Main Risks for Belarussian Economy and Prospects for Belarus-IMF cooperation




Workshop "Economic Environment for Businesses" Minsk, December 14, 2016

Bas B. Bakker Senior Regional Resident Representative for Central and Eastern Europe

Global growth has hovered around 3¼ percent in recent years, well below pre-crisis levels.






2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016

In CESEE, non-CIS is doing better than CIS






2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016

Indeed, significant differences in growth between CIS and non-CIS CESEE




Average annual GDP growth, 2013-16



Along with Russia, the rest of CIS also suffered from recession in 2014/15




- Spillovers from Russia
- Collapse of commodity prices
- Sudden stop in capital flows to Russia, result of sanctions on Russia
- Conflict in Ukraine



Exchange rate depreciation increased inflation and reduced real wages






2016: Russia is recovering, helped by rising oil prices.




Real GDP growth in Russia, other CIS countries and changes in oil prices (percent y/y)



Belarus still in recession




Demand and supply components: contribution to GDP in 2016 (percent)



Forecasts for 2017: global growth continues to be modest








2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018

Forecast for 2017: Non-CIS similar as 2016; pick-up in CIS; Belarus still in recession





BLR RUS SVN HRV HUN EST UKR CZE BGR SRB LTU MDA BIH SVK UVK POL LVA MKD MNE ALB ROM


Note: CIS countries in red.



Toward a sustainable growth model


Investment to GDP ratio increased sharply in the 2000s





Domestic saving did not follow and external imbalances reached unsustainable levels






The result was several BOP crises




Changes in exchange rate and official reserves




Exchange rate has now stabilized





How can we reignite growth?






Not by another investment boom; higher productivity needed



Contributions to GDP growth (2-year moving average, percentage points)




2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015

What sets Belarus from other countries is not low employment, but low productivity



Labor productivity and utilization, 2015



Economic efficiency may be hampered by the too limited role of the price mechanism in allocating resources



Examples:

- Absent hard financial constraint, many state-owned enterprises are loss making
- Household energy prices are below cost-recovery levels

Losses SOEs create macro problem



- They have to be compensated by
 - Higher fiscal expenditures
 - Or by cheap credit (directed lending)


Simply stopping transfers may create a banking sector problem



- SOEs need to be restructured
 - IMF providing TA on how to do this
- Strong social safety net needed to cushion adverse impact on unemployment
- Growth-enhancing policies, such as lending programs for privately-owned SME may help
- The World Bank is working on a loan/project to support SME lending.

Setting heating tariffs at cost-recovery levels and reducing crosssubsidization means lower subsidies and more incentive to use more energy-efficient technologies





Source: IMF Staff Report, September 2016. Methodology for calculating costrecovery in Belarus has since changed.

The goal of reforms is to create a vibrant, efficient economy




- Reforms can certainly be painful in the short run, but not transforming the inefficient economy can have even larger costs
- Example: in 1989 Poland and Ukraine were equally poor. Poland pursued transition reforms quickly, as opposed to Ukraine.

Today, Poland is three times as rich



Change in light during the night between mid 90's and 2012-13, based on satellite images



Note: the map shows the differences in intensity of brightness between the averages of 1992, 94, 96-97 and 2012-13.





Thank you