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I. Overview
Executive Summary

- The 2014 Caribbean Forum identified energy-related challenges in the Caribbean as a critical area for future policy work given the size of the energy bill.

- In response to the issues raised, the IMF attempted to analyze the macroeconomic impact of high and volatile energy prices, and explored the adequacy and costs of proposed energy strategies, and their consistency with debt suitability in the region.

- Our findings suggest that energy sector policies and reform could have a measurable impact on growth outcomes and significant implications for external competitiveness through its impact on the REER.

- Despite the dramatic shift in the global energy market with oil prices falling more than 50 percent over the past 12 months, energy sector challenges need to be carefully addressed. This is largely because:
  - A lower oil price environment does not alter relative competitiveness of the Caribbean. In-country cost reductions are critical for competitiveness gains.
  - The outlook for oil price developments is highly uncertain. Vulnerability to future shocks remains very high.
  - Volatility of energy costs continues to create uncertainty and dampen investment appeal.
Executive Summary

- Regional plans identify targets to diversify the generation mix and improve energy efficiency, yet the upfront investment cost associated with such plans can be quite substantial but feasible, with implications on fiscal and debt sustainability.

- We present IDB estimates of the magnitude of such investments and analyze the impact on debt sustainability under different investment scenarios in selected countries.

- Given the limited fiscal space in many of the Caribbean states, promoting private sector participation, including through Public-Private Partnerships, will prove critical to financing the sizable investment envelopes of these projects, although a strong institutional framework is required.

- Regulatory reforms remain among the low-hanging fruit to reduce energy costs, through facilitating the expansion of alternative energy sources and energy efficiency initiatives in the region.
II. Caribbean Energy Sector
Energy costs in the region are high despite the recent oil price decline

✓ Over 2002-2012, average electricity tariffs in the region increased by almost 80 percent exceeding 0.34 US$/kWh for the bulk of the region in 2012, almost twice the LAC average (0.18 US$/kWh).

✓ With the recent oil price decline, preliminary data indicate the electricity tariff currently hovers around 0.26 US$/kWh, still significantly higher than ROW.

✓ Electricity tariffs and oil price movements are closely related, especially with oil price pass-through since 2005/6. In contrast, the US, with more diversified energy sources, has a lower and smoother electricity tariff path.

[Domestic Electricity Tariffs, 2012
(In US $/kWh)]

[Tariff prices and oil price co-movement, 2002-2011](in US$)

Source: CARILEC tariff survey and World Bank

Source: IDB, CARILEC and IMF staff calculations.
Overdependence on imported fossil fuels exposes the region to episodes of high and volatile oil prices

- Petroleum is significantly more expensive than other sources of energy.
- The region is highly dependent on this relatively expensive energy source, with 85 percent of primary energy consumed in the form of imported petroleum products.
- In tourism-based economies, the most energy intensive users are hotels (commercial sector).

![Primary Energy Consumption by Source and Use](image1)

![Power Consumption in the Caribbean by Sector](image2)

Source: EIA; IDB; and IMF staff calculations

1/ Excludes T&T and Haiti
2/ Includes hydroelectric power, geothermal, solar/PV, wind, and biomass
3/ For the Caribbean, renewables include hydropower and biomass

Source: Nuclear Energy Institute

1/ excludes Trinidad and Tobago and Haiti

Source: IDB and IMF staff calculations
We examined macro impacts of oil price shocks

✓ Over 2005-2014, movements in oil prices increased external vulnerability: the oil trade deficit widened by an average of 3.7 percent of GDP annually for Caribbean economies compared to period prior to 2005. While in 2015, oil trade balance is projected to shrink by 3 percent of GDP with the oil price decline.

✓ Fluctuating oil prices impacted the cost of doing business in the region: increase uncertainty of investment planning.

Average Current Account Deficit in the Caribbean 1/

(In percent of GDP)

Sources: Country Authorities and IMF staff calculations.

1/ Excludes Trinidad and Tobago and Haiti.

