

**International Monetary Fund**

[Republic of Serbia](#)  
and the IMF

**Republic of Serbia:** Letter of Intent, Memorandum of Economic and Financial Policies, and Technical Memorandum of Understanding

**Press Release:**  
[IMF Executive Board](#)  
[Completes First](#)  
[Review of Serbia's](#)  
[Stand-By](#)  
[Arrangement](#)  
June 26, 2015

June 11, 2015

The following item is a Letter of Intent of the government of Republic of Serbia, which describes the policies that Republic of Serbia intends to implement in the context of its request for financial support from the IMF. The document, which is the property of Republic of Serbia, is being made available on the IMF website by agreement with the member as a service to users of the [IMF](#) website.

[Country's Policy](#)  
[Intentions Documents](#)

**E-Mail Notification**  
[Subscribe](#) or [Modify](#)  
your subscription

## Republic of Serbia: Letter of Intent

Ms. Christine Lagarde  
Managing Director  
International Monetary Fund  
Washington, D.C., 20431  
U.S.A.

Belgrade, June 11, 2015

Dear Ms. Lagarde:

Our economic program, supported by the Stand-By Arrangement (SBA) approved by the IMF Executive Board on February 23, 2015, has been instrumental in reducing Serbia's long-standing internal and external economic imbalances and we remain fully committed to the policies envisaged in this program. The attached Memorandum of Economic and Financial Policies (MEFP) reviews progress made so far and sets out the economic policies that the Government of the Republic of Serbia and the National Bank of Serbia (NBS) intend to implement under the SBA. Our program continues to be fully supported by all coalition partners in the government, indicating strong commitment to and ownership of envisaged policies.

The program performance has been good. All quantitative performance criteria and indicative targets for end-March were met with a margin, and inflation was well within the inner band envisaged under the inflation consultation clause. We have encountered some challenges in implementing the end-March structural benchmarks on time. In order to rectify the delay in the adoption of the Financial Restructuring Plan for the electricity producer EPS (end-March structural benchmark) and the implementation of the measures in the Plan, we submitted the request for the electricity tariff increase to the Energy Agency of the Republic of Serbia, submitted the amendment of Law on Excises to introduce an electricity excise, and adopted the Plan as prior actions for the first review.

The policies under our program will continue to focus on reducing fiscal imbalances, pursuing a wide financial sector agenda, and implementing broad-based structural reforms. We will fine-tune our public communications to ensure that they are fully in line with the objectives of the program. In order to support our efforts to combat non-performing loans (NPLs), we specified three additional structural benchmarks in this area. In view of the need for more comprehensive consultations with local governments than previously anticipated, and the need to prepare a new law rather than amending the existing one, we propose to reset the structural benchmark on legislating the new Local Government Financing Law to end-September.

Given Serbia's comfortable international reserve position and continued access to external financing, we intend to treat the arrangement as precautionary. Therefore, we would not make the purchases when they become available upon completion of reviews. The implementation of our program will continue to be monitored through prior actions, quantitative performance criteria, indicative targets, structural benchmarks, and an inflation consultation clause, as described in the attached MEFP and Technical Memorandum of Understanding (TMU).

We believe that the policies set forth in the attached memorandum are adequate to achieve the objectives of our economic program, and we will take any further measures that may become appropriate for this purpose. We will consult with the Fund on the adoption of these measures and in advance of revisions to the policies contained in the MEFP, in accordance with the Fund's policies on such consultations. And we will provide all information requested by the Fund to assess implementation of the program.

We wish to make this letter available to the public, along with the attached MEFP and TMU, as well as the IMF staff report on the first review of the SBA. We therefore authorize their publication and posting on the IMF website, subject to Executive Board approval. These documents will also be posted on the official website of the Serbian government.

Sincerely,

/s/

Aleksandar Vučić  
Prime Minister

/s/

Jorgovanka Tabaković  
Governor of the National Bank of Serbia

/s/

Dušan Vujović  
Minister of Finance

Attachments: Memorandum of Economic and Financial Policies  
Technical Memorandum of Understanding

## Attachment I. Memorandum of Economic and Financial Policies

1. This memorandum sets out our economic program for 2015–17 that will address short-term as well as medium-term economic challenges that Serbia is facing. The economic program has three main objectives:

- First, address macroeconomic imbalances and vulnerabilities, most notably by placing public sector debt on a sustainable path.
- Second, bolster resilience of the financial sector and improve its intermediation function necessary to support economic growth.
- Third, improve competitiveness and reduce key growth bottlenecks through vigorous implementation of comprehensive structural and SOE reforms.

2. To this end, significant progress has been made so far this year. We have initiated bold fiscal consolidation which is beginning to bear fruit, we have launched reforms in the financial sector, and we have started comprehensive restructuring in the state-owned enterprises with a view to increasing their efficiency and creating jobs.

3. These goals are compatible with our aspirations to become an EU member after having started the accession process in January 2014. Implementing this program would allow Serbia to realize the significant potential for convergence towards EU income levels.

### Recent Economic Developments and Outlook

**4. Serbia's economic recovery continues, but still faces a number of challenges.**

Modest recovery started in 2014:Q4. The somewhat better economic activity than projected earlier reflects the effects of lower oil prices on domestic demand, and more favorable external environment. The headline CPI inflation has remained below the NBS inflation tolerance band most of the time since late 2013, on account of temporary factors with a disinflationary effect, such as low prices of primary commodities (particularly energy prices) and weak administered price growth, as well as the anchored inflation expectations and the still negative output gap. Inflation is expected to return to the tolerance band in the second half of 2015. The current account deficit declined with the recovery of exports, and capital inflows increased amid ECB quantitative easing and improved risk premia for the government debt.

**5. We will continue to consistently implement policy actions and reforms envisaged under this economic program.** We expect that this will give rise to a virtuous cycle of boosting confidence, improving growth and private sector vibrancy. Reflecting the recent developments, we envisage the following revisions to the macroeconomic scenario under the program:

- **Real GDP** is expected to remain flat in 2015, compared to a small contraction projected previously. Despite sizeable fiscal consolidation, the decline of domestic demand will be

limited, and offset by stronger external demand. Growth will gradually accelerate over the medium term on account of smaller fiscal adjustment, recovering market confidence and credit growth, and positive effects of structural reforms.

- **Headline CPI inflation** is projected to return close to the inflation target of 4 percent by the end of 2015, but the annual average inflation is revised down to 2.2 percent, reflecting price developments so far this year amid lower oil prices and delays in the administered price increases. Thereafter, inflation is expected to stay within the inflation tolerance band ( $4 \pm 1\frac{1}{2}$  percent), supported by the inflation targeting regime.
- **The current account deficit** is expected to decline to  $4\frac{1}{4}$  percent of GDP this year and decrease further to close to  $3\frac{1}{2}$  percent of GDP over the medium term. External financing will rely mostly on FDI, eurobond issuance, and project loans with some possibility of another bilateral concessional loan.

**6. The program scenario continues to face downside exogenous risks, but the Serbian economy has considerable buffers to withstand them.** Possible spillovers from regional developments and a protracted period of slow growth in trading partners would have a negative impact on Serbia. Continued deleveraging by foreign bank subsidiaries, which dominate our financial sector, could pose challenges. However, as the first line of defense, we have large foreign exchange reserves and a well-capitalized and liquid banking system. The Fund arrangement provides an additional buffer to help us cope with negative shocks, and we are prepared to further adjust policies as necessary.

