD)

12. Although not recommended, some countries still make two qualifications to the 10 per cent criteria: (i) if a direct investor owns less than 10 per cent of the shares or voting power of an enterprise but is considered to have an effective voice in the management; and (ii) if a direct investor owns more than 10 per cent of the shares or voting power of an enterprise but is considered not to have an effective voice in the management. These qualifications may be based on additional criteria such as:

- representation on the board of directors;
- participation in policy-making processes;
- material inter-company transactions;
- interchange of managerial personnel;
- provision of technical information;
- provision of long-term loans at lower than existing market rates.

13. In addition, some countries apply an additional value threshold to identify the population of direct investment enterprises or direct investors. For example, for position data Germany applies an additional threshold of € 3 million based on the balance sheet totals of direct investment enterprises to exclude smaller enterprises from the FDI population. Some other countries apply a different criteria in relation to the size of the enterprise, even if the *Benchmark Definition* recommends that data collection should cover all enterprises (see § 78).

14. Some countries have different treatments for incorporated and unincorporated enterprise when applying the basic principles.

3.3 *Difference with International Accounting Standards- IAS*

15. The IAS uses a different threshold than the IMF *BPM5* and the OECD *Benchmark Definition*. According to the IAS:

“*A holding of 20% or more of the voting power (directly or through subsidiaries) will indicate significant influence unless it can be clearly demonstrated otherwise. If the holding is less than 20%, the investor will be presumed not to have significant influence unless such influence can be clearly demonstrated*. [IAS 28.6]"

The existence of significant influence by an investor is usually evidenced in one or more of the following ways: [IAS 28.7]

- representation on the board of directors or equivalent governing body of the investee;
- participation in the policy-making process;
- material transactions between the investor and the investee;
- interchange of managerial personnel; or
- provision of essential technical information.

Potential voting rights are a factor to be considered in deciding whether significant influence exists”. [IAS 28.9]

16. The harmonisation of the FDI and IAS thresholds would have a recognised advantage of simplifying and facilitating the collection of FDI position statistics. It is necessary to debate the impact of such a change for FDI statistical systems in reporting countries and the analytical relevance of the recommendation.
3.4 OECD Codes

17. OECD codes of Liberalisation of Capital Movements (Codes) do not stipulate a specific numerical guide but, in practice, most countries apply the 10 per cent threshold: “The definition of what constitutes the ‘effective voice in the management’ of an enterprise, which could be based on the degree of foreign participation, the level or the size of the investment in an enterprise or any other criteria, is left to the consideration of each member country under its law.” (Codes, User Guide, p.61)

4. Alternative treatments

18. In addition to the 10 (or 20) per cent threshold, it is proposed to include other criteria for the definition of direct investment.

4.1 Economic definition of FDI

19. According to current recommendations regarding the treatment of SPEs, it is not possible to distinguish direct investment in the “real” economy. The report of the OECD Secretariat and the report of the Eurostat/ECB task force on FDI, demonstrated that there is no common legal or statistical definition of SPEs. In the absence of a universal definition of SPEs or other recognised criteria to identify them, relevant transactions cannot be identified as a separate item. Even if it is expected that the revision of international standards may bring additional clarification to SPEs, the integration of SPEs in total FDI will continue to hamper economic analysis.

20. It is recognised that the large majority of SPEs do not have “significant employment”. Hence, it would be more meaningful to introduce an “employment criterion” and a measurement of the “size of capital” of the enterprise in addition to the 10 per cent equity ownership, i.e. setting the minimal number of employees for the direct investment enterprise. This new definition of FDI would exclude the transactions by most SPEs and limit the statistics to the transactions which have an impact on the “real” economy. Such a distinction is necessary for analytical work on FDI and for policy making. (see also background document “A new definition of FDI”)

5. Questions for discussion

Q1 Should the 10 per cent criteria currently applied to identify the direct investment relationship be maintained or should the threshold be rather changed to 20 per cent (as in IAS) or to 50 per cent (used for the statistics on the activities of foreign affiliates)? What is the trade-off between aligning the threshold with IAS and maintaining the current criterion? What are the implications for historical revisions, of at least main aggregates?

