

The Impact of Creditor Protection in the Presence of Credit Crunches

Galina Hale Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

and

Assaf Razin Tel-Aviv University and Cornell University

and

Hui Tong International Monetary Fund

Presented at the 9th Jacques Polak Annual Research Conference Hosted by the International Monetary Fund Washington, DC—November 13-14, 2008

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) only, and the presence of them, or of links to them, on the IMF website does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the paper.

The Impact of Creditor Protection on Stock Prices in the Presence of Credit Crunches

> Galina Hale (SF Fed), Assaf Razin (Cornell), and Hui Tong (IMF)

> > Nov 14, 2008

Main Question

How does creditor protection affect the level and the variance of stock prices?

Stock Return of Non-financial Firms During the Subprime Crisis



Why This Topic

- Literature so far focused on the impact of creditor rights on the credit market, and little on the stock market.
 - La Porta et al. (1997): depth of debt markets
 - Claessens, et al. (2001): operating income variability.
 - Bae & Goyal (2003): borrowing costs
 - Galindo & Micco (2005): volatility of the credit market
- We address how creditor rights affect stock market, through the investment channel.

THE LOGIC OF THE STORY



Methodology and Key Findings

- We develop a Tobin Q model of stock price, and confront the model with a panel data of 40 countries from 1984 to 2004.
- We find that better creditor protection increases stock price and reduce volatility.

Average Stock Volatility (OECD, 84-04)

7

Average Stock Volatility (Non-OECD, 84-04)

8

Baseline Model

Production function: $Y_t = A_t K_t^{1-\rho}$ Gross investment: $Z_t = I_t \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\nu} \frac{I_t}{K_t} \right)$, where $I_t = K_{t+1} - K_t$

Firm Lagrangian (present value of future dividends):

$$L_{t} = E_{t} \left[\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1+r\right)^{s}} \left(A_{t} K_{t+s}^{1-\rho} - Z_{t+s} + Q_{t+s} \left(K_{t+s} + I_{t+s} - K_{t+s+1} \right) \right) \right]$$

Stock Price in Frictionless Regime

FOC for
$$I_{t, K_{t:}} Q_t = \frac{1}{(1+r)} E_t \left(A_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{-\rho} + \frac{1}{2\nu} \left(\frac{I_{t+1}}{K_{t+1}} \right)^2 + Q_{t+1} \right)$$

Solve for Tobin's $Q_t = B_0 + B_1 a_t + B_2 k_t$

Stock price:
$$P_{t,unconstrained} \equiv \frac{L_{t,max}}{K_{t+1}}$$

In credit-constain-free regime, stock price equals Tobin's Q.

Stock Price in Constrained Regime Credit constraint: $I_t \leq \omega K_t - W_t$;

Stock Price:
$$P_t \equiv \frac{\hat{L}_{t,\max}}{K_{t+1}} = \frac{1}{1+r} E_t \left(A_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{-\rho} - \omega \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{2\nu} \right) + \frac{1+\omega}{1+r} P_{t+1} \right)$$

Solve for $\hat{P}_{t,constrained} = C_0 + C_1 a_t + C_2 k_t$

Comparative stat:
$$\frac{\partial \hat{P}_{t,constrained}}{\partial \omega} > 0; \hat{P}_{t,constrained} < \hat{P}_{t,unconstrained}$$

11

Stock Price in Constrained Regime (2)

$$C_{1} \propto \frac{1}{1 - \gamma - \gamma \omega + 2r + 2r^{2}}$$

Hence $\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial \omega} > 0$

$$C_{2} \propto \frac{-1}{r^{2} + 2r - \omega}$$

Hence $\frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial \omega} < 0$

12

Probability of Constrained Regime

The probability of entering constrained regime is $Pr(I_{t,unconstrained} > \omega K_t - W_t),$ where $I_{t,unconstrained}$ is the investment under frictionless regime: $I_{t0} = v K_t (P_{unconstrained,t} - 1)$

• Higher ω reduces the probability of entering constrained regime.

Creditor Right and Stock Price Level

Proposition 1: The expected stock price rises with stronger creditor protection, through two channels: (1) The probability of credit crunches declines; (2) firm's market value rises in the credit-constrained regime.