Electricity as a Major Constraint to Firms

Sources: World Bank Enterprise Survey (2015), Fund staff calculations.
We examined macro impacts of oil price shocks

✓ Oil price increases trigger inflationary episodes generating real exchange rate appreciation, with negative implications for competitiveness.

**ECCU: Energy Price Volatility vs. Core Inflation 1/**

**(Y/Y change in percent)**

- **Fuel and Light Subindex**
- **Core Inflation (RHS)**

1/core inflation excludes food and fuel; weights are based on St. Lucia consumption basket

Source: ECCB; IMF staff calculations
III. Macro-economic effects of oil prices and energy consumption efficiency
Quantitative Assessment: Strategy

In order to answer the question of how important is the impact of energy costs on growth and competitiveness, our approach was to examine the short-run and long-run.

- **Short-run**
  
  Measuring the impact of higher oil prices on real GDP growth and REER of Caribbean economies in the short/medium-run.

- **Long-run**
  
  Since Caribbean countries are small open economies and are unable to influence oil prices. How can you contain energy cost and improve growth? ➔ Reducing the energy bill through increasing energy efficiency, on which you have some degree of influence. Therefore, we investigate the impact of energy efficiency on long-run GDP.
Quantitative Assessment: **Short-run**

1. Impact of External Factors

- Real oil price shocks explain on average 7 percent of real GDP growth variation, ranging from 15 percent in Dominica to less than 1 percent in Guyana.

- Shocks from the external factors account on average for 30 percent of real GDP growth variation in the Caribbean.

- The results are comparable to other Latin American countries such as Chile and Guatemala.
Quantitative Assessment: **Short-run**

2. Oil Price Shock and GDP Growth

- An increase in oil prices (10%) has a negative impact on growth, particularly for tourism intensive economies; but a positive for TTO.

![Graph showing impact on real GDP growth of a 10% increase in oil prices](image)

Impact on real GDP growth of a 10% increase in oil prices

(Percentage points)

- Tourism Intensive
- Commodity Producers
- Trinidad and Tobago

Sources: VAR model with exogenous shocks.
Quantitative Assessment: Short-run

3. Oil price shock and Real Exchange Rate

Higher oil prices increase the rate of appreciation of REER for both tourism intensive economies and commodity producers.

Impact of a 10% increase in oil prices on the rate of change of REER
(Percentage points)

Sources: VAR model with exogenous shocks.
Quantitative Assessment: **Long-run**

- Our results indicate energy consumption and efficiency, and fixed capital formation play a significant role in determining real GDP per capita over the long run.

- In the long run, you will consume more energy to grow. But that means it is all the more important to contain the energy bill. To achieve that, you will need to improve energy efficiency.

- Importantly, we found that a 1 percent increase in energy efficiency leads to a 0.42 percent increase in long run GDP.

Note: Higher energy efficiency means reducing energy consumption per unit of GDP.
Quantitative Assessment: Conclusions

Main conclusions:

- While reducing energy costs is important to improve growth, it is not a panacea.
- The Caribbean needs to mitigate the impact of oil price changes on their economies, which countries cannot control.

- Thus, countries need to intensify their efforts to use energy more efficiently overall through:
  - Reducing electric power costs by diversifying the power generation mix and;
  - Energy saving technologies on the consumption side.
IV. Energy Sector Strategies
Regional Energy Plans

There is scope for energy reform to improve growth.

- Regional (CARICOM Energy Policy) and national energy plans embrace recommended energy strategies focusing on: regulatory reforms; diversifying the generation mix and improving energy efficiency.