## Economic Policies

### A. Fiscal Policies

**7. We remain committed to implementing a set of fiscal consolidation policies that will reverse the rise in public debt by 2017 and put it firmly on a downward path thereafter.** We believe that a credible three-year adjustment requires significant frontloading. To this end, we agreed to implement gross fiscal measures amounting to  $4\frac{3}{4}$  percent of GDP during 2015–17, over half of which has already been implemented or will be implemented this year. The measures focus primarily on containing public expenditures, namely on scaling down public sector wage and pension bills and reducing state aid to state-owned enterprises (SOEs).

**8. The fiscal outturn in the first quarter of 2015 was within the program targets.** The general government fiscal deficit (previously referred to as the general government “augmented fiscal deficit,” see IMF Country Report 15/20, p. 70) amounted to RSD 21.1 billion, well below the adjusted program target of RSD 53.2 billion, mainly owing to improved revenue collections—almost two thirds were from non-tax revenues. Current expenditures were broadly in line with the budget, but capital investment continues to be underexecuted. The measures effective since 2014—wage and pension cuts and the 5:1 attrition rule for the general government employment—have been implemented as committed, and the current primary expenditure of

the Republican budget amounted to RSD 195.4 billion, below the adjusted program target of RSD 203.5 billion. Despite stronger revenue collections in recent months, prevailing uncertainty about the economic outlook and the largely one-off nature of the revenue improvement warrant maintaining conservative fiscal projections and the existing fiscal targets for the full year.

**9. We remain committed to the expenditure measures introduced so far.** The government has been implementing the measures as envisaged in the 2015 budget, with a view to reducing the general government deficit (quantitative performance criterion) to about 5.3 percent of GDP this year, below the original target:

- We have suspended the indexation of public sector wages in years in which the share of general government salaries (excluding severance payments) is expected to exceed 7 percent of GDP. We have also suspended indexation of pensions in years in which the share of pensions is expected to be above 11 percent of GDP. We modified the Budget System Law and Pension Insurance Law accordingly in December 2014.
- We amended the Procurement Law in early February 2015 to lower the mark up on public procurement from domestic suppliers from 15 percent to 5 percent in 2015, and eventually plan to eliminate it by 2018. This has supported savings in goods and service expenditures envisaged in the 2015 budget. This will also help reduce the cost of capital spending.
- We eliminated agricultural subsidies for land over 20 hectares and for land leased from the Government of Serbia. We modified the Law on Agriculture accordingly in December 2014.
- We reduced state aid to SOEs, including subsidies, net lending, and payments from the budget for guaranteed and nonguaranteed debt of the SOEs, and will continue to do so during the program period. We adjusted network fees on natural gas distributed by Srbijagas to generate €60 million on an annual basis, effective from February 1, 2015, until the government finds alternative measures with the same revenue effects, in consultation with the IMF staff. This additional revenue will enable Srbijagas to pay a part of its debt obligations, and will correspondingly reduce the payments of its called guarantees from the budget.
- Railways of Serbia are implementing cost saving measures in line with the reduced subsidies and payments for the electricity bills this year.
- The Law on Excises was submitted to the National Assembly for amendment in early June 2015, to introduce an electricity excise of 7.5 percent on total electricity charge (excluding VAT) effective from August 1, 2015 (prior action) in order to reduce inefficiency of consumption.

- We reduced budget allocations for subsidies to public broadcasting companies in 2015 and will eliminate them in 2016.
- To ensure proper protection of the vulnerable segments of the population, the existing social safety net will be maintained.

**10. We will continue with reforms of the general government employment and wage system in 2015.** The employment reduction and wage system reform will be key for achieving savings envisaged in the 2015 budget and beyond. The preparation of these reforms is supported by the World Bank.

- We will continue applying the attrition rule throughout 2015, and start to implement targeted separations in July 2015, in order to achieve budgeted savings in the annual wage bill equivalent to the reduction of the general government employment by 5 percent. The government will adopt the Law on Ceilings on the Number of Employees by end-June, which will lay the legal basis for annual capping (2015–18) of the number of employees in individual institutions, in line with expected advancements in their productivity to be accomplished through reorganization. Severance payments for targeted separations will be determined in line with the current Civil Service Law and Labor Law.
- We have initiated a comprehensive public wage system reform to improve quality and efficiency by aligning base wages, unifying pay grades across comparable jobs, streamlining the structure of coefficients, and integrating other elements of pay into base wages across all general government sector entities. A single Law on Wages of State Employees will replace a battery of laws setting the key principles and parameters of the new system for most sectors (excluding public enterprises and elected and appointed officials), including the principle of same pay for generic jobs across all sectors. The draft Law will be submitted for public debate by June, and submitted to the National Assembly by July for the Law to be effective from September 2015. Implementing regulations will be adopted by end-October 2015, mapping every existing job into a new classification and specifying non-linear wage adjustment rules that will enable the introduction of new wage grades while respecting the financial envelope set by this program. Each general government employee will be assigned a “notional” wage grade under the new wage system, and the timeframe and modalities for the transition to the new system will be determined in the course of 2015.

**11. We will implement additional fiscal measures during 2016–17.** Our primary focus will be on the continued reduction of mandatory expenditures through the following measures:

- We will continue reducing the cost and increasing the efficiency of the general government, through its organizational and functional restructuring, in accordance with the new Public Administration Reform Strategy, adopted by the government in January 2014. As a first step, we conducted in April a benchmark review of the public

administration system based on relevant comparative countries, which suggests that the health, local governments, police, judiciary and compulsory social insurance organizations have the highest potential for efficiency gains and employment reduction. Most of these will then undergo in-depth functional reviews, producing estimates of additional savings to be attained through restructuring by end-October 2015, in time for incorporation in the 2016 budget. Throughout 2015, we will also advance the data and legal infrastructure necessary to accomplish additional savings in 2016 and 2017 by introducing e-government. We are thus committed to attaining a further reduction of the general government wage bill and other labor associated costs budgeted under goods and services by 5 percent in both 2016 and 2017.

- The National Assembly will adopt the new Local Government Financing Law, which will rationalize transfers and the revenue-sharing mechanism to local governments and provide incentives to raise their own revenues. This law will be adopted by end-September 2015 (originally end-June structural benchmark). Partial adjustment of transfers will be implemented from January 1, 2016, in line with expected savings from targeted rightsizing at the local levels, and full implementation of the new law will start from January 1, 2017. Diagnostic work conducted earlier this year revealed that current system requires broader scope of changes than initially envisaged, and that these changes have to be supported with an entirely new law rather than amendments to the existing one. Full alignment of numerous stakeholders involved in the legal drafting (Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Public Administration and Local Self-Government, Standing Conference of Towns and Municipalities, etc) also justifies extension of the deadline. Due to the implementation delays we will analyze the fiscal performance to determine whether there is a need for compensatory measures to offset the one-off shortfall of savings in 2016.
- We will introduce an excise tax on non-alcoholic drinks (excluding water).

**12. We will aim to reduce fiscal risks and will prepare contingency measures as needed.**

In this regard, we will not rely on short-term external debt financing (quantitative performance criterion), and we will not accumulate public sector external debt payment arrears (continuous performance criterion). We will also refrain from accumulating domestic payment arrears (indicative target). Our efforts to reduce public spending will continue being monitored through a ceiling on the current primary expenditure, excluding capital spending and interest payments, of the Serbian Republican budget (quantitative performance criterion). If revenues are reduced due to an exogenous shock, we will consider contingency measures, such as raising the VAT rate and gasoline excise tax. On the other hand, if the revenue collection exceeds the projected amounts in 2015, the gains would be used to repay public debt in 2015. If the revenue gains are sustainable, a portion could also be used, in consultation with the Fund, for high priority infrastructure projects in future years.



