



IMF Research Bulletin Moves Online

Starting with the next issue in September 2008, the *IMF Research Bulletin* will be available exclusively as an online product. The print version of the *Bulletin* will be discontinued. To sign up to receive a free e-mail notification when subsequent quarterly issues of the *Bulletin* are posted, please subscribe at <https://www.imf.org/external/cntpst/index.aspx>. Readers will also be able to access the *Bulletin* at any time free of charge at <http://www.imf.org/researchbulletin>.

In This Issue

| | |
|--|----|
| Latin America's External Linkages | 1 |
| Reaping the Benefits of Structural Reforms | 1 |
| Regional Study: The Eastern Caribbean Currency Union | 6 |
| IMF Staff Papers | 8 |
| Recent External Publications by IMF Staff | 8 |
| Working Papers | 9 |
| Visiting Scholars | 11 |
| Jacques Polak Ninth Annual Research Conference | 12 |

Research Summaries

Latin America's External Linkages

Shaun Roache



Recent global financial market volatility and evidence of a slow-down of growth in the United States have rekindled interest in an old question: how are changes in external conditions likely to affect growth in Latin America? The diversity of the region implies that many external factors could have a strong influence, among them external demand, interest rates in advanced countries, investor risk appetite, remittance flows, and commodity prices. This article reviews recent IMF research on how external factors, both macroeconomic and financial, affect economic growth in Latin America.

From the broader literature, a number of stylized facts can be established about the nature of Latin America's external linkages and how they have changed over time. Linkages seemed to be at their strongest during the 1970s, even though the region was relatively closed to external trade. This was due to a variety of common shocks, including the sharp rises in world oil prices that buffeted the global economy throughout that decade. *(continued on page 2)*

Reaping the Benefits of Structural Reforms

Stephen Tokarick



IMF research has shown that structural reforms—the liberalization of product and factor markets—have many benefits. They raise growth rates and productivity, although it may take some time before these benefits are realized. Research has revealed that there may be complementarities among certain types of reforms that reinforce the impact of one on the other. Overall, structural reforms are an important component of a policy package that countries can implement to raise per-capita real GDP.

How can countries accelerate their rate of economic growth? Policymakers the world over frequently grapple with this question. And while there is no magic formula, it is fair to say that a consensus has emerged that any economic program designed to spur growth must include structural reforms—policies that liberalize financial, product, and factor markets (e.g., labor markets) and reduce barriers to international trade. Structural reforms typically are an important component of IMF-supported adjustment programs, but just how effective are they? A large body of research undertaken at the IMF *(continued on page 4)*

Latin America's External Linkages

(continued from page 1)

During the 1980s, the emerging market debt crisis that afflicted many key countries in the region, together with civil conflict in Central America, weakened these global linkages, causing growth to be determined largely by regional and domestic factors. The story since the mid-1990s has been one of increasing external integration and strengthening linkages. Common shocks are now playing a less important role; trade liberalization, increasing openness, and the globalization of capital markets, including for foreign direct investment, now explain much of the region's rising sensitivity to external factors.

A number of recent IMF studies have explored external linkages in Latin America and attempted to quantify the effects of external shocks and cycles on regional economic growth. Österholm and Zettelmeyer (2007) assess the effect of a variety of external shocks on the largest six countries in Latin America using a Bayesian vector autoregression (VAR) approach. While VAR models have been used by others to address this topic in the region (e.g., Canova, 2005), the Bayesian approach overcomes some drawbacks of conventional VARs, including a large number of parameters and often short sample periods. This is achieved by imposing “informative priors” on the long-run steady state, on which the forecaster often has an opinion.

In the main specification, external factors are represented by world GDP growth, a trade-weighted index of commodity prices that are relevant for Latin America, U.S. Treasury bill rates, and the high-yield corporate bond spread in the United States to capture investor risk aversion. Also included is the Latin America subcomponent of JP Morgan's Emerging Market Bond Index (EMBI), which is influenced both by external financing conditions and regional domestic fundamentals. As a measure of Latin American growth, a weighted index for Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru is used. Imposing the restriction that the external factors were not influenced by developments in Latin America, the key result is that shocks to world GDP growth are passed on to Latin America about one-for-one—that is, a one standard deviation 0.3 percent world growth shock leads to an increase in (four-quarter) Latin American growth by about 0.4 of a percentage point after four quarters. A similar result is obtained when applying a U.S. growth shock. In recent months, the focus has increasingly shifted to the linkages running from financial markets to the real economy, and the evidence from this model suggests that this sensitivity

was high, at least over the 1994–2006 sample period. The reaction of Latin American growth to U.S. interest rates is muted, but one standard deviation shocks to the U.S. high-yield bond or Latin American EMBI spreads, interpreted as higher risk aversion, were associated with declines in annual growth of 0.9 and 0.5 percent respectively after three quarters. Commodity prices, a key component of exports for many countries in the region, are also a key transmission mechanism. Overall, the conclusion is that the region may remain sensitive to a number of adverse external scenarios, including sharply tighter financing conditions, particularly when combined with slower world growth or a large and rapid drop in commodity prices, which in turn would have consequences for capital flows to the region.

This approach also yields insights at the country level. Abrego and Österholm (2008) apply this model to Colombia and find sensitivities higher than the one-for-one results from the regional model—in fact, GDP growth would decline by a cumulative 1.4 percentage points over four quarters in response to a 1 percentage point decline in global growth over the same period.

The results for Latin America from the Bayesian VAR approach are broadly similar to those obtained from a more conventional VAR model described in the April 2007 *World Economic Outlook*, which included three external variables unaffected by developments elsewhere—growth in the United States, Japan, and the euro area—and three country-specific variables, including growth, inflation, and the real effective exchange rate (IMF, 2007). Using quarterly data over 1991–2005, changes in U.S. growth have almost a one-for-one impact on Latin American growth, with spillovers peaking after one quarter and dying out after three to four quarters.

