

Balance-Sheet Adjustment

Z. He, I. G. Khang, and A. Krishnamurthy

Discussion by

Nicolae Gârleanu

UC Berkeley, NBER, and CEPR

Main Question

- What was the flow of funds during the crisis?
 - Estimate the net purchases of various classes of investors:
 - (a) Change in asset values (self reported)
 - (b) Trading profits
 - Concentrate on certain asset classes: mortgages and credit securities
- Motivation: Help understand asset returns
 - What happened to the balance sheets of the marginal investors?
 - May their objectives have changed? How?

Main Findings

- Brokers/dealers, hedge funds, and insurance companies **sold** about **\$1.25tn**
- Commercial banks **bought** about **\$730bn**
- Government:
 - Assumed some of the risk on banks' balance sheets
 - Fed bought (low-risk) MBS debt; also GSEs
- Question: How did banks finance purchases?

Leverage

- Hedge funds:
 - Dropped from 2.8 to 2.3 (!)
 - Note also that maximum haircut during crisis was 0.40 (on CMOs); a large proportion of “hedge-fund money” not levered
- Broker/dealers:
 - Similar to hedge funds?
- Perhaps leverage went down for all entities that sold mortgage/credit assets

Commercial Banks: Liabilities

- Repo and Fed Funds:

$$\$463\text{bn} - \$1327\text{bn} = -\$864\text{bn}$$

- Deposits:

$$\$7146\text{bn} - \$6592\text{bn} = \$554\text{bn}$$

- Bonds:

$$\$1216\text{bn} - \$688\text{bn} = \$528\text{bn}$$

- Total:

\$218bn

- Asset purchases:

\$730bn

=> ¿ Leverage ↓ ? (2009Q1 equity: ~\$700bn)

Commercial Banks: Implications

- Borrow large amounts (\$1.25tn) with government guarantees
- Seem to also have raised equity capital
- Purchases roughly same as potential increase in bank holdings of assets underlying ABCPs
- Implications for banks' trading objectives?
 - Guarantees => banks more aggressive? Needn't.
 - Taking advantage of attractive risk premia?
 - Obligation to assume certain assets?

A Couple of Relevant Theories

1. A group of investors (“intermediaries”) lever up to hold all credit securities. Sequence of events:

- Fundamentals drop
- Losses, and higher leverage (or capital influx)
- More risk taken (per \$1) => high excess returns

(He and Krishnamurthy 2007)

2. Groups of investors with different risk appetites:

- Fundamentals drop; margin requirements (haircuts) go up
- Most aggressive investors sell
- Less aggressive investors must be attracted to buy => high excess return

(Gârleanu and Pedersen 2009)

Conclusion

- Paper answers a very interesting question
- Who bought the credit assets sold by hedge funds and investment banks? Commercial banks. How much? About \$700bn.
- Answer requires
 - looking through a number of data sets
 - making some regularity assumptions
- Some uncertainty persists regarding amounts; type of securities traded (e.g., ABCP); liability side
- Relevant for the identity of the marginal investors