## B. Structural Fiscal Policies

### 13. To underpin the fiscal consolidation, limit risks, and strengthen institutions, we will pursue the following structural policies in the fiscal area:

- To increase fiscal transparency, we classified as “spending above the line” all payments for guarantees serviced by the government, repayment of debt taken over, payments for arrears, and costs related to resolution of financial institutions in the 2015 Budget.
- We will review and clearly define the coverage of general government to be compatible with European System of Accounts (ESA) 2010, and will include social security funds with all health fund indirect beneficiaries, road and corridor funds, and own-source revenue and expenditures of indirect budget beneficiaries (excluding education and local governments) within the 2016 budget documentation. We will include education and local governments in the budget documentation by end-2016. In parallel, we will include all indirect budget beneficiaries of the central government in the Financial Management Information System gradually by end-2016, taking into account their technical and technological capacity.
- We are committed to performing a fiscal impact analysis of all new legislative initiatives under the “pay-as-you-go” rule of Article 48 of the Budget System Law. For this, we issued an instruction to line ministries on how to calculate and report the estimated fiscal impact in March 2015.
- The National Assembly approved in the 2015 Budget Law the overall three-year expenditure ceilings of the Republican budget (without indirect budget beneficiaries) that are aligned with the general government expenditures, as specified in the program and the Fiscal Strategy for 2015-17 adopted in January 2015. We will also improve the planning of the contingency reserve to support the credibility of the ceilings.
- We re-established the Liquidity Committee in March 2015, to strengthen cash management of the government. The Committee includes representatives of the MOF (the Treasury, Tax Administration, Public Debt Administration, Budget Preparation Department and, Macro-Fiscal Analysis and Projections Department) and the NBS.
- To strengthen the control of the public sector wage bill, we created a task force in early June 2015, consisting of representatives from the Ministry of Public Administration and Local Self-Government, MOF, and other relevant institutions to improve the coverage and reliability of the public sector employee registry. We will finalize and validate this registry by end-June 2015 (structural benchmark). For this we will adopt a legal framework necessary to ensure full coverage of the public sector employees—all employees at the republican and local government levels, in public agencies and institutions, and relevant SOEs. We will amend Article 93 of the Budget System Law to

allow the necessary data submissions and all responsible agencies to be specified in a special law.

- We will ensure that a full assessment of all proposed Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) is reviewed by the Ministry of Finance (MOF), including the PPPs' key financing features, cost-benefit analysis, and risk sharing arrangements with the government. We will also include a fiscal risk statement on all PPPs from the 2016 budget onwards. In this regard, we set up a special fiscal risks management unit at the MOF in March 2015, supported by the World Bank. Furthermore, to improve control of fiscal implications and risks, we will amend the existing Law on Public-Private Partnership and Concessions by June 2015 to mandate that all PPPs are submitted to the government for consideration only with prior approval by the MOF.
- We will implement recommendations of the World Bank and IMF TA missions on Public Debt Administration organizational structure and changes in the Law on Public Debt, including setting up a department for asset management.

**14. To secure savings from the corporate and financial restructuring of major SOEs, we will introduce a number of public financial management changes.**

- We will create a strong and stable institutional framework for monitoring SOEs. As a first step, we adopted with a slight delay a government decree that regulates the roles and responsibilities of the MOF, Ministry of Economy (MOE), and line ministries with respect to monitoring, supporting best governance practices, financial reporting, and transparency of SOEs, in April 2015 (end-March structural benchmark). We started quarterly provision of financial statements of SOEs to both the MOE and MOF from 2015:Q1. We will continue to strengthen the SOE monitoring unit in the MOE, which will, in collaboration with the relevant line ministries, focus on corporate strategy and governance, and operational efficiency of SOEs. In agreement with the MOE, the SOE financial monitoring function has been created in the fiscal risks management unit in the MOF (see also ¶13), which focuses on reviewing and compiling the financial reports and statements of SOEs and evaluate the fiscal implications.
- To enhance the payment discipline between public sector entities, we will broaden the scope of the Law on Payments in Commercial Transactions, to include transactions between public entities (including SOEs), in consultation with the IMF, by June 2015 (structural benchmark). This law will define monitoring and enforcement mechanisms for improving payment discipline in the public sector, to be implemented from January 2016, including the conditions under which transfers from the budget can be reduced. Between the adoption and the implementation of the Law, the MOF will raise awareness and publicly promote the importance of the Law urging all budget users to respect the payment obligations, especially to SOEs, including the utility companies.

- We have been strictly limiting issuance of state guarantees since January 1, 2015. In this regard, we will not issue any new state guarantees for liquidity support (continuous performance criterion). We reflected this in the Budget Law for 2015 and will modify the Public Debt Law accordingly by June 2015. Furthermore, we set limits on issuance of new state guarantees for viable project loans (quantitative performance criterion) in annual budgets, in line with the overarching debt sustainability objective, and consult the Fund staff before authorizing the issuance of guarantees. To avoid any misuse of guaranteed project loans, the fiscal risks management unit at the MOF will monitor their implementation.
- We changed the Law on Development Fund in January 2015 to remove the article which stipulates that all guarantees issued by the Development Fund (DF) are backed by the Republic of Serbia. We established an indicative ceiling on the below-the-line lending by the Republican Government. In addition, we will only provide such loans to public entities with high probability of repayment. We will also proceed with the diagnostic analysis of the DF, followed by proposals to improve governance and operational procedures of the DF by end-2015.

**15. In order to raise the efficiency of revenue collection, we are committed to improving tax administration based on recommendations of the September 2014 IMF technical assistance mission.** We appointed the Acting Director of Serbia's Tax Administration in February 2015, and we have transferred responsibility for investigation of economic crime cases to a relevant agency in May 2015. We adopted in early June 2015 and will start to implement the *Tax Administration Transformation Program 2015–20* as the official medium-term reform program (end-March structural benchmark). The delay was caused by the need to develop a realistic plan to fit within our implementation capacity. Our priorities are to (i) strengthen the Tax Administration's governance, (ii) streamline organizational structures of headquarters and field offices, including by reallocating employees to facilitate compliance efforts, (iii) phase in a modern compliance risk management approach, (iv) strengthen arrears management, including write-off procedures, (v) modernize information technology systems and business processes, and (vii) improve coordination and information exchange with other government agencies.

## C. Monetary and Exchange Rate Policies

**16. We see the current inflation targeting framework as the most viable option for maintaining stable inflation and protecting the economy against external shocks.** We remain committed to the objective of keeping inflation within the inflation tolerance band ( $4 \pm 1\frac{1}{2}$  percent). Inflation developments will be monitored via a consultation clause with consultation bands set symmetrically around the central projection of headline CPI (Table 1). As the fiscal adjustment takes hold and external financing conditions stabilize, we have reduced the policy rate, in line with the inflation outlook and financial stability. Further easing, however, will

be gradual and will depend on macroeconomic environment, including external financing conditions.

**17. We will maintain the existing managed float exchange rate regime in line with the inflation targeting framework.** We believe that exchange rate flexibility provides a needed buffer against external shocks. In light of this, foreign exchange interventions will be limited to smoothing excessive exchange rate volatility without targeting a specific level or path for the exchange rate, while considering the implications for financial sector stability and meeting the inflation target. The current level of gross international reserves is above the levels determined by most reserve metrics and we will maintain adequate coverage throughout the program, which will be monitored by a floor on net international reserves (quantitative performance criterion).