A second, different way of measuring linkages is by identifying the “common factors” that influence economic growth. These factors may not just be one-off shocks from one country to another, but instead recurring influences that determine the periodic fluctuations of the business cycle that may originate in one country or be common to both. A study in the April 2007 *World Economic Outlook*, closely related to the Bayesian dynamic factor model of Kose, Otrok, and Whiteman (2003), follows this approach and assesses common global and regional factors influencing growth in output, private consumption, and private fixed investment across 93 countries.

Using a variance decomposition measure for 19 Latin American countries, the influence of global and regional factors for the two decades through 2005 appears to have

declined compared with the 1960–85 period. This is consistent with other results that suggest that the common global shocks of the 1970s and the influence of the regional debt crisis in the early 1980s prompted external linkages to strengthen sharply. A second key result is that country factors have tended to be much more important in Latin America than in other regions over the later sample—just over 50 percent of output growth variance is explained by country-specific influences, compared with 31 percent in emerging Asia and Japan and 27 percent in Western Europe.

Aiolfi, Catão, and Timmerman (2006) use a different technique to extract common dynamic factors for four large Latin American countries using a comprehensive set of annual economic data that, in some cases, stretch back to 1870. Using a range of indicators, a country business-cycle indicator was constructed and linkages were then assessed by measuring the proportion of time that two cycles are in the same state—for a sample period dominated by the 1990s, results were diverse across country pairings, confirming the heterogeneity of the region throughout this period.

Interesting regional case studies are provided by Central America. These economies are relatively open and geographically close to the United States, with a number of transmission channels through which U.S. cyclical fluctuations could be transmitted, including trade, the financial sector, and migrant worker remittance flows. Roache (2008) explores the strength of Central America's linkages by focusing on the extent to which these economies share common trends and cycles with each other and the United States. Using the cofeature method of Vahid and Engle (1993), the paper applies the insights of cointegration to the analysis of stationary, or cyclical, economic data. The results indicate that the Central American business cycle, defined as periodic and transient fluctuations in growth, is dominated by the United States. Indeed, these linkages appear to be much stronger than simple regressions of GDP growth rates would imply. So why does output growth sometimes diverge? This model suggests that region-specific long-run shocks, including civil conflicts, terms of trade shocks and poor policy responses, rather than a unique regional business cycle, are responsible for those periods when growth has diverged from the United States. Kose and Rebucci (2005) use a VAR approach to show that external shocks, on average, are estimated to account for around one-third of total real output variance, close to the levels estimated for Mexico. Multi-country versions of this model suggest that these external shocks are in fact less impor-

tant than regional (i.e., Central American) shocks, which account for around one-half of output variance.

Future work is likely to sharpen the focus on linkages that run from external financial markets to the real economies of the region. Recent work has touched upon this issue, but two fundamental changes likely imply that the nature of these linkages has changed. First, recent external shocks have emerged after an exceptional period of strength both globally and for countries in Latin America, which is helping contain the impact. In particular, compared with the 1990s, improved public and private sector balance sheets, lower and better anchored inflation expectations, and strengthened policy frameworks have made the region more resilient to changes in international financial conditions. Second, financial linkages have become more complex, moving beyond foreign currency sovereign borrowing in international markets to include increasing corporate financial market activity, local currency debt issuance to foreign investors, and the potential role that remittance inflows might play in the financial system.

References

- Abrego, Lisandro, and Pär Österholm, 2008, "External Linkages and Economic Growth in Colombia: Insights from a Bayesian VAR Model," IMF Working Paper 08/46.
- Aiolfi, Marco, Luis Catão, and Allan Timmermann, 2006, "Common Factors in Latin America's Business Cycles," IMF Working Paper 06/49.
- Canova, Fabio, 2005, "The Transmission of U.S. Shocks to Latin America," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 229–51.
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 2007, "Decoupling the Train? Spillovers and Cycles in the Global Economy," Chapter 4 in *World Economic Outlook, April* (Washington).
- Kose, M. Ayhan, and Alessandro Rebucci, 2005, "How Might CAFTA Change Macroeconomic Fluctuations in Central America? Lessons from NAFTA," *Journal of Asian Economics*, Vol. 16 (February), pp. 77–104.
- Kose, M. Ayhan, Christopher Otrok, and Charles H. Whiteman, 2003, "International Business Cycles: World, Region, and Country-Specific Factors," *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 93, No. 4 (November), pp. 1216–239.
- Österholm, Pär, and Jeromin Zettelmeyer, 2007, "The Effect of External Conditions on Growth in Latin America," IMF Working Paper 07/176.
- Roache, Shaun, 2008, "Central America's Regional Trends and U.S. Cycles," IMF Working Paper 08/50.
- Vahid, F., and R.F. Engle, 1993, "Common Trends and Common Cycles," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, Vol. 8, No. 4., pp. 341–60.

Reaping the Benefits of Structural Reforms

(continued from page 1)

suggests that they are essential if countries are to grow more rapidly.

IMF research points to the general conclusion that structural reforms are beneficial to the country undertaking them, although these benefits may take some time before they materialize. Tresselt (2008) examined the effects of financial and trade reforms on the performance of manufacturing output across a number of countries. He found that financial sector reforms had two important effects: (1) they improved the efficiency of financial intermediation by reallocating capital across sectors; and (2) they enhanced the resilience of economies to shocks. Trade reforms resulted in faster output growth in export sectors, especially those that used imported intermediate inputs to a large extent. Campos and Kinoshita (2008) reported robust evidence of a positive relationship between reforms, especially financial sector liberalization and privatization, and the ability of a country to attract foreign direct investment.