- Building on the CARICOM Energy Policy (CEP), the Caribbean Sustainable Energy Roadmap and Strategy (C-SERMS) was developed to provide CARICOM member states with a coherent strategy for transitioning to sustainable energy. The Roadmap sets specific regional energy targets for:
  - **Renewable power generation**: 20 percent renewable power capacity by 2017 (currently at about 15 percent), 28 percent by 2022 and 47 percent by 2027.
  - **Energy efficiency**: 33-percent reduction in energy intensity by 2027.
  - **CO2 emissions**: reductions of 18 percent by 2017, 32 percent by 2022, and 36 percent by 2027.
  - **Countries have national targets for renewable energy and energy efficiency that are aligned with regional targets**
Energy Sector Strategies

- Regulatory Reforms could contribute significantly. Regulatory reforms are needed to facilitate the expansion of alternative (cheaper) sources of energy, particularly renewable sources of energy in the region.
- Improving energy efficiency can generate significant gains in reducing energy costs.
- Diversify the generation mix through:
  - Exploring viable renewable energy technologies.
  - Diversifying through natural gas for countries when feasible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Solar</th>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>Geothermal</th>
<th>Hydro</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucia</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent and the Grens.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CARICOM Caribbean Sustainable Energy Roadmap
We examined the appropriateness of the strategies and associated targets; our results indicate the impacts of achieving the targets are significant.

### Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Renewable Energy Supply</th>
<th>Electricity from Renewables</th>
<th>Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td></td>
<td>5% by 2015; 10% by 2020; 15% by 2030</td>
<td>Improve transport efficiency 40% in 15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Bahamas</td>
<td></td>
<td>15% by 2020; 30% by 2030</td>
<td>Achieve fuel economy of 30–35 mpg for 70% of vehicles in 5–10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>10% by 2012; 20% by 2026</td>
<td>29% increase by 2029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td></td>
<td>25% by 2010; 100% through the addition of geothermal (no date given)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>20% by 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td></td>
<td>90% through hydro development Install 15,000 solar home systems (no date given)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>30% by 2030</td>
<td>15% by 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucia</td>
<td>20% by 2020</td>
<td>5% by 2013; 15% by 2015; 30% by 2020</td>
<td>Reduce fossil fuel consumption 15% by 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td></td>
<td>20% by 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td></td>
<td>30% by 2015; 60% by 2020</td>
<td>Reduce fossil fuel consumption 10% by 2015 and 15% by 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td></td>
<td>5% of peak demand (or 60 MW) by 2020</td>
<td>Convert 20% of all 500,000 vehicles to CNG by 2015; Reduce the sector's CO2 emissions by 10–15% (no date given)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples of Economic Impacts

#### National Renewable Energy Targets and Implied Effects

(in percent)

- **Dominica**
- **St. Lucia**
- **Jamaica**
- **Grenada**
- **Barbados**

Note: Implied reduction in oil imports is calculated based on the composition of generation mix and current import value. With the base load needed for generation, the actual reduction in oil imports could be smaller. The electricity tariffs are estimated by the cost of renewable generation mix.
V. Energy Sector Investments and Public Debt Sustainability
Supplying more energy at reduced costs will require significant investments in the energy sector

✓ Diversification of energy mix requires investments in technology, power plant conversion, and upgrading together with investment in renewables.

✓ Investment needs is estimated between 3.5% and 12% of GDP for Caribbean countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Caribbean: Energy Investments Needs (in millions of USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Bahamas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECCU²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua &amp; Barbuda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Kitts and Nevis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent &amp; Gr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IDB.

1/ Includes estimated costs of converting existing plants to natural gas, building and upgrading power plants, regasification facilities, and wind and waste-to-energy renewables investments.
2/ Includes some renewable investments which also could be done by the private sector.
3/ 2014 data.
4/ Includes estimates only for 10MW Geothermal energy.
Energy Investments and Debt Sustainability: model

We gauged the impact of large investments in energy on debt sustainability taking into account the investment effects on short- and long-term growth.

- Two financing scenarios for investments in energy:
  1) Government does 100% of the investment,
  2) 100% Private investment

- For the short term impact of the actual investment on growth (over 3 years), we assume elasticity of output to public investment of 0.15 as in Gupta, Kangar, Papageorgiou and Wane (2011).