**18. In order to reduce risks to macroeconomic stability, we will continue capital account liberalization in a gradual way.** Many of the capital account transactions, such as FDI and long-term flows, have already been liberalized, with the remaining restrictions related broadly to short-term capital and deposit flows. In order to limit balance of payments pressures under the program, the capital account liberalization required in the context of EU accession will be gradual, particularly in removing restrictions on short-term foreign inflows to domestic securities and the ability of residents to open deposit accounts abroad.

**19.** During the period of the SBA we will not, without Fund approval, impose or intensify restrictions on the making of payments and transfers for current international transactions, nor introduce or modify any multiple currency practices or conclude any bilateral payment agreements that are inconsistent with Article VIII of the IMF's Articles of Agreement. Moreover, we will not impose or intensify import restrictions for balance of payments reasons.

## D. Financial Sector Policies

**20. Our policies will support financial sector stability and enhance the banking sector's ability to cope with shocks, while improving financial intermediation.** Priority will be given to: (i) addressing the overhang of nonperforming loans (NPLs); (ii) assessing asset quality and provisioning practices via special diagnostic studies (SDS); (iii) strengthening the supervisory and regulatory framework in line with EU standards; (iv) operationalizing the new bank resolution framework; and (v) implementing the strategy for state-owned banks.

**21. We are finalizing a comprehensive strategy for addressing the NPL overhang.** We established an inter-institutional Working Group—which includes representatives from the Ministries of Finance, Economy and Justice, the National Bank of Serbia and staff of the IMF, IFC, WB, and EBRD—with a mandate to prepare the NPL resolution strategy by end-June 2015 and monitor its implementation thereafter. As part of the strategy's implementation, we will:

- prepare, by end-December 2015, supervisory guidance setting forth expectations for loan loss provisioning under IAS 39, in consultation with IMF staff and relevant domestic stakeholders (structural benchmark);
- strengthen the capacity of the NBS in the area of International Financial Reporting Standards;
- enhance the regulatory framework on the treatment of NPLs and restructured loans;
- amend tax legislation by end-December 2015 to better incentivize NPL resolution;
- introduce, by end-December 2015, a new legal and operational framework for transparent real estate appraisals, including: (i) legislation setting clear appraisal standards; (ii) development of a database, accessible to banks and appraisers, for detailed records on residential and commercial real estate collateral valuations filed according to pre-established criteria; and (iii) legislation providing for proper supervision of the licensed appraisers (structural benchmark);
- by end-December 2015 in consultation with stakeholders, conduct a review of the corporate insolvency law and submit proposed amendments to the National Assembly, in line with recommendations from IMF technical assistance, aimed to ensure: (i) adequate safeguards for the secured creditors rights, and (ii) better value maximization and more predictable and swift disposal of assets where assets are not strictly necessary for rehabilitation (structural benchmark);
- facilitate out-of-court enforcement. To this end, we will amend the Mortgage Law to facilitate out-of-court sales of mortgaged assets by end-July 2015. We will further ensure that any future amendments of the Enforcement Law would not impede or disincentivize out-of-court enforcement.

While we remain committed to removing potential impediments to, and providing incentives for, timely NPL resolution, we will encourage market-based solutions.

**22. We have initiated the SDS of banks operating in Serbia.** We finalized terms of reference and it is our expectation that the selected auditors will commence credit file reviews on the basis of a comprehensive manual before end-June 2015. Any provisioning shortfalls, as determined by the auditors on the basis of applicable accounting standards (as assessed on an individual or collective basis), and guided by the manual, are to be reflected in banks' financial statements by end-2015 at the latest. Where SDS-adjusted capital ratios fall short of the applicable regulatory minimum, participating banks are required to provide, within two weeks of the presentation of the SDS results, remedial actions for addressing such shortfalls. We expect that robust implementation of the SDS will support implementation of the NPL strategy. The diagnostic studies are to be completed by end-September (structural benchmark).

**23. We will enhance the supervisory, regulatory, and macroprudential frameworks.** The NBS published a consultation document on the framework for macro-prudential policy in March 2015, outlining the main objectives, instruments, and decision-making process. The NBS also implemented the Basel II framework in late 2011 and is planning to introduce the Basel III framework in the medium term. In preparation, the NBS has benchmarked its prudential standards against the EU's CRD IV package, and is currently preparing proposals for the implementation of Basel III standards (to be finalized by end-December 2015). In doing so, the NBS will, *inter alia*:

- finalize and adopt the methodology for the identification of systemically important banks;
- introduce a framework for the calibration of additional capital buffers in proportion to the degree of systemic importance of individual banks;
- incorporate the relevant macro-prudential instruments into relevant NBS regulation in due course, in consultation with the IMF staff;
- intensify its supervisory cycle, ensuring that systemically important banks and institutions with the highest risk rating are subjected to on-site inspections on an annual basis;
- prepare, by end-September 2015, detailed plans for strengthening the prudential oversight over the insurance sector, with particular focus on the supervisory framework for insurance market conduct and insurance stress testing, incorporating recommendations from recent Fund technical assistance.

**24. We undertook several legislative changes to strengthen the bank resolution framework and financial sector safety net.** We legislated comprehensive revisions of the bank resolution framework, and the new framework became effective from April 1, 2015. In view of Serbia's ongoing EU accession process, the new framework is broadly guided by the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive. All necessary secondary regulations, as prescribed by the amended legislation, have entered into force from April 1, 2015. A new agreement for information-sharing between the NBS, in its capacity as resolution authority, and the Deposit Insurance Agency was finalized at end-May 2015. Banks are required to submit recovery plans by end-September 2015, while the NBS will prepare resolution plans for, initially, systemically important banks, by end-December 2015.

**25. Implementation of the strategy for state-owned banks is on track.** We are strengthening our oversight over financial institutions that are, in whole or in part, state-owned, and planning to reduce state presence in the financial sector. Where necessary, we will initiate reviews of the business models and strategies of state-owned institutions that have not been earmarked for privatization in the medium term, with the aim to finalize conclusions by

end-December 2015. In parallel, we will strengthen corporate governance and risk control frameworks, in accordance with international best practices.

**26. We will continue to implement our dinarization strategy.** This strategy is based on three pillars: (i) maintaining overall macroeconomic stability; (ii) creating favorable conditions for developing the dinar bond market; and (iii) promoting hedging instruments. In this regard, since November 2013 we have liberalized borrowing in dinars by the IFIs, and further increased maturity of dinar-denominated securities in the local market by successfully placing a 10-year dinar denominated T-bond.

**27. We will support credit to SMEs.** Given the importance of SMEs for Serbia's economy and the limited access to credit by this sector, we will support lending to SMEs through EIB's credit lines ("Apex loans"). To this end, we streamlined loan approval procedures for the Apex loans by altering the role of the Government Loan Steering Committee to ex-post assessment of the effectiveness of the loans.

## E. Structural Policies

**28. We have initiated and will implement a number of comprehensive structural reforms to attract investment, support growth, and rebalance the economy on its path towards EU integration.** We will focus on specific policies that (i) sustain job creation, (ii) reform state-owned enterprises, and (iii) improve the overall business environment.