In a survey paper, the IMF (2004) concluded that structural policies in the context of Fund-supported programs—at least those designed to assist in fiscal adjustment and enhancing output growth—have been effective. Research has revealed that the benefits of structural reforms do not occur immediately, however. For example, Salgado (2002) investigated the impact of structural reforms on productivity growth in 20 countries in the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and found that over the long run, the reforms—particularly product market and trade reforms—had a significantly positive effect on productivity growth. In the short run, however, the impact of the reforms was weak or even negative, suggesting that the existence of adjustment costs precluded a full realization of the benefits until some time had elapsed.

Hauner and Prati (2008) investigated the issue of the proper sequencing of reforms. They considered the links between openness to international trade and domestic financial regulation and found that trade liberalization was a significant leading indicator of both domestic financial liberalization and capital account liberalization—a result that was robust using different data frequencies and estimation methods. They also noted that product market liberalization is a leading indicator of domestic financial reform, suggesting that the opposition of interest groups to financial sector reforms weakens once product market liberalization takes place.

Owing to data availability, a large body of IMF research has focused on the impact of structural reforms in the advanced economies of Europe. These studies all reach a similar conclusion: these economies would benefit, in terms of enhanced growth prospects, if they would undertake structural reforms to make their labor and product markets more resilient. Everaert and Schule (2008) used the IMF's Global Economy Model to show that the European Union would enjoy sizable output and employment gains in the long run if it were to boost competition in product and labor markets.

One area that has received quite a lot of attention has been the impact of labor market reforms in Europe. Estevão (2005) observed that real wage growth in the European Union has moderated significantly over the past 20 years, but that there has not been much of a reduction in the unemployment rate in the region. He found that the positive impact of wage moderation was offset in large part by the high degree of product market regulation and the

“While structural reforms are not a magic bullet for curing all economic ills, they do have a key role to play in raising living standards across countries. However, most of the research on the effects of structural reforms has been limited to advanced countries.”

existence of barriers to firm entry. Under these conditions, the effects of wage moderation on output and employment growth were substantially muted. With rigidities in product markets in place, the wage reductions showed up as larger industry profits and rents. Boeri (2005) also emphasized the beneficial effects of reform to both labor and product markets. Berger and Danninger (2007) studied a sample of OECD countries and concluded that labor and product market reforms would raise aggregate employment significantly. The authors highlighted that their results come about in large part as a result of interaction effects between the two types of reforms.

Recent IMF research has also evaluated a specific type of labor market reform for Europe. Zhou (2006) studied a type of reform to employment protection legislation that relaxed restrictions on hiring and firing temporary workers, while leaving those on permanent employees unchanged. She concluded that the impact of this type of partial reform

depends on the particular labor market, but that it raises the unemployment rate in the specific case of France.

IMF research has also evaluated the impact of structural reforms across a range of emerging market economies. Enders (2007) undertook a careful case study in order to understand the reasons behind the acceleration in growth in Egypt in recent years. Using the “decision tree” approach of Hausmann, Rodrik, and Velasco (forthcoming), Enders concluded that the principal constraints on growth in Egypt were due to complex regulations facing entrepreneurs and the inefficient delivery of government services. He reported that the reforms adopted by the Egyptian authorities in 2004 designed to reduce regulations have had a positive effect on economic growth. Similarly, Gemayel and Grigorian (2005) have shown that Uzbekistan would benefit from a wide-ranging set of structural reforms. For India, Topalova (2004) has uncovered evidence that trade liberalization raised the level and growth of firm productivity in the manufacturing sector. Khatri and Ogawa (2007) have shown that reforms by the Japanese government that reduced regulations in the service sector have been successful in raising productivity growth.

Adrogué, Cerisola, and Gelos (2006) studied the long-run growth performance of Brazil and concluded that macroeconomic stability, along with several structural reforms such as trade liberalization and improved financial sector intermediation, have helped increase per capita GDP growth since the mid-1980s. They did note, however, that some longstanding structural weaknesses, such as the high level of government consumption, continue to retard growth. In addition to its high level, the variability of government consumption may also affect growth outcomes. In this vein, Mody and Schindler (2006) found that a lack of fiscal discipline was at the heart of Argentina’s volatile growth history.

While structural reforms are not a magic bullet for curing all economic ills, IMF research demonstrates that they do have a key role to play in raising living standards across countries. As noted above, however, most of the research on the effects of structural reforms has been limited to advanced countries. The IMF continues to be actively engaged in this type of research¹ and is compiling a new dataset on structural reforms in 91 countries for the period from 1973 to 2006, including many less-developed countries.²

¹For a summary of recent conference on this topic, see <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/survey/so/2008/RES031708A.htm>.

²One subset of these data, a disaggregated dataset on capital account transactions, will be published in a forthcoming paper by Martin Schindler.