- We estimate the impact of lower energy cost on GDP growth in the long run as equivalent to an improvement in energy efficiency (lower energy consumption for the same output) as follows:
  - We assume that electric power generation efficiency improves by 20% (equivalent to 10% improvement in overall energy efficiency in the economy).
  - GDP will increase by 4.2 percent at the end of the 10 years compared to the level without 20% improvement in energy efficiency.
Energy Investments and Debt Sustainability: results

Taking into account the investment effects on growth, the debt dynamics does not materially alter the public debt trajectory of countries with sustainable debt dynamics: public debt-to-GDP ratio rises by about 2 pp compared to the baseline by 2027, and is about the same by 2027 if the government finances 50% of total investment and private sector undertakes the rest.

Assuming 20% improvement in power generation and transmission
Private Sector participation provides a solution to sizable energy investment needs

- Public investments in energy sector are hindered by the high public debt load and unfavorable debt dynamics in some countries.

- Energy sector PPPs can be further developed in the Caribbean

- However,
  - PPPs can generate significant risks to the government; and
  - PPPs require a strong institutional and operational framework.

Thank you!
Additional Slides
Quantitative Assessment: Short-run

- Measuring the impact of higher oil prices on macro-performance of Caribbean economies in the short/medium-run:
  - We use country-specific vector autoregressive (VAR) model with block exogeneity restrictions.

- The model:
  - We use country-specific vector autoregressive (VAR) model with block exogeneity restrictions:
    - an external block including foreign economic variables – the real oil price growth rate, advanced economies real GDP growth rates, and the advanced economies real interest rate;
    - a domestic economy block - including real GDP growth rates and the real effective exchange rate (REER) growth rates.
    - The specification of the model incorporates the small open economy assumption that foreign variables are exogenous to the domestic economy.
    - The sample comprises the following economies: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname, and Trinidad and Tobago.
    - For the purpose of the analysis, two groups of countries are formed: Group 1 includes tourism-dependent economies and comprise the ECCU, Bahamas and Barbados; Group 2 includes commodity producers and comprise the rest of our sample. Given that Trinidad and Tobago is the only net exporter of oil, we present separately the results for the country.
    - The model is estimated using annual data from 1976 through 2013.
Quantitative Assessment: Long-run

**Motivation:** The short run quantitative assessment shows that oil price shock has a significant impact on output and external competitiveness that requires an energy policy to mitigate these undesirable effects. Small open economies the Caribbean cannot control exogenous external shocks such as international oil prices. They can only reduce the quantity of imported fuel, by promoting more efficient use of energy and increasing domestic production of energy. Looking into the long-run relationship between growth and energy can provide recommendations regarding the appropriate energy policies.

**The model:** Study the importance of energy consumption and efficiency on growth

- We use dynamic heterogeneous panel cointegration:

  The model is based on Stern and Kander (2011) and Gaël and Kahraman (2014) and introduce energy as an input in the growth function. The long run relationship is:

  \[ y_t = \beta_1' c_t + \beta_2' e_{t-1} + \beta_3' k_t + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_i \]

  where \( y \) is the logarithm of GDP per capita, \( c \) the logarithm of energy consumption per capita, \( e \) the logarithm for energy efficiency, and \( k \) the logarithm of gross capital formation per capita.


Note: The long-run model is estimated using annual data from 1980 through 2011.
Quantitative Assessment: **Long-run Vector Error Correction Model (VECM)**

**The results:**

- Our results indicate that a 1 percent increase in energy consumption leads to a 0.38 percent increase in long run GDP; and 1 percent increase in energy efficiency leads to a 0.38 percent increase in long run GDP. Similarly, a 1 percent increase in gross capital formation leads to a 0.25 percent increase in long run GDP.

- Convergence (ECM) is achieved in the following countries: Belize, Grenada, Guyana. Weak convergence indicates too much heterogeneity across countries.

- The results are comparable to related studies on OECD and Asian economies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long-term elasticities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy Consumption Per Capita (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency (e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Capital Formation Per Capita (k)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Energy consumption per capita is total energy consumption divided by population; Energy efficiency is real GDP divided by total energy consumption; The long-run model is estimated using annual data from 1980 through 2011.