**29. Job creation is a central element of our economic policies.** In 2014, we made legislative changes to support labor market flexibility and job creation, including amendments to the Labor Law in July and adoption of National Employment Action Plan for 2015 (NEAP 2015) in October. We also aligned public sector collective agreements and a decision on social program for redundant employees in SOEs for 2015 with the new Labor Law. Many of the programs under NEAP 2015 will continue to be developed in close consultation with the World Bank and EU partners. To support implementation of NEAP, we amended the Law on Employment in April 2015, which better aligns the disbursement of social benefits for the unemployed with specific training programs. Further, with the aim of improving the social dialogue, we are currently analyzing the Labor Law and other regulations to determine if it is necessary to adopt a new Law on Social Partnership and Collective Bargaining.

**30. We have initiated wide-ranging reforms of socially-owned and state-owned enterprises to improve their operational viability and limit fiscal risks.** A clear priority is to significantly reduce state aid to SOEs through (i) curtailed direct or indirect subsidies, (ii) limited issuance of new guarantees, and (iii) enhanced accountability, transparency and monitoring of these enterprises. To this end, we started implementing strategies for two broad categories of state-owned companies. First, we are addressing companies in the portfolio of the Privatization Agency, most of which were protected under a bankruptcy moratorium until end-May 2015. For a small group of 17 companies with high privatization prospects, the moratorium was extended for up to 1 year in late May, and the Ministry of Economy will define in a Ministry Decision the

deadlines for the resolution of individual companies within the extended timeframe. The second group includes other large SOEs including the electricity, gas, railways, and road companies. The reforms of the socially-owned and state-owned enterprises are supported by the World Bank and EBRD.

**31. We started the resolution of over 500 enterprises in the portfolio of the Privatization Agency through either privatization or bankruptcy, in accordance with the recently revised Privatization Law.** Since August 2014, we have collected letters of interest for these companies, and we have adopted an action plan for bankruptcy procedures for 188 companies in early February 2015. As of end-May, we have submitted to the court requests for bankruptcy proceedings for 49 companies with little privatization prospects, and initiated the public tenders for privatization of 12 companies. We intend to finish the bankruptcy process of additional 139 companies by end-2015, and privatization procedures for an additional 80 companies under restructuring by end-October 2015, through either bankruptcy or privatization. Adequate resources for social benefits for the redundant workers are provided in the 2015 budget. These benefits are equivalent to severance payments in the Labor Law.

**32. We aim to privatize or find strategic partners for a number of SOEs and concession projects.** We will use the proceeds primarily for reducing the stock of public debt but possibly also for funding future financially viable and high return investment projects. The size of investment funding will be determined in consultation with the Fund staff. To support the operation of the telecommunication sector on a strictly market basis, we will launch a privatization tender for Telekom Serbia during the course of 2015. We entered a management contract for Železara Smederevo, a steel producer, with HPK engineering, a Netherlands-based company in March 2015. This has ensured the operation of the steel company without state aid this year—including budget subsidies, government guarantees, lending from the budget or any other forms of public support—and without further accumulation of arrears. At the same time, we will continue to explore long-term concession partnerships for managing the Belgrade airport and operating Corridor XI.

**33. We have started and are committed to restructuring the large SOEs to contain the additional fiscal costs that would arise without a change in policies.** We will also ensure adequate service provision. In particular, we have focused on the electricity, gas, railways, and road companies which are among the largest public enterprises. To implement the needed corporate and financial restructuring in each of these companies over the medium term, we have taken the following steps:

- **Elektroprivreda Srbije (EPS).** In November 2014, we adopted a corporate restructuring plan that focuses on streamlining the organizational structure and management as a first step to enable a financially self-sustaining EPS in the future, and thus avoiding the need for state aid. This new organizational structure will be effective from August 1, 2015. In consultation with the World Bank and EBRD, we adopted the financial restructuring plan for EPS in early June 2015 (end-March structural benchmark, prior action for the first review). The delayed delivery of the restructuring plan was due to longer than expected



consultation process between the government and EPS. The plan includes: (1) increases in revenues through enhanced bill collections, reduced technical and commercial losses, and a regulated tariff increase of 4.5 percent from August 1, 2015 consistent with the provisions of the Energy Law, and (ii) a reduction of costs including through increased operational efficiency, optimization of the supply mix, and other measures to reduce operational costs in line with the restructuring plan. The request to increase the regulated electricity tariff by 4.5 percent was submitted to the Energy Agency of the Republic of Serbia in early-June (prior action). Achieving EPS financial sustainability will require the implementation of this full package of measures. The tariff increase and a new excise tax on electricity (to be effective from August 1) would result in a total price increase of 12 percent for the consumers in the regulated market. Additional tariff adjustments will follow in 2016 and 2017 as needed to allow electricity prices to further converge to the market level. Following the ongoing corporate restructuring process and financial consolidation, we will seek minority private investment participation that could further enhance the corporate governance and viability of the company and ensure its professional management. These plans will continue to be implemented through 2016–2017.

- **Srbijagas.** We adopted corporate restructuring plans for Srbijagas in December 2014, which include a framework for unbundling of its distribution section. In line with the fiscal program, we will divest part of Srbijagas' noncore assets and continue pursuing a permanent resolution for the companies which were a major source of arrears in the past: Azotara, MSK, and Petrohemija. These companies have been operating without state aid or further accumulation of arrears this year, and the government is fully committed to no state aid to those companies going forward. More generally, payment discipline of Srbijagas' clients has improved. We hired an independent consultant to develop a financial restructuring plan based on improving collection and increasing the transit and network fees, and the plan will be adopted by end-October 2015, in time for incorporation in the 2016 budget (structural benchmark). The terms of reference for the financial restructuring plan will be prepared with the assistance of the World Bank and the EBRD. These measures will ensure that Srbijagas' financial position does not deteriorate further, thus containing the need for additional state aid in line with the fiscal program.
- **Railways of Serbia.** We established a Railway Reform Steering Committee, led by the Deputy Prime Minister and including senior representatives from relevant Ministries and entities, IFIs, and EU, to provide overall direction of the reforms. The unbundling of the company into separate passenger, freight, infrastructure, and a holding company was approved by the Railway Assembly and the government in May 2015. The decision will be effective from July 1, 2015. In consultation with the World Bank, Railways will identify and implement measures to generate savings to compensate for the reduction of subsidies (€15 million) and servicing of electricity bills. The corporate restructuring plan will be centered on asset disposal, network re-optimization, and staff rationalization.

Importantly, the freight section will receive no further subsidies and will operate on a pure commercial basis from January 2018. To support market competition, an infrastructure usage fee will be introduced by end-December 2015. We will also continue with the reorganization and improvement of business plans for the holding company, the state-owned passenger and infrastructure companies to strictly limit the amount of state aid disbursed over the medium term. We cooperate closely with the World Bank, EBRD and EU in determining the optimal corporate and financial restructuring plans, with the help of independent consultants, who started the consultancy in early May. These plans will be adopted by the government by end-September 2015 (structural benchmark).

- **Roads of Serbia.** The decision of the merger of Roads of Serbia with Corridors of Serbia will be finalized in June 2015, resulting in a single company tasked with road construction and maintenance in Serbia. Formal legal proceedings will be completed by July 2015. While we expect efficiency gains from the consolidation of operations, we will also take action on the revenue side by revisiting the adequacy of toll rates and on the expenditure side by removing rigidities in pricing maintenance contracts in the first half of 2016. The savings should result in lower budget support in the future. We will also explore concession options for the construction and maintenance of Corridor XI. The corporate and financial restructuring plans will be developed in close consultation with the World Bank.