References

- Adrogué, Ricardo, Martin Cerisola, and R. Gaston Gelos, 2006, “Brazil’s Long-Term Growth Performance—Trying to Explain the Puzzle,” IMF Working Paper 06/282.
- Berger, Helge, and Stephan Danninger, 2007, “The Employment Effects of Labor and Product Market Deregulation and Their Implications for Structural Reform,” *IMF Staff Papers*, Vol. 54, No. 3, pp. 591–619.
- Boeri, Tito, 2005, “Reforming Labor and Product Markets: Some Lessons from Two Decades of Experiments in Europe,” IMF Working Paper 05/97.
- Campos, Nauro, and Yuko Kinoshita, 2008, “Foreign Direct Investment and Structural Reforms: Panel Evidence from Eastern Europe and Latin America,” IMF Working Paper 08/26.
- Enders, Klaus, 2007, “Egypt—Searching for Binding Constraints on Growth,” IMF Working Paper 07/57.
- Estevão, Marcello, 2005, “Product Market Regulation and the Benefits of Wage Moderation,” IMF Working Paper 05/191.
- Everaert, Luc, and Werner Schule, 2008, “Why It Pays to Synchronize Structural Reforms in the Euro Area Across Markets and Countries,” *IMF Staff Papers*, Vol. 55, No. 2.
- Gemayel, Edward, and David Grigorian, 2005, “How Tight is Too Tight? A Look at Welfare Implications of Distortionary Policies in Uzbekistan,” IMF Working Paper 05/239.
- Hauner, David, and Alessandro Prati, 2008, “Openness and Domestic Financial Liberalization: Which Comes First?” Paper presented at the Conference on the Causes and Consequences of Structural Reforms, International Monetary Fund, Washington, February 28–29.
- Hausmann, Ricardo, Dani Rodrik, and Andres Velasco, forthcoming, “Growth Diagnostics,” in *The Washington Consensus Reconsidered: Towards a New Global Governance*, ed. by J. Stiglitz and N. Serra (New York: Oxford University Press).
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 2004, “Macroeconomic and Structural Policies in Fund-Supported Programs: Review of Experience,” Policy Development and Review Department (unpublished).
- Khatri, Yougesh, and Sumiko Ogawa, 2007, “Japan: Boosting Productivity in Services—Priorities for Deregulation,” in *Japan: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 07/281.
- Mody, Ashoka, and Martin Schindler, 2006, “Argentina’s Growth: A Puzzle?” (unpublished).
- Salgado, Ranil, 2002, “Impact of Structural Reforms on Productivity Growth in Industrial Countries,” IMF Working Paper 02/10.
- Schindler, Martin, forthcoming, “Measuring Financial Integration: A New Dataset?” *IMF Staff Papers*.
- Topalova, Petia, 2004, “Trade Liberalization and Firm Productivity: The Case of India,” IMF Working Paper 04/28.
- Tresselt, Thierry, 2008, “Unbundling the Effects of Reforms.” Paper presented at the Conference on the Causes and Consequences of Structural Reforms, International Monetary Fund, Washington, February 28–29.
- Zhou, Jianping, 2006, “Reforming Employment Protection Legislation in France,” IMF Working Paper 06/108.

Regional Study

The Eastern Caribbean Currency Union

Paul Cashin and Evridiki Tsounta



After gaining their political independence from the United Kingdom in the 1970s and early 1980s, the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union (ECCU) countries

prospered initially because of preferential access to European markets for sugar and bananas and later due to tourism development. The ECCU's longstanding currency board arrangement has also facilitated a sustained period of price and exchange rate stability. However, during the past two decades these small and open island economies have been buffeted by numerous external shocks, including the erosion of trade preferences, a decline in official foreign assistance, the effects of the September 11th terrorist attacks, and frequent natural disasters. The relaxation of fiscal stances, partly reflecting accommodation to these shocks, led to a rapid build-up of public debt, now standing at over 100 percent of regional GDP. IMF staff analysis has focused on these vulnerabilities and the need to enhance the region's growth prospects, maintain competitiveness, and ensure a sound financial system.

The economies of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union—which is comprised of six IMF member countries (Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica, Grenada, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent and the Grenadines) and two United Kingdom territories (Anguilla and Montserrat)—share a common currency, the Eastern Caribbean dollar, which has been pegged to the U.S. dollar (at the same rate) since 1976. Sun and Duttagupta (2008) show that the peg and U.S. price stability have helped anchor ECCU prices. Duttagupta and Tolosa (2006) examine the relationship between national fiscal policies and the currency board arrangement and find evidence of increasing free-riding opportunities—member countries transfer the cost of fiscal slippages (the inflation tax) across time and countries. Dehesa and Druck (forthcoming) argue for the need for strong national fiscal positions to sustain the exchange rate peg, since under the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank's institutional arrangements, its role as a lender of last resort is very limited.

Enhancing the ECCU's growth potential is the region's overarching challenge. In recent years, growth has halved from its 1980s level, partly reflecting the erosion in trade preferences and declining development assistance (Mlachila and Cashin, 2007). Given the declining agriculture sector, policymakers have emphasized tourism development, and attempted to support the tourism sector by granting

substantial tax concessions (especially tax holidays). Chai and Goyal (2006) find that between 10 and 16 percent of ECCU GDP is forgone annually due to these concessions, while Roache (2006) finds that reducing capital costs, rather than extending tax concessions, would be more effective at raising foreign direct investment. Sosa (2006) and Nassar (2008) argue that discretionary tax holidays can engender the misallocation of resources, erode the tax base, and lower the effectiveness of tax policy initiatives. Relatedly, Vuletin (2008) measures the large size of the informal sector in the Caribbean, arguing for the need to broaden the tax base.

Maintaining competitiveness is pivotal for tourism sector development. Mwase (2008) and Tsounta (forthcoming) find that tourism flows in the ECCU are sensitive to real exchange movements, hotel capacity, crime, and adverse exogenous shocks, including those emanating from tourism-originating countries. Randall (2006) finds that the region has experienced an erosion of price and nonprice competitiveness in recent years, which could be important in explaining the ECCU's declining share of world tourism. Cashin, Njoroge, and Rodriguez (2004) and Pineda and Cashin (forthcoming) analyze the fundamental determinants of the ECCU equilibrium real exchange rate, finding that there is little evidence of overvaluation of the Eastern Caribbean dollar.