Q2 Current international standards do not allow a flexible treatment of the 10 per cent criterion. There are countries which deviate from the recommendation. Are there additional recommendations to avoid such deviations? Are there recognised exceptions? Is there a need to further clarify “ordinary shares or voting rights”? Is there a need to improve the definition of “subsidiary”, “associate”, and “branch”?

Q3 The current definition of direct investment relates mostly to legal company structures as opposed to economic structures. The proposal to include additional criteria on the “number of employees” and the “size of capital” is intended to add an economic dimension to the definition in response to analytical problems raised in relation to FDI statistics. How could the criteria be specifically formulated as a part of the revised definition of FDI?
### Definitions used to identify direct investment enterprises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Countries that apply the 10 per cent ownership threshold as their basic criterion</th>
<th>Countries that apply a percentage of ownership different from the 10% threshold as their basic criterion</th>
<th>Countries that apply the 10% ownership threshold but use an additional qualification to the threshold</th>
<th>Countries that apply a value threshold to identify FDI enterprises</th>
<th>Countries that apply different treatments for incorporated and unincorporated FDI enterprises</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inward FDI transactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD countries (30)</td>
<td>Yes = 28, No = 1, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 27, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 6, No = 23, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 4, No = 25, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 27, NA = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other identified countries (26)</td>
<td>Yes = 25, No = 1, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 4, No = 22, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 4, No = 22, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 25, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 24, NA = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unidentified countries (5) *</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 3, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 3, No = 2, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 5, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 5, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 5, NA = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (61)</td>
<td>Yes = 55, No = 6, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 9, No = 51, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 10, No = 50, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 3, No = 57, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 55, NA = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inward FDI positions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD countries (30)</td>
<td>Yes = 28, No = 0, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 27, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 5, No = 23, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 27, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 6, No = 22, NA = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other identified countries (26)</td>
<td>Yes = 19, No = 0, NA = 7</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 18, NA = 7</td>
<td>Yes = 3, No = 16, NA = 7</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 18, NA = 7</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 17, NA = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unidentified countries (5) *</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 2, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 1, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 1, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 1, NA = 1</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 1, NA = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (61)</td>
<td>Yes = 49, No = 2, NA = 10</td>
<td>Yes = 4, No = 47, NA = 10</td>
<td>Yes = 8, No = 43, NA = 10</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 49, NA = 10</td>
<td>Yes = 5, No = 46, NA = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outward FDI transactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD countries (30)</td>
<td>Yes = 27, No = 1, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 26, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 8, No = 20, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 3, No = 25, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 5, No = 22, NA = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other identified countries (26)</td>
<td>Yes = 21, No = 2, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 4, No = 19, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 21, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 23, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 22, NA = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unidentified countries (5) *</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 3, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 3, No = 2, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 5, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 5, NA = 0</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 5, NA = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (61)</td>
<td>Yes = 50, No = 6, NA = 5</td>
<td>Yes = 9, No = 47, NA = 5</td>
<td>Yes = 10, No = 46, NA = 5</td>
<td>Yes = 3, No = 53, NA = 5</td>
<td>Yes = 5, No = 50, NA = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outward FDI positions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD countries (30)</td>
<td>Yes = 27, No = 0, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 26, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 7, No = 20, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 25, NA = 3</td>
<td>Yes = 7, No = 23, NA = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other identified countries (26)</td>
<td>Yes = 17, No = 1, NA = 8</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 16, NA = 8</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 16, NA = 8</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 18, NA = 8</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 17, NA = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unidentified countries (5) *</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 1, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 1, No = 2, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 2, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 2, NA = 2</td>
<td>Yes = 0, No = 2, NA = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (61)</td>
<td>Yes = 48, No = 2, NA = 13</td>
<td>Yes = 4, No = 44, NA = 13</td>
<td>Yes = 9, No = 39, NA = 13</td>
<td>Yes = 2, No = 46, NA = 13</td>
<td>Yes = 8, No = 40, NA = 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Foreign Direct Investment: How countries Measure FDI-2001, IMF/OECD