**34. We will develop a comprehensive program to enhance Serbia's competitiveness and business environment to support investment, job creation and private sector development.** The program will be developed in close consultation with the World Bank and EBRD (including through the Investment Climate and Governance Initiative) and will ensure that growth-supporting policies are well coordinated and targeted. Specific actions will focus on the following areas:

- The Law on Planning and Construction, with the goal of significantly speeding up the issuance of construction permits was adopted in December 2014, and a unified procedure is applicable since March 1, 2015. We will also adopt the framework that regulates the conversion of land usage into ownership rights and cadastre regulation by end-June 2015.
- To enhance predictability and reduce corruption and the grey economy, we adopted a new Law on Inspection Oversight in April 2015.
- We will adopt a new Investment Law in June 2015, which replaced and broadened the scope of the Foreign Investment Law to include domestic investment. In addition, the new law provides legal basis for Serbian Development Agency (SDA) to become a one-stop shop for national investment projects, and Investment Offices at municipal level for local investment projects.

- We will adopt a new Company Law by end-2015 to include the public enterprises, which is currently governed by a separate Law on Public Enterprises.
- We will develop plans for the rationalization of investment promotion programs, in particular the Development Fund, and their agencies, including a reform of the two agencies administering investment incentives and export financing programs (Serbian Export Credit and Insurance Agency (AOFI) and Serbia Investment and Export Promotion Agency (SIEPA)), by end-December 2015. We will merge SIEPA with the National Agency for Regional Development (RASME) in August 2015. In addition, we will reorganize the Privatization Agency by end-December 2015.
- We have established a working group to implement the action plan to improve the business environment for SMEs based on the SME strategy for 2015–2020 prepared by the MOE, which was adopted by the Government in March 2015.
- We will work to enhance innovation capacity through stepping up the work of the Innovation Fund and reform the system of financing research institutions.
- As part of our job creation initiatives, we will improve efficiency of Active Labor Market Policies and implement rationalization and reorganization of the National Employment Service.

## **Program Monitoring**

**35.** Progress in the implementation of the policies under this program will be monitored through quarterly quantitative performance criteria (PCs) and indicative targets (ITs)—including an inflation consultation clause, continuous performance criteria (CPCs) and structural benchmarks (SBs). These are detailed in Tables 1 and 2, with definitions provided in the attached Technical Memorandum of Understanding. Quantitative targets are set for end-June, September, and December 2015.

**Table 1. Serbia: Quantitative Program Targets 1/**

	2015									
	Mar			Jun		Sep		Dec		
	Prog.	Adj. Prog.	Act.	Prog. CR 15/20 2/	Prog.	Proj. CR 15/20 2/	Prog.	Proj. CR 15/20 2/	Rev Proj.	
<b>I. Quantitative performance criteria (quarterly)</b>										
1 Floor on net international reserves of the NBS (in millions of euros)	6,290	...	7,155	6,063	6,063	5,718	5,718	5,835	5,835	
2 Ceiling on the general government fiscal deficit 3/ 4/ (in billions of dinars)	55.7	53.2	21.1	96.3	96.3	153.1	153.1	232.1	232.1	
3 Ceiling on current primary expenditure of the Serbian Republican Budget excluding capital expenditure and interest payments (in billions of dinars) 3/	207.4	203.5	195.4	429.2	429.2	657.2	657.2	906.3	906.3	
4 Ceiling on gross issuance of new guarantees by the Serbian Republican Budget for project and corporate restructuring loans (in millions of euros) 3/	0	...	0	121	121	401	401	481	481	
5 Ceiling on contracting or guaranteeing of new short-term external debt by the General Government, Development Fund, and AOFI (up to and including one year, in millions of euros)	0	...	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>II. Continuous performance criteria</b>										
6 Ceiling on gross issuance of new guarantees by the Serbian Republican Budget and the Development Fund for liquidity support (in billions of dinars)	0	...	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7 Ceiling on accumulation of external debt payment arrears by General Government, Development Fund, and AOFI (in billions of euros)	0	...	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>III. Indicative targets (quarterly)</b>										
8 Ceiling on gross accumulation of domestic payment arrears by the consolidated general government except local governments, the Development Fund, and AOFI (in billions of dinars)	0	...	-0.66	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9 Ceiling on borrowing by the Development Fund and AOFI (in billions of dinars)	0	...	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 Ceiling on new below-the-line lending by the Republican Government (in millions of euros) 3/	176	...	4.2	250	250	314	314	384	384	
<b>IV. Inflation consultation band (quarterly)</b>										
Outer band (upper limit, 2.5 percent above center point)	4.2	...	...	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.1	6.7	6.7	
Inner band (upper limit, 1.5 percent above center point)	3.2	...	...	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.1	5.7	5.7	
<i>End of period inflation, center point 5/</i>	1.7	...	1.9	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.6	4.2	4.2	
Inner band (lower limit, 1.5 percent below center point)	0.2	...	...	1.5	1.5	1.1	1.1	2.7	2.7	
Outer band (lower limit, 2.5 percent below center point)	-0.8	...	...	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.1	1.7	1.7	

1/ As defined in the Letter of Intent, the Memorandum of Economic and Financial Policies, and the Technical Memorandum of Understanding.

2/ Original program targets as specified in IMF Country Report 15/20.

3/ Cumulative since 01-01-2015.

4/ Refers to the fiscal balance on a cash basis, including the amortization of called guarantees.

5/ Defined as the change over 12 months of the end-of-period consumer price index, as measured and published by the Serbian Statistics Office.

**Table 2. Serbia: Prior Actions and Structural Benchmarks**

Measures	Target date	Status	Remarks
<b>Prior Actions</b>			
1 Submission to the National Assembly the amendment of the Law on Excises to introduce an electricity excise tax of 7.5 percent, to be effective from August 1 (MEFP 19).		Met	Met on June 10, 2015
2 Submission to the Energy Agency of the Republic of Serbia a request to increase the regulated electricity tariff by 4.5 percent, to be effective from August 1 (MEFP 133).		Met	Met on June 10, 2015
3 Adoption of the EPS financial restructuring plan by the Government (MEFP 133).		Met	Met on June 8, 2015
<b>Structural Benchmarks</b>			
<b>Fiscal</b>			
1 Adoption by the Government of a decree that regulates the role and responsibility of the Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Economy and the line ministries with respect to monitoring SOEs and PPPs (MEFP 114).	March 31, 2015	Met with a delay in April, 2015	
2 Adoption of the Tax Administration Transformation Program 2015-20 developed by the MoF as the official medium term reform program (MEFP 115). 1/	March 31, 2015	Met with a delay in June, 2015	
3 Adoption of the EPS financial restructuring plan by the Government (MEFP 133).	March 31, 2015	Met with a delay in June, 2015	Prior action for the 1st review
4 Adoption by the National Assembly of a new Local Government Financing Law (MEFP 111). 2/	June 30, 2015	Proposed to be reset to September, 2015	
5 Finalization and validation of a full registry of public employees, including all employees at the republican and local government levels, in public agencies and institutions, and relevant SOEs (MEFP 113).	June 30, 2015		
6 Approval by the National Assembly of changes to the Law on Payments in Commercial Transactions to include transactions between public entities including SOEs (MEFP 114).	June 30, 2015		
7 Adoption by the Government of a corporate and financial restructuring plan for Railways of Serbia, to be prepared by an independent consultant (MEFP 133).	September 30, 2015		
8 Adoption by the Government of a financial restructuring plan for Srbijagas, to be prepared by an independent consultant (MEFP 133).	October 31, 2015		
<b>Financial</b>			
9 Completion of special diagnostic studies of banks (MEFP 122).	September 30, 2015		
10 Preparation of supervisory guidance setting forth expectations for loan loss provisioning under IAS 39, in consultation with IMF staff and relevant domestic stakeholders (MEFP 121).	December 31, 2015		New benchmark
11 Introduction of a new legal and operational framework for transparent real estate appraisals, including: (i) legislation setting clear appraisal standards; (ii) development of a database, accessible to banks and appraisers, for detailed records on real estate valuations filed according to pre-established criteria; and (iii) legislation providing proper supervision of the licensed appraisers. (MEFP 121).	December 31, 2015		New benchmark
12 Conduct of a review of the corporate insolvency law and submission of proposed amendments to the National Assembly, in line with recommendations from IMF technical assistance, aimed to ensure: (i) adequate safeguards for the secured creditors rights; and (ii) better value maximization and more predictable and swift disposal of assets where assets are not strictly necessary for rehabilitation (MEFP 121).	December 31, 2015		New benchmark
1/ This corrects a typo in the original program, which specified as the Tax Administration Transformation Program 2014-19.			
2/ The authorities clarified that meeting the objective of this structural benchmark will require adoption of new legislation as opposed to amendment of the existing law as was required in the initial formulation of this structural benchmark.			