The emergence of tourism in the region, while facilitating economic growth, creates new vulnerabilities to global shocks. While the ECCU's output volatility is lower than in other middle-income countries, it is still high (Rasmussen and Tolosa, 2006). In studying the pattern of ECCU economic activity, Cashin (2006) finds common comovement of output in the Eastern Caribbean and a strong association with fluctuations in developed countries. In addition, Sosa and Cashin (forthcoming) argue that external shocks (particularly oil prices, external demand, and climate shocks) account for more than half of the fluctuations in ECCU real output.

To enhance growth and income equality, policymakers have also placed increasing emphasis on trade and regional integration. Despite being among the most open in the world, the ECCU economies fall short of being fully integrated in the global economy (Mlachila, Samuel, and Njoroge, 2006). Moreover, Suss and others (2004) note that the increased integration obtained through the Caribbean Community's common market has not significantly increased trade within the ECCU.

Despite being exposed to numerous external shocks, the ECCU has never experienced a systemic banking crisis. Chai (2006) finds that the banking system is well capital-

ized but is burdened by the high level of nonperforming loans and its large exposure to government. In a later study, Duttagupta and Cashin (2008) examine the resiliency of the ECCU banking system to external shocks, finding that such resiliency derives in large part from the macroeconomic stability provided by the region's currency board arrangement.

Other challenges facing the ECCU include its exposure to natural disasters, high emigration rates, population aging, and burdensome public debt. Rasmussen (2006) finds that the region is among the most disaster-prone in the world, and argues that Caribbean natural disasters are contemporaneously associated with output contraction and the deterioration of fiscal and external balances. The ECCU experiences one of the highest emigration rates in the world, and Mishra (2006) finds evidence of a "brain drain" in the Caribbean. Roache (2007a) and Monroe (2008) argue that high emigration imposes escalating demographic pressures on the sustainability of ECCU pension schemes. Population aging will also affect the ECCU's health system, with important policy implications for those countries considering introduction of universal health care (Tsounta, 2008). Finally, Sahay (2006) and Roache (2007b) argue that the accumulation of ECCU debt in the last decade can be explained partly by increasing expenditure in response to external shocks and partly due to policy slippages—fiscal consolidation is needed to raise the efficiency of public investment, maintain external stability, and enhance the sustainability of the currency board arrangement. Over the medium term, a key priority for the countries of the ECCU is to enhance policies that will accelerate GDP growth through greater regional integration, a more competitive business environment, and the maintenance of a strong financial system.

References

- Cashin, P., 2006, "Key Features of Caribbean Business Cycles," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- , P. Njoroge, and P. Rodriguez, 2004, "Competitiveness in the ECCU: Measures of the Real Exchange Rate," in *ECCU: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 04/335.
- Chai, J., 2006, "The Eastern Caribbean Currency Union Banking System in a Time of Fiscal Challenge," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- , and R. Goyal, 2006, "Tax Concessions and Foreign Direct Investment in the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- Dehesa, M., and P. Druck, forthcoming, "The ECCB: Challenges to an Effective Lender of Last Resort," IMF Working Paper.
- Duttagupta, R., and P. Cashin, 2008, "The Anatomy of Banking Crises," IMF Working Paper 08/93.
- Duttagupta, R., and G. Tolosa, 2006, "Fiscal Policy: Is the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union a Free-Riding Paradise?" in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- Mishra, P., 2006, "Emigration and Brain Drain from the Caribbean," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- Mlachila, M., and P. Cashin, 2007, "The Macroeconomic Impact of Trade Preference Erosion on the Windward Islands," *ECCU: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 07/97.
- Mlachila, M., W. Samuel, and P. Njoroge, 2006, "Integration and Growth in the Eastern Caribbean," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- Monroe, H., 2008, "Can the ECCU Afford to Grow Old?" *ECCU: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 08/96.
- Mwase, N., 2008, "Tourism Demand in Small-Island Economies," *ECCU: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 08/96.
- Nassar, K., 2008, "Corporate Income Tax Competition in the Caribbean," IMF Working Paper 08/77.
- Pineda, E., and P. Cashin, forthcoming, "Assessing Exchange Rate Competitiveness in the ECCU," IMF Working Paper.
- Randall, R., 2006, "Eastern Caribbean Tourism: Developments and Outlook," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- Rasmussen, T., 2006, "Natural Disasters and Their Macroeconomic Implications," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- , and G. Tolosa, 2006, "Islands of Stability? Determinants of Macroeconomic Volatility in the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- Roache, S., 2006, "Domestic Investment and the Cost of Capital in the Caribbean," IMF Working Paper 06/152.
- , 2007a, "Social Security in the ECCU," in *ECCU: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 07/97.
- , 2007b, "Public Investment and Growth in the Eastern Caribbean," IMF Working Paper 07/124.
- Sahay, R., 2006, "Stabilization, Debt, and Fiscal Policy in the Caribbean," in *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth*, ed. by R. Sahay, D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- , D.O. Robinson, and P. Cashin, eds., 2006, *The Caribbean: From Vulnerability to Sustained Growth* (Washington: International Monetary Fund).
- Sosa, S., 2006, "Tax Incentives and Investment in the Eastern Caribbean," IMF Working Paper 06/23.
- , and P. Cashin, forthcoming, "External Shocks and Macroeconomic Fluctuations in the Eastern Caribbean," IMF Working Paper.
- Sun, Y., and R. Duttagupta, 2008, "Price Dynamics in the Eastern Caribbean," IMF Working Paper 08/90.
- Suss, E., P. Njoroge, P. Cashin, and P. Rodriguez, 2004, "Regional Integration and Trade Regimes," in *ECCU: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 04/335.
- Tsounta, E., 2008, "Financing Universal Health Care: Lessons for the Eastern Caribbean and Beyond," *ECCU: Selected Issues*, IMF Country Report 08/96.
- , forthcoming, "What Attracts Tourists to Paradise?" IMF Working Paper.
- Vuletin, G., 2008, "Measuring the Size of the Informal Economy in Latin America and the Caribbean," IMF Working Paper 08/102.

