

CESEE: Remaining challenges for faster growth



SITE Academic Conference Stockholm, December 5-6, 2016

Bas B. Bakker Senior Regional Resident Representative for Central and Eastern Europe

Since the mid-1990s, CESEE has converged with Germany



GDP per capita in 1995 and its change, 1995-16



However, CESEE is still much poorer than Germany



GDP per capita in Germany (in constant 2014 dollars, PPP-adjusted)



Why is CESEE poorer? Result of less labor <u>input</u> and lower labor <u>productivity</u>.

Labor productivity and employment to total population ratio, 2015



What determines labor input and labor productivity?



Labor input per capita determined by

- Employment rate of working age population
- Share of working age population in population
- Labor productivity determined by
 - Capital stock per worker
 - Total factor productivity

Let's look at these factors in more detail



- What are prospects for further increase of employment to population rate?
- What is happening with growth of capital stock?
- What is happening with TFP— and what can be done to boost it?

The share of the working age population is relatively high—although it is now declining



Note: Simple average of given countries.

If we compare employment to <u>working age</u> population rate differences in labor input with Germany are more pronounced

Labor productivity and utilization, 2015





8

Employment rates are still well below Germany—with the exception of Baltics



With unemployment rates falling rapidly, it will be important to increase labor force participation



Including of women





It's important given that the impact of aging will accelerate in the next decade...



Working age (15-64) population growth (percent)

< -10 -10 - -5 -5 - 0 0 - 5

2000-2015

2015-2025



While higher labor input will help, higher capital stock and thereby labor productivity may be even more important



Capital stock per capita

Employment to population ratio 13

However, growth of capital stock has slowed...





2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 14

...as investment rates post-crisis are (too) low.



Investment to GDP ratio, 2015 (percent)



Low investment not only problem: TFP growth has slowed as well...



Total factor productivity growth (3-year annualized change, percent)



2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015

...which means that a given increase in production factors now leads to smaller increase in output.



Capital stock and GDP per capita (2008=100, in logarithmic scales)



So what should be done?



Regional Economic Issues

Central, Eastern, and Southeastern Europe How to Get Back on the Fast Track



Regional Economic Issues

Central, Eastern, and Southeastern Europe Effective Government for Stronger Growth



Address factors that might constrain productivity (REI May-16)



- Insufficient protection of property rights and
- Inefficient legal systems and other government services
- Limited access to financial services (e.g. for SMEs)
- Infrastructural gaps

Improve public investment management and tax administration (REI Nov-16)



- Closing efficiency gaps in public investment and tax collection could bring sizable benefits.
- Further upgrades of public investment management should focus on improving allocation and implementation frameworks and procedures.
- Improvements in tax administration should aim at reducing compliance gaps.
- Design of reforms should include elements that help reduce resistance to reforms and build the support base for their successful completion.

Challenge: saving is very low, and may constrain investment



National saving rates, 2015 (percent of GDP)



But can saving be raised?



- Household saving difficult to influence
- Corporate saving more likely to *fall* as labor markets tighten further
- Little appetite for boosting government saving (i.e., reduce deficits)

Scarcity of labor will likely reduce corporate profits and saving



Change in wage share of income and corporate saving, 2013-16 (percentage points)



Only few countries are planning a meaningful increase of the structural balance (>1/2 percentage point a year) – even though unemployment is falling rapidly



Change in unemployment rate and structural balance (percentage points)



Conclusion



Further catch-up will necessitate

- Increase labor force participation rate, which would also help to offset declining share of working age population
- Higher investment, as capital stock is low
- More efficient use of resources —boosting TFP
- Improving institutions and frameworks key.





Thank you