## Attachment II. Technical Memorandum of Understanding

1. This Technical Memorandum of Understanding (TMU) sets out the understandings regarding the definition of indicators used to monitor developments under the program. To that effect, the authorities will provide the necessary data to the European Department of the IMF as soon as they are available. As a general principle, all indicators will be monitored on the basis of the methodologies and classifications of monetary, financial, and fiscal data in place on December 31, 2014, except as noted below.

### A. Floor for Net International Reserves of the NBS

	In millions of euro
Outstanding stock:	
End-December 2014	7,008
Floor on international reserves:	
End-March 2015 (performance criterion)	6,290
End-June 2015 (performance criterion)	6,063
End-September 2015 (performance criterion)	5,718
End-December 2015 (indicative target)	5,835

2. **Net international reserves** (NIR) of the NBS are defined as the difference between reserve assets and reserve liabilities, measured at the end of the quarter.

3. For purposes of the program, **reserve assets** are readily available claims on nonresidents denominated in foreign convertible currencies. They include the NBS holdings of monetary gold, SDRs, foreign currency cash, foreign currency securities, deposits abroad, and the country's reserve position at the Fund. Excluded from reserve assets are any assets that are pledged, collateralized, or otherwise encumbered (e.g., pledged as collateral for foreign loans or through forward contracts, guarantees and letters of credit), NBS' claims on resident banks and nonbanks, as well as subsidiaries or branches of Serbian commercial banks located abroad, claims in foreign exchange arising from derivatives in foreign currencies vis-à-vis domestic currency (such as futures, forwards, swaps, and options), precious metals other than monetary gold, domestically acquired gold without international certificates, assets in nonconvertible currencies, and illiquid assets.

4. For purposes of the program, **reserve liabilities** are defined as all foreign exchange liabilities to residents and nonresidents with a maturity of less than one year, including commitments to sell foreign exchange arising from derivatives (such as futures, forwards, swaps, and options, including any portion of the NBS gold that is collateralized), and all credit outstanding from the Fund. Excluded from reserve liabilities are government foreign exchange deposits with NBS, and amounts received under any SDR allocations received after August 20, 2009.

5. For purposes of the program, all foreign currency-related assets will be valued in euros at **program exchange rates** as specified below. The program exchange rates are those that prevailed on September 30, 2014. Monetary gold will be valued at the average London fixing market price that prevailed on September 30, 2014.

#### Cross Exchange Rates and Gold Price for Program Purposes, September 30, 2014

	Valued in:				
	RSD	Euro	USD	SDR	GBP
Currency:					
RSD	1.0000	0.0084	0.0107	0.0072	0.0066
Euro	118.8509	1.0000	1.2695	0.8563	0.7808
USD	93.6202	0.7877	1.0000	0.6745	0.6150
SDR	138.7994	1.1678	1.4826	1.0000	0.9119
GBP	152.2168	1.2807	1.6259	1.0967	1.0000
Gold	113,888.97	958.25	1,216.50	820.53	748.20

Source: NBS

6. **Adjustors.** For program purposes, the NIR target will be adjusted upward by the value of long-term assets and foreign-exchange-denominated claims on resident banks and nonbanks as well as Serbian commercial banks abroad, recovered by the NBS since December 31, 2014. The NIR floor will be adjusted upward by the full amount of any eurobond issuance proceeds cumulative since December 31, 2014. The NIR floor will also be adjusted upward by the value of domestically acquired gold for which certification was obtained after December 31, 2014. The NIR floor will also be adjusted upward by any privatization revenue in foreign exchange received after December 31, 2014. Privatization receipts are defined in this context as the proceeds from sale, lease, or concession of all or portions of entities and properties held by the public sector that are deposited in foreign exchange at the NBS either directly or through the Treasury.

## B. Inflation Consultation Mechanism

7. Inflation is defined as the change over 12 months of the end-of-period consumer price index (CPI), as measured and published by the Serbian Statistics Office.

8. Breaching the inflation consultation inner band limits (specified in MEFP, Table 1) at the end of a quarter would trigger discussions with IMF staff on the reasons for the deviation and the proposed policy response. Breaching the outer limits would trigger a consultation with the IMF's Executive Board on the reasons for the deviation and the proposed policy response before further purchases could be requested under the SBA.

## C. Fiscal Conditionality

**9. The general government fiscal deficit** (previously referred to as the general government augmented fiscal deficit, see IMF Country Report 15/20, p. 70), on a cash basis, is defined as the difference between total general government expenditure (irrespective of the source of financing) including expenditure financed from foreign project loans, payments of called guarantees, cost of bank resolution and recapitalization, cost of debt takeover if debt was not previously guaranteed, repayments of debt takeover if debt was previously guaranteed, and payment of arrears (irrespective of the way they are recorded in the budget law) and total general government revenue (including grants). For program purposes, the consolidated general government comprises the Serbian Republican government (without indirect budget beneficiaries), local governments, the Pension Fund, the Health Fund, the Military Health Fund, the National Agency for Employment, the Roads of Serbia Company (JP Putevi Srbije) and any of its subsidiaries, and the company Corridors of Serbia. Any new extra budgetary fund or subsidiary established over the duration of the program would be consolidated into the general government. Privatization receipts are classified as a financial transaction and are recorded "below the line" in the General Government fiscal accounts. Privatization receipts are defined in this context as the proceeds from sale, lease, or concession of all or portions of entities and properties held by the public sector.

**10. Government primary current expenditure of the Republican budget (without indirect budget beneficiaries)** includes wages, subsidies, goods and services, transfers to local governments and social security funds, social benefits from the budget, other current expenditure, net lending, payments of called guarantees, cost of bank resolution and recapitalization, cost of debt takeover if debt was not previously guaranteed, repayments of debt take overs if debt was previously guaranteed, and payment of arrears (irrespective of the way they are recorded in the budget law). It does not include capital spending and interest payments.

### Adjustors

- The quarterly ceilings on **the general government fiscal deficit and the primary current expenditure of the Republican budget** will be adjusted upward (downward) to the extent that cumulative severance payments by the general government for the former and the Republican budget level for the latter (including payments from the Transition Fund) exceed (fall short of) the programmed levels up to the yearly budgeted amount.