IMF Staff Papers

Volume 55 Number 2

(forthcoming)

Special Global Economy Model Issue

Foreword

Robert P. Flood

“Getting to Know the Global Economy Model and Its Philosophy”

Douglas Laxton

“The Global Economy Model: Theoretical Framework”

Paolo Pesenti

“The Impact on the United States of the Rise in Energy Prices: Does the Source of the Energy Market Imbalance Matter?”

Jared Bebee and Ben Hunt

“Oil Price Movements and the Global Economy: A Model-Based Assessment”

Selim Elekdag, René Lalonde, Douglas Laxton, Dirk Muir, and Paolo Pesenti

“Productivity and Global Imbalances: The Role of Nontradable Total Factor Productivity in Advanced Economies”

Pietro Cova, Massimiliano Pisani, Nicoletta Batini, and Alessandro Rebucci

“Inflation Targeting and Price-Level-Path Targeting in the Global Economy Model: Some Open Economy Considerations”

Donald Coletti, René Lalonde, and Dirk Muir

“The Macroeconomic Costs and Benefits of Adopting the Euro”

Philippe Karam, Douglas Laxton, David Rose, and Natalia Tamirisa

“Why It Pays to Synchronize Structural Reforms in the Euro Area Across Markets and Countries”

Luc Everaert and Werner Schule

For information on ordering and pricing, please point your browser to www.palgrave-journals.com/imfsp. To read articles in this and other issues of *IMF Staff Papers* and the data underlying them on the Web, please see <http://www.imf.org/staffpapers>.

Recent External Publications by IMF Staff

Arellano, Cristina; Lipschitz, Leslie; Lane, Timothy

“The Dynamic Implications of Foreign Aid and Its Variability”

Journal of Development Economics

Arora, Vivek

“Monetary Policy Transparency and Financial Market Forecasts in South Africa”

Journal of Economic and Financial Sciences

Bems, Rudolfs

“Aggregate Investment Expenditures on Tradable and Nontradable Goods”

Review of Economic Dynamics

Chamon, Marcos; Mauro, Paolo; Okawa, Yohei

“Cars: Mass Car Ownership in the Emerging Market Giants”

Economic Policy

Chan-Lau, Jorge; Ong, Li Lian

“Estimating the Exposures of Major Financial Institutions to the Global Credit Risk Transfer Market: Are They Sliding the Risks or Dicing with Danger?”

The Journal of Fixed Income

Feyzioglu, Tarhan; Willard, Luke Byrne

“Does China Have Inflationary Effects on the USA and Japan?”

China & World Economy

Hasanov, Fuad; Dacy, Douglas

“Yet Another View on Why a Home is One’s Castle”

Real Estate Economics

Kattani, Linda; Elbdadawi, Ibrahim; Schmidt-Hebbel, Klaus

“Foreign Aid, the Real Exchange Rate, and Economic Growth in the Aftermath of Civil Wars”

World Bank Economic Review

Klemm, Alexander; Hauffer, Andreas; Schjelderup, Guttom

“Redistributive Taxation, Multinational Enterprises, and Economic Integration”

European Journal of Political Economy

Monroe, Hunter

“Are There Natural Problems with no Fastest Algorithm?”

Bulletin of the European Association for Theoretical Computer Science

Smidkova, Katerina

“Striving to Be ‘Clearly Open’ and ‘Crystal Clear’: Monetary Policy Communication of the CNB”

Czech Journal of Economics and Finance

Spilimbergo, Antonio

“Measuring the Performance of Fiscal Policy in Russia”

Emerging Markets Finance and Trade

IMF Working Papers

No. 07/210

Why Has Unemployment in Algeria Been Higher than in MENA and Transition Countries

Kpodar, Kangni

No. 07/211

What Drives China's Growing Role in Africa?

Wang, Jian-Ye

No. 07/212

Competitiveness in the CFA Franc Zone

Ramirez, Gustavo; Tsangarides, Charalambos G.

No. 07/213

Inter-Sectoral Linkages and Local Content in Extractive Industries and Beyond—The Case of São Tomé and Príncipe

Klueh, Ulrich H.; Pastor, Gonzalo C.; Segura, Alonso; Zarate, Walter

No. 07/214

The Shifting Structure of China's Trade and Production

Cui, Li; Syed, Murtaza H.

No. 07/215

Bank Ownership, Market Structure and Risk

De Nicoló, Gianni; Loukoianova, Elena

No. 07/216

Banking Competition and Capital Ratios

Schaeck, Klaus; Cihák, Martin

No. 07/217

Optimal Monetary Policy in a Small Open Economy Under Segmented Asset Markets and Sticky Prices

Lama, Ruy; Medina Guzman, Juan Pablo

No. 07/218

Ethnic Diversity, Democracy, and Corruption

Yehoue, Etienne B.

