

The Exposure of Swiss Banks to Macroeconomic Shocks

An Empirical Investigation

Hansjörg Lehmann
Swiss National Bank

Expert forum, IMF, 2-3 May 2006

The Exposure of Swiss Banks to Macroeconomic Shocks

An Empirical Investigation

Hansjörg Lehmann
Swiss National Bank

Paper presented at the Expert Forum on Advanced Techniques on Stress Testing: Applications for Supervisors
Hosted by the International Monetary Fund
Washington, DC– May 2-3, 2006

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) only, and the presence of them, or of links to them, on the IMF website does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the paper.

Goal of the study

1. Identify macroeconomic factors which are linked to banks' profits and losses
 2. Simulate present and future P&L of the banking sector
 3. Consequences for the capitalization of the banking system
- ⇒ Additional instrument to assess the resilience of the Swiss banking system

Concept

1. Panel data regression to estimate the sensitivity of banks P&L to macroeconomic conditions
2. Estimated sensitivities of P&L are used to quantify the impact of various scenarios
3. The impact of the scenario on P&L is compared to bank capital
 - (i) P&L as a % of excess capital
 - (ii) market share of banks falling below the regulatory minimum

Data

- ◆ Individual bank accounting data
- ◆ All banks located in Switzerland, 345 on average
- ◆ 1987-2004, covering roughly two business cycles
- ◆ 5800 observations
- ◆ Four groups of banks:
 1. Big banks (UBS, Credit Suisse)
 2. Cantonal and regional banks
 3. Private banks
 4. Foreign banks

Methodology I

◆ Dependent variables (bank earning components)

1. Provisions
2. Interest income
3. Trading income
4. Commission fee

◆ Explanatory Variables

- macroeconomic variables
- individual bank characteristics

Methodology II

◆ Linear panel regressions

- cross sectional components

profits before provision, loan to value ratio, bank group dummy

⇒ allow to control for bank individual characteristics that also affect profits

- interaction terms between macroeconomic and bank variables

△ interest rate x share of short term financing

⇒ take into consideration the varying exposure across banks to different shocks

Methodology III

◆ Serial autocorrelation

⇒ Two different panel approaches to deal with autocorrelation

1. Static: GLS model with first order serial correlation

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + u_i + \varepsilon_{it}, \text{ where } \varepsilon_{it} = \rho\varepsilon_{it-1} + v_{it}$$

2. Dynamic: GMM model with a lagged endogenous variable (Arrelano-Bond)

$$y_{it} = y_{it-1}\varphi + \mathbf{x}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}'_{it}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + u_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Regression results: Provisions

	static model		dynamic model	
	sign	signif.	sign	signif.
lagged dependent variable			+	***
Δ gdp	-	***	-	***
bondspread	+	***	+	***
interest rate	+	***	+	***
unemployment rate	+	***	+	**
profits before provisions	+	***	+	***
loan to value ratio	+	*	+	
foreign*interest rate	-	*	-	*

Marginal effects on provisions in %

Δ gdp (-1%)
+15.3%

ir (+100bp)
+21.4%

ur (+1%)
+23.2%

Simulation I: Macroeconomic Scenarios

	Δ gdp	Δ ir	ir	Δ spi
Basis Scenario	1.7%	+10bp	0.6%	0
Interest rate increase (boom)	4.6%	+190bp	3.2%	0
Recession	-1.6%	-10bp	0.0%	0
Recession + Stock market decline	-1.6%	-10bp	0.0%	-37%

Simulation II: Predicted bank profits

	All banks	Cantonal banks	Big banks	Regional banks	Other banks
Basis Scenario	30%	34%	35%	33%	19%
Interest rate increase (boom)	27% -3%	31% -3%	33% -2%	32% -1%	19% -0%
Recession	22% -8%	24% -10%	24% -11%	22% -11%	15% -4%
Recession + Stock market decline	-25% -55%	7% -27%	-59% -94%	15% -18%	-17% -36%

Conclusions

- ◆ Influence of macroeconomic variables on bank earnings is statistically significant ...
- ◆ ... but quantitative impact is rather modest.
- ⇒ only a combination of recession and stock market crash leads to substantial losses in our simulations
- ⇒ Swiss banking sector seems to be quite resilient against macroeconomic shocks

Some limitations

- ◆ Data limitations (e.g. provision as proxy for credit risk)
- ◆ Lack of extreme observation on the macroeconomic variables
 - ⇒ potential to estimate impact of **more extreme** shocks is limited
- ◆ Nonlinearities
 - proportional to the shock size?
 - symmetric?
 - independent of initial conditions?
- ◆ Historic perspective
 - ⇒ assume stable relationship between macroeconomy and bank profits

In progress...

- ◆ Better consideration of nonlinearities
- ◆ Integration of stress tests run by banks
- ◆ Integration of interest rate risk statistics

Interest rate risk statistics

- ◆ Compute the impact of an interest rate shock on the net present value of the bank
- ◆ Source of risk: maturity mismatch between assets and liabilities
- ◆ Present value of assets and liabilities react differently to interest rate shock

Example of interest rate risk statistics

Impact of an 200bp interest shock (in % of the capital)		
4th quarter 2005	+ 200 bp	- 200 bp
All banks	-4.29%	4.25%
Cantonal banks	-11.10%	10.65%
Big banks	-3.12%	3.15%
Regional banks	-4.47%	2.70%
Other banks	-5.21%	5.20%

Stress test reporting by big banks to the SNB

- ◆ Quarterly reporting
- ◆ Based on stress tests run for internal purposes (no standardization with regard to scenarios, definition of exposures or methodology)
- ◆ Transparency and comparability are limited
- ◆ Open issues: standardization

Regression results II: Interest rate margin

	static model		dynamic model	
	sign	signif.	sign	signif.
lagged dependent variable			+	***
Δ interest rate	-	*	-	
interest rate spread	-		+	*
ratio of savings deposits	+	*	+	
private * Δ interest rate	-	***	-	
foreign * Δ interest rate	-	***	-	
private * interest rate spread	-	***	-	***
foreign * interest rate spread	-	***	-	***

Marginal effects on interest margin in %

Δ Int. rate (+100bp): -3.5%

Regression results III: trading income

	static model		dynamic model	
	sign	signif.	sign	signif.
lagged dependent variable			+	***
Δ SPI	-	***	-	*
volatility	-		-	**
Δ interest rate	-		-	*
Δ bondspread	-	*	-	
foreign* Δ SPI	+	**	+	
foreign*volatility	+	***	+	
foreign* Δ interest rate	-	*	-	
foreign* Δ bondspread	-	***	+	

Marginal effects on trading and commission fee income in %

SPI (-10%)

-25.2%

Δ ir (+100bp)

-6.2%