**Cumulative Programmed Severance Payments**  
(In billions of dinars)

	End-Mar. 2015	End-Jun. 2015	End-Sep. 2015	End-Dec. 2015
Programmed cumulative severance payments by the general government fiscal deficit)	3	10	19	29
Programmed cumulative severance payments (of the Republican budget)	3	10	15.6	25.6

- The quarterly ceilings on the **primary current expenditure of the Republican budget** will be adjusted upward (downward) to the extent that (i) cumulative earmarked grant receipts exceed (fall short of) the programmed levels and (ii) cumulative proceeds from small-scale disposal of assets (the sale of buildings, land, and equipment) recorded as non-tax revenues exceed the programmed levels up to a cumulative annual amount of 2 billion dinars in 2015. For the purposes of the adjustor, grants are defined as noncompulsory current or capital transfers received by the Government of Serbia, without any expectation of repayment, from either another government or an international organization including the EU.

**Cumulative Receipts from Earmarked Grants and Small-scale Asset Disposal**  
(In billions of dinars)

	End-Mar. 2015	End-Jun. 2015	End-Sep. 2015	End-Dec 2015
Programmed cumulative ear-marked grants receipts	2.5	5	7.5	10
Programmed cumulative receipts from small-scale disposal of assets	0	0	0	0

**11. Ceiling on the gross issuance of debt guarantees by the Republican Budget for project and for liquidity support.** Guarantees for liquidity support are defined in this context as guarantees related to loans provided without any pre-specified purpose other than satisfying funding needs of the company that ensure its normal production and business activities. Guarantees for viable project loans are defined in this context as guarantees related to loans with high probability of repayment provided with a pre-specified objective establishing that all funding should be used for well-defined investment or corporate restructuring projects, confirmed by a reliable feasibility study and/or the investment or restructuring plan endorsed by the government.

**12. Ceiling on below-the-line lending by the Republican Government.** Below-the-line lending is defined as the lending by the Republican Government which is used to provide financing to entities outside the General Government coverage. Below-the-line lending by the Republican Government will only be provided in cases where the probability of repayment is assessed to be high. These entities include the Deposit Insurance Agency (DIA), beneficiaries of the APEX lending program, and EPS, among others.

**13. Ceiling on borrowing by the Development Fund and the Export Credit and Insurance Agency (AOFI).** Borrowing by the Development Fund and AOFI is defined as gross accumulation of financial claims on these entities.

**14. The amendments to the Budget System Law will involve a modification specifying the following wage and pension indexation rule:**

*Fiscal sustainability rule imposes that the share of general government salaries in GDP do not exceed 7 percent, and that the share of pensions in GDP do not exceed 11 percent.*

*After 2014, salaries and/or pensions will not be increased in the years in which the share of general government salaries in GDP is above 7 percent, and/or share of pensions in GDP is above 11 percent.*

*In years in which it is expected that the share of general government salaries in GDP will be below 7 percent, indexation will take place twice a year. In April, salaries will be indexed by the previous 6-month CPI inflation and previous year annual real GDP growth above 3 percent, and in October, salaries will be indexed by the previous 6-month CPI inflation, but taking into account that after these indexations the share of general government salaries in GDP must be below 7 percent.*

*In years in which it is expected that general government pension payments will be below 11 percent, indexation will take place twice a year. In April, pensions will be indexed by the previous 6-month CPI inflation and previous year annual real GDP growth above 3 percent, and in October, pensions will be indexed by the previous 6-month CPI inflation, but taking into account that after these indexations the share of general government pensions in GDP must be below 11 percent.*

**15. Domestic arrears.** For program purposes, domestic arrears are defined as the belated settlement of a debtor's liability which is due under the obligation (contract) for more than 60 days, or the creditor's refusal to receive a settlement duly offered by the debtor. The program will include indicative targets on the change in domestic arrears of (i) all consolidated general government entities as defined in ¶19 above, except local governments; (ii) the Development Fund, and (iii) AOFI. Arrears to be covered include outstanding payments on wages and pensions; social security contributions; obligations to banks and other private companies and suppliers; as well as arrears to other government bodies.

## D. Ceilings on External Debt

**16. Definitions.** The ceilings on contracting or guaranteeing of short-term external debt (with maturities up to one year) consolidated general government, the AOFI, and the Development Fund applies not only to debt as defined in point 9 of the Guidelines on Performance Criteria with Respect to External Debt in Fund Arrangements, Decision No. 6230-(79/140), as amended, but also to commitments contracted or guaranteed for which value has not been received. Excluded from this performance criterion are normal short-term import credits. For program purposes, debt is classified as external when the residency of the creditor is not Serbian. For new debt to budgetary users, the day the debt is contracted will be the relevant date for program purposes. For new debt to non-budgetary users, the day the first guarantee is signed will be the relevant date. Contracting or guaranteeing of new debt will be converted into euros for program purposes at the program cross exchange rates described in this TMU.

## E. Ceiling on External Debt Service Arrears

**17. Definition.** External debt-service arrears are defined as overdue debt service arising in respect of obligations incurred directly or guaranteed by the consolidated general government, the Export Credit and Insurance Agency (AOFI), and the Development Fund, except on debt subject to rescheduling or restructuring. The program requires that no new external arrears be accumulated at any time under the arrangement on public sector or public sector guaranteed debts. The authorities are committed to continuing negotiations with creditors to settle all remaining official external debt-service arrears.

**18. Reporting.** The accounting of non-reschedulable external arrears by creditor (if any), with detailed explanations, will be transmitted on a monthly basis, within two weeks of the end of each month. Data on other arrears, which can be rescheduled, will be provided separately.

## F. Reporting

**19.** General government revenue data and the Treasury cash position table will be submitted weekly; updated cash flow projections for the Republican budget for the remainder of the year fourteen calendar days after the end of each month; and the stock of spending arrears as defined in ¶16 45 days after the end of each quarter. General government comprehensive fiscal data (including social security funds) would be submitted by the 25<sup>th</sup> of each month.

Data Reporting for Quantitative Performance Criteria		
Reporting Agency	Type of Data	Timing
NBS	Net international reserves of the NBS (including data for calculating adjustors)	Within one week of the end of the month
Statistical Office and NBS	CPI inflation	Within four weeks of the end of the month
Ministry of Finance	Fiscal deficit of the consolidated general government	Within 25 days of the end of the month
Ministry of Finance	Current primary expenditure of the Republican budget excluding capital expenditure and interest payments	Within 25 days of the end of the month
Ministry of Finance	Gross issuance of new guarantees by the Republican Government for (i) project and corporate restructuring loans and (ii) gross issuance of new guarantees by the Serbian Republican Government for liquidity support	Within three weeks of the end of the month
Ministry of Finance	New short-term external debt contracted or guaranteed by the general government, the Development Fund and AOFI	Within four weeks of the end of the quarter
Ministry of Finance	External debt payment arrears by general government, Development Fund and AOFI	Within four weeks of the end of the month
Ministry of Finance	Gross accumulation of domestic payment arrears by the general government (without local government, the Development Fund, and AOFI)	Within 45 days of the end of the quarter
Ministry of Finance	Borrowing by the Development Fund and AOFI	Within four weeks of the end of the month
Ministry of Finance	Cumulative below-the-line lending by the Republican Government	Within 25 days of the end of the month
Ministry of Finance	Severance payments by general government, with a breakdown by government level.	Within four weeks of the end of the quarter
Ministry of Finance	Earmarked grants and receipts from small-scale disposal of assets	Within four weeks of the end of the quarter