No. 07/219

Political Budget Cycles in Papua New Guinea

Faal, Ebrima

No. 07/220

The Role of Fiscal Transparency in Sustaining Stability and Growth in Latin America

Parry, Taryn

No. 07/221

India: Asset Prices and the Macroeconomy

Purfield, Catriona

No. 07/222

Fiscal Management of Scaled-Up Aid

Gupta, Sanjeev; Schwartz, Gerd; Tareq, Shamsuddin; Allen, Richard; Adenauer, Isabell; Fletcher, Kevin; Last, Duncan

No. 07/223

Can Miracles Lead to Crises? The Role of Optimism in Emerging Markets Crises

Boz, Emine

No. 07/224

Wild or Tamed? India's Potential Growth

Oura, Hiroko

No. 07/225

Sri Lanka's Sources of Growth

Duma, Nombulelo

No. 07/226

The Health Sector in the Slovak Republic: Efficiency and Reform

Verhoeven, Marijn; Gunnarsson, Victoria; Lugaresi, Sergio

No. 07/227

Public Financial Institutions in Developed Countries - Organization and Oversight

Ratnovski, Lev; Narain, Aditya

No. 07/228

The Determinants of Corporate Risk in Emerging Markets: An Option-Adjusted Spread Analysis

Cavallo, Eduardo A.; Valenzuela, Patricio

No. 07/229

Capital Market Development in a Small Country: The Case of Slovenia

Andritzky, Jochen R.

No. 07/230

The Equity Premium Puzzle, Ambiguity Aversion, and Institutional Quality

Erbas, S. Nuri; Mirakhor, Abbas

No. 07/231

Overcoming Barriers to Reform: On Incentive-Compatible International Assistance

Mourmouras, Alex; Mayer, Wolfgang

No. 07/232

Fiscal Reaction Functions in the CFA Zone: An Analytical Perspective

Adedeji, Olumuyiwa; Williams, Oral

No. 07/233

Measuring Sovereign Risk in Turkey: An Application of the Contingent Claims Approach

Keller, Christian; Kunzel, Peter; Souto, Marcos

No. 07/234

Hedonic Imputation versus Time Dummy Hedonic Indexes

Diewert, W.E.; Heravi, Saeed; Silver, Mick

No. 07/235

Toward a Robust Fiscal Framework for Iceland: Motivation and Practical Suggestions

Annett, Anthony

No. 07/236

Vulnerabilities in Emerging Southeastern Europe—How Much Cause for Concern?

Sorsa, Piritta; Bakker, Bas Berend; Duenwald, Christoph; Maechler, Andrea M.; Tiffin, Andrew

No. 07/237

Sukuk vs. Eurobonds: Is There a Difference in Value-at-Risk?

Cakir, Selim; Raei, Faezeh

No. 07/238

How Does Financial Globalization Affect Risk Sharing? Patterns and Channels

Kose, M. Ayhan; Prasad, Eswar; Terrones, Marco

No. 07/239

Operational Risk—The Sting Is Still in the Tail but the Poison Depends on the Dose

Jobst, Andreas

No. 07/241

Alternative Fiscal Rules for Norway

Jafarov, Etibar; Leigh, Daniel

No. 07/242

A Markov-Switching Approach to Measuring Exchange Market Pressure

Kumah, Francis Y.

No. 07/243

Implications of Oil Inflows for Savings and Reserve Management in the CEMAC

Drummond, Paulo Flavio Nacif

No. 07/244

Finance and Convergence: What's Ahead for Emerging Europe?

Bems, Rudolfs; Schellekens, Philip

No. 07/245

Financial Development in Emerging Europe: The Unfinished Agenda

Zoli, Edda

No. 07/246

Benchmarking the Efficiency of Public Expenditure in the Russian Federation

Hauner, David

No. 07/247

Policy Challenges of Population Aging in Ireland

Botman, Dennis P.J.; Iakova, Dora M.

No. 07/248

Decomposing Financial Risks and Vulnerabilities in Eastern Europe

Maechler, Andrea M.; Mitra, Srobona; Worrell, DeLisle

No. 07/249

GCC Monetary Union and the Degree of Macroeconomic Policy Coordination

Kamar, Bassem; Naceur, Samy Ben

No. 07/250

The Markets in Financial Instruments Directive: Banking on Market and Supervisory Efficiency

Haas, François

No. 07/251

Capital Account Liberalization and Risk Management in India

Sy, Amadou N.R.

No. 07/252

Why Focus on Spending Needs Factors? The Political Economy of Fiscal Transfer Reforms in Mexico

Ahmad, Ehtisham; González Anaya, José Antonio; Brosio, Giorgio; Garcia-Escribano, Mercedes; Lockwood, Ben; Revilla, Ernesto

No. 07/253

Optimal Taxation in the Forestry Sector in the Congo Basin: The Case of Gabon

Melhado, Oscar E.

No. 07/254

Consistent Quantitative Operational Risk Measurement and Regulation: Challenges of Model Specification, Data Collection, and Loss Reporting

Jobst, Andreas

No. 07/255

Mainstreaming Statistics in the Poverty Reduction Strategy Approach to Provide for More Effective Technical Assistance: Some Experience at the IMF

Kibuka, Robin D.

No. 07/256

Local Currency Debt Markets in the West African Economic and Monetary Union

Sy, Amadou N.R.

No. 07/257

Can Domestic Policies Influence Inflation?

Mody, Ashoka; Ohnsorge, Franziska

No. 07/258

The Duration of Capital Account Crises—An Empirical Analysis

Mecagni, Mauro; Atoyán, Ruben; Hofman, David; Tzanninis, Dimitri

No. 07/259

Strengths and Weaknesses in Securities Market Regulation: A Global Analysis

Carvajal, Ana; Elliott, Jennifer A.

No. 07/260

EU Framework for Safeguarding Financial Stability: Towards an Analytical Benchmark for Assessing its Effectiveness

Nieto, María; Schinasi, Garry J.

No. 07/261

Effect of IMF Structural Adjustment Programs on Expectations: The Case of Transition Economies

Imam, Patrick A.