 $E[P_t] = \Pr(Constrained)P_{t,constrained} + \Pr(Unconstrained)P_{t,unconstrained})$

Creditor Right and Stock Volatility

Proposition 2: With stronger creditor protection, the variance of stock returns declines, because: (1) The difference between the stock prices, in the constrained regime and the unconstrained regime, decreases; and (2) The probability of credit crunches declines.

 $Var[P_t] = \Pr(Constrained) * \Pr(Unconstrained)$ $* (P_{unconstrained,t} - P_{constrained,t})^2$

Theory to Empirics

- In the theoretical model, the credit constraint mechanism works through a random situation where the constraint moves between binding and nonbinding.
- In the empirical model, we use the probability of a liquidity crisis to proxy for the probability of a binding constraint

Empirical Method

- Analyze aggregate stock prices in 40 countries from 1984-2004.
- Use a two stage analysis to examine the relationship between stock price and creditor protection.
- In the first stage, we look at how creditor protection affects the probability of a liquidity crisis. We then use the Probit regression results to construct predicted crisis probability.
- In the second stage, how the predicted probability of the liquidity crunch affects the price and volatility.

Liquidity Crisis

- Quantity approach: as a sharp decline in bank credit to the private sector;
- We define the top 5 or 10 percent tail as crises.
- Price approach: as a sharp increase in the real interest rate.

Creditor Rights

- As in La Porta et al. (1998), creditor rights index ranges from 0 to 4 (higher, better protection)
 - creditor consent or minimum dividends to file for reorganization
 - no automatic stay on assets
 - seniority of secured creditors
 - debtor does not retain the administration pending the resolution

Table 3. Marginal Effects of the First-stage Probit Regressions

| | Quantity definition | Price definition |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| Dummy (Creditor rights $= 3 \text{ or } 4$) | -0.055*** | -0.078*** |
| Crisis (t-1) | 0.119** | 0.047 |
| ICRG political stability | -0.002*** | -0.003*** |
| Growth rate of GDP per capita | -0.337*** | |
| Lagged contagion indicator | | 0.005* |
| Capital openness (de jure) | | -0.002*** |
| McFadden's R ² | 0.18 | 0.21 |

Second Stage

Level: $\ln(P_{it}) = \alpha_i + \rho \ln(P_{i,t-1}) + \gamma \Pr(\text{Crisis})_{i,t+1} + Z'_{it} \delta + \eta_{it};$

Volatility: $\ln(\sigma_{it}) = \alpha_i + \rho \ln(\sigma_{i,t-1}) + \gamma \Pr(\text{Crisis})_{i,t+1} + Z'_{it} \delta + \varepsilon_{it};$

Exclusion Conditions

- The 2-stage system can be identified by functional form. But functional form identification tends to weak.
- Excluded from the second stage: lag of liquidity crisis indicator, lag of contagion indicator
- Lagged variables should not directly affect stock index, which is forward–looking according to the market efficiency theory.

| | Quantity | Quantity- developing | Quantity- developed | Price | Price- developing | Price- developed |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Prob(crisis)– quantity | -0.675*** | -0.625*** | -0.896*** | | | |
| Prob(crisis)– price | | | | -0.835*** | -0.749*** | -0.279 |
| Lagged dependent variable | 0.745*** | 0.730*** | 0.785*** | 0.710*** | 0.687*** | 0.781*** |
| Growth rate of GDP per capita | 0.076 | 0.729*** | -0.236*** | 0.082 | 0.613*** | -0.124*** |

| Table 6: Second Stage Regressions of Stock Market Volatility | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Quantity | Quantity- developing | Quantity- developed | Price | Price- developing | Price- developed | |
| Prob(crisis)– quantity | 0.318** | 0.334** | 0.512 | | | | |
| Prob(crisis)– price | | | | 0.759*** | 0.509** | 3.014*** | |
| Lagged dependent variable | 0.266*** | 0.345*** | 0.116** | 0.263*** | 0.345*** | 0.104* | |
| Growth rate of GDP per capita | -0.271** | 0.334*** | 0.245 | -0.211* | - 0.499*** | 0.207 | |

Robustness Checks

- In the 2nd stage, additional control variables, such as budget surplus, inflation level and volatility, current account, P/E ratio, exchange rate regime, do not change results.
- In the 1st stage, we add more lags of liquidity crisis indicators. This increases the impact of the crisis probability in the 2nd stage.

Conclusion

 Creditor protection not only increases the level of the stock market in the environment of credit constraints, but also lowers its volatility.