HE EVOLUTION OF the global economic and financial environment over the past 60 years has shaped the way the IMF has carried out its work. Peering into the crystal ball, one can get a sense of some of the forces likely to shape the IMF over the next 25–50 years. Certainly, the enormous growth in the volume of international transactions is likely to continue to mean larger potential external shocks facing countries and, thus, higher financing needs.

Since 1970, world trade has doubled; the stock of global financial assets has quintupled.

![Graph showing world trade and gross foreign liabilities from 1970 to 2003.](Source: IMF.)

How adequate are the IMF’s financial resources—its quotas—to meet this growing demand? IMF resources have expanded broadly in line with world trade since 1970, roughly doubling every 10 years. But going forward, further steep increases may be needed.

IMF quotas fell from 12 percent of world imports in 1948 to about 4 percent by the early 1970s and have since stayed at that level.

![Graph showing IMF quotas and world imports from 1948 to 2003.](Source: IMF.)

Some countries can tap international financial markets to finance temporary imbalances. However, in recent years, the IMF has seen a sharp increase in the demand for financial support from middle-income countries, many of which have only limited access to these markets, and an IMF study suggests that their number is on the rise.

The share of middle-income countries in the global economy is likely to rise sharply.

![Graph showing the share of middle-income countries in the global economy from 2000 to 2050.](Source: IMF staff calculations.)

Hypothetical IMF rescue packages for China and India could hit $240 billion and $170 billion, respectively, in today’s dollars.

![Graph showing hypothetical IMF rescue packages for Mexico, China, and India.](Sources: Goldman Sachs; and IMF staff calculations.)
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How about demands from the poorest countries? Although Asian countries are projected to exceed their Millennium Development Goal of halving income poverty by 2015, sub-Saharan African countries appear seriously off track, meaning that they are likely to continue to need financial aid over the coming decades.

On current trends, Africa will miss the Millennium Development Goal for reducing poverty by a long shot.

(Using a graph to show the percentage of total population living on less than $1 a day)


However, other developments may temper future demands on IMF resources. In recent years, many developing countries have increased their holdings of foreign reserves as insurance against potential crises, and there has been a shift toward more flexible exchange rate regimes, which could also reduce the incidence of currency crises.

But developing countries have almost tripled foreign reserve holdings over the past decade...

Source: IMF.

Aging populations in developing countries could be another source of demand for IMF resources. Over the next decade or two, these countries will benefit from capital outflows from advanced economies, where the stock of savings of aging populations will continue to rise and investment will fall in line with the shrinking labor force. But later, public pension deficits and spending by retirees may cause these capital outflows to dry up.

The number of elderly people will increase markedly in developing countries.


In addition, the International Country Risk Guide—an index that measures political risk—suggests that although the gap between developing and advanced countries remains substantial, the quality of institutions has been improving. This could help reduce demands on IMF resources, as countries with better institutions attract safer forms of investment (such as foreign direct investment), enjoy greater market confidence, and are better able to take unpopular crisis prevention measures.

But developing countries have almost tripled foreign reserve holdings over the past decade...

Source: IMF.

and noticeably improved the quality of their institutions, reducing political risk.

Source: Political Risk Services.

Note: Based on International Country Risk Guide index; 100 is highest political risk.