No. 07/262

Financial Linkages Between the U.S. and Latin America: Evidence from Daily Data

Benelli, Roberto; Ganguly, Srideep

No. 07/263

Education and Health in G7 Countries: Achieving Better Outcomes with Less Spending

Verhoeven, Marijn; Gunnarsson, Victoria; Carcillo, Stéphane

No. 07/264

The Use of Encompassing Tests for Forecast Combinations

Kisinbay, Turgut

No. 07/265

The Optimal Level of Foreign Reserves in Financially Dollarized Economies: The Case of Uruguay

Gonçalves, Fernando M.

No. 07/266

China's Changing Trade Elasticities

Aziz, Jahangir; Li, Xiangming

No. 07/267

Estimating Spillover Risk Among Large EU Banks

Cihák, Martin; Ong, Li L.

No. 07/268

What Explains India's Real Appreciation?

Kohli, Renu; Mohapatra, Sudip

No. 07/269

Is Inflation in India an Attractor of Inflation in Nepal?

Ginting, Edimon

No. 07/270

Effect of Corruption on Tax Revenues in the Middle East

Imam, Patrick A.; Jacobs, Davina F.

Visiting Scholars, March–April 2008**Jaromir Benes**; Reserve Bank of New Zealand;
3/20/08–4/30/08**Ricardo Caballero**; Massachusetts Institute of
Technology; 3/3/08; 3/10/08–4/30/08**Ehsan Choudhri**; Carleton University, Canada;
4/21/08–4/25/08**Daniel Cohen**; Université de Paris; 4/14/08–4/18/08**Svetlana Demidova**; University of Georgia;
4/1/08–4/4/08**Michael Devereux**; University of British Columbia;
4/7/08–4/30/08**Charles Engel**; University of Wisconsin; 3/17/08–3/20/08**Hideaki Hirata**; Hosei University, Japan;
3/31/08–4/30/08**Harry Huizinga**; Tilburg University, The Netherlands;
3/17/08–3/28/08**Matteo Iacoviello**; Boston College; 2/13/08–4/30/08**Takatoshi Ito**; University of Tokyo; 4/14/08–4/30/08**Michel Juillard**; 3/10/08–3/19/08**Sunghyun Henry Kim**; Tufts University; 4/7/08–4/30/08**Asli Leblebicioglu**; North Carolina State University;
4/14/08–4/30/08**Robert Marquez**; Arizona State University;
4/16/08–4/22/08**Christopher Otrok**; University of Virginia;
3/24/08–4/21/08**Peter Pedroni**; Williams College; 3/17/08–3/28/08;
4/21/08–4/30/08**James Rauch**; University of California, San Diego;
4/8/08–4/10/08**Werner Roeger**; European Commission; 3/9/08–3/22/08**Krislert Samphantharak**; University of California, San
Diego; 4/15/08–4/18/08**Necati Tekatli**; Universidad Autonoma de Barcelona;
4/16/08–4/25/08**Maria Toyoda**; Villanova University; 11/1/07–4/30/08**Stephen Turnovsky**; University of Washington;
4/21/08–4/25/08**Hulya Ulku**; University of Manchester, UK;
4/16/08–4/28/08**Kenneth West**; 3/10/08–4/30/08**Kamil Yilmaz**; Koc University, Turkey; 4/16/08–4/25/08**Susan (Chun) Zhu**; Michigan State University;
3/10/08–3/14/08



IMF Research Bulletin

Antonio Spilimbergo
Editor

David Einhorn
Assistant Editor

Feras Abu Amra
Systems Consultant

Choon Lee
Typesetting

The **IMF Research Bulletin** (ISSN: 1020-8313) is a quarterly publication in English and is available free of charge. Material from the *Bulletin* may be reprinted with proper attribution. Editorial correspondence may be addressed to The Editor, *IMF Research Bulletin*, IMF, Room HQ1-9-718, Washington, DC 20431 USA; or e-mailed to resbulletin@imf.org.

For Electronic Notification

Sign up at <https://www.imf.org/external/cntpst/index.aspx>. (e-mail notification) to receive notification of new issues of the *IMF Research Bulletin* and a variety of other IMF publications. Individual issues of the *Bulletin* are available at <http://www.imf.org/researchbulletin>.

Call for Papers

Jacques Polak Ninth Annual Research Conference

The International Monetary Fund will hold the Jacques Polak Ninth Annual Research Conference at its headquarters in Washington, DC, on November 13–14, 2008. The conference provides a forum to discuss innovative research in economics by IMF staff and outside economists, and to facilitate the exchange of views among researchers and policymakers. This year's Mundell-Fleming lecture will be delivered by Professor Jean Tirole.

The theme of this year's conference is macro-financial linkages. Possible topics include (but are not restricted to):

- The role of finance in transmitting and amplifying real shocks, including international spillovers
- The financial sector as a source of (international) disturbances, including issues related to financial innovation, liberalization, and regulatory design
- Macroeconomic imbalances, global liquidity, and financial sector stability
- Macroeconomic effects and policy response to credit and asset price booms and busts
- Financial sector structure (e.g., bank versus market orientation), liquidity, and macroeconomic performance.

Interested contributors should submit a draft paper or a two-page proposal to the Program Committee (in a Word or PDF file) by June 29, 2008 (e-mail to ARC2008@imf.org). Papers that do not fit into the categories listed above, but that are related to the main theme of the conference, are welcome. Proposals should include the title of the paper, the author(s)' affiliation and contact information, the main questions to be examined, the most relevant literature, the intended contribution of the paper to the literature, and the possible data sets and methodology to be employed.

The Program Committee will contact the authors whose papers have been selected by late July. Further information on the conference program and on the process of selecting papers is available on the IMF website at www.imf.org.