

INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

IMF Country Report No. 18/369

CAMBODIA

December 2018

2018 ARTICLE IV CONSULTATION—PRESS RELEASE; STAFF REPORT; STAFF STATEMENT; AND STATEMENT BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR CAMBODIA

Under Article IV of the IMF's Articles of Agreement, the IMF holds bilateral discussions with members, usually every year. In the context of the 2018 Article IV consultation with Cambodia, the following documents have been released and are included in this package:

- A Press Release summarizing the views of the Executive Board as expressed during its November 28, 2018, consideration of the staff report that concluded the Article IV consultation with Cambodia.
- The **Staff Report** prepared by a staff team of the IMF for the Executive Board's consideration on November 28, 2018, following discussions that ended October 2, 2018, with the officials of Cambodia on economic developments and policies. Based on information available at the time of these discussions, the staff report was completed on November 6, 2018.
- An Informational Annex prepared by the IMF staff.
- A **Debt Sustainability Analysis** prepared by the staffs of the IMF and the International Development Association.
- A **Staff Statement** updating information on recent developments.
- A Statement by the Executive Director for Cambodia.

The IMF's transparency policy allows for the deletion of market-sensitive information and premature disclosure of the authorities' policy intentions in published staff reports and other documents.

Copies of this report are available to the public from

International Monetary Fund • Publication Services
PO Box 92780 • Washington, D.C. 20090
Telephone: (202) 623-7430 • Fax: (202) 623-7201
E-mail: publications@imf.org Web: http://www.imf.org
Price: \$18.00 per printed copy

International Monetary Fund Washington, D.C.

Press Release No. 18/480 FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE December 17, 2018 International Monetary Fund 700 19th Street, NW Washington, D. C. 20431 USA

IMF Executive Board Concludes 2018 Article IV Consultation with Cambodia

On November 28, 2018, the Executive Board of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) concluded the Article IV consultation¹ with Cambodia.

Real GDP is projected to grow at 7¼ percent in 2018 due to strong external demand and expansionary fiscal policies, while inflation is expected to remain low. Strong economic performance is broad-based, with garment exports, tourism and the construction sectors growing at robust rates.

Higher imports are expected to contribute to a widening of the current account deficit to around 10 percent of GDP in 2018. Gross international reserves are expected to increase to around US\$9.6 billion (around 5 months of prospective imports) at end-2018.

Bank credit is expected to grow by around 20 percent in 2018, with MFI credit expanding at an even higher rate, resulting in a credit-to-GDP gap that is conservatively estimated at close to 10 percentage points.

Fiscal performance in 2017 was considerably stronger than anticipated with tax revenues growing 26 percent in nominal terms, partly due to one-off factors. The fiscal stance has turned expansionary this year. Both current and capital expenditure are expected to increase, while tax revenue is expected to remain broadly stable as a share of GDP, in part reflecting VAT

¹ Under Article IV of the IMF's Articles of Agreement, the IMF holds bilateral discussions with members, usually every year. A staff team visits the country, collects economic and financial information, and discusses with officials the country's economic developments and policies. On return to headquarters, the staff prepares a report, which forms the basis for discussion by the Executive Board.

exemptions and import tariff reductions for fuel and basic foods. As a result, the deficit is expected to widen to 2.2 percent of GDP, resulting in lower government deposits.

Executive Board Assessment²

Executive Directors welcomed Cambodia's continued robust growth performance and low inflation as well as the progress made in poverty reduction. Directors encouraged the authorities to take advantage of the current strong economic environment to intensify policies and structural reforms to enhance the economy's resilience, safeguard fiscal sustainability and financial stability, address governance vulnerabilities, and support inclusive growth.

Directors welcomed the authorities' plans to restrain current spending and raise revenues. They supported the new Revenue Mobilization Strategy, which should focus on increasing revenues by modernizing revenue administration and reforming tax policies to improve their efficiency and equity. To help support growth and sustainable development, it will be important to reorient the composition of public spending towards priority infrastructure investment, and health and education spending. Directors considered that public wage increases should better balance pay incentives and fiscal sustainability.

Additionally, institutionalizing the Medium-Term Fiscal Framework would help safeguard infrastructure and development spending over the medium term. While noting that Cambodia remains at low risk of debt distress, they recommended close monitoring of risks stemming from the materialization of contingent liabilities, including from PPPs. In this regard, strengthening the institutional framework for PPPs will be important. Directors generally emphasized the need to continue to foster debt discipline to maintain debt sustainability.

Directors welcomed the policy efforts to safeguard financial sector stability. However, noting the elevated vulnerabilities, they stressed the need for additional targeted macroprudential measures. Directors highlighted that priority should be given to raising risk weights for realestate lending, introducing a crisis management framework with a deposit insurance scheme, and continued upgrading of regulation and supervision. Addressing risks stemming from the real-estate sector warrants a broad policy response, including strengthening monitoring and

² At the conclusion of the discussion, the Managing Director, as Chairman of the Board, summarizes the views of Executive Directors, and this summary is transmitted to the country's authorities. An explanation of any qualifiers used in summings up can be found here: http://www.imf.org/external/np/sec/misc/qualifiers.htm.

regulation and phased increases in property taxes. Directors stressed the importance of addressing gaps in the AML/CFT regime and complying with FATF international standards.

Directors emphasized that steadfast implementation of structural reforms is essential to boost productivity, promote stronger growth, and make further progress on the Sustainable Development Goals. They encouraged the authorities to give priority to increasing competitiveness and promoting diversification. Reform efforts should focus on improving the business environment, reducing energy costs, enhancing transportation links, addressing skills gaps, and enhancing social protection policies. Directors noted that while progress has been made in improving governance, further governance and anti-corruption efforts, including improving fiscal governance and the regulatory environment, as well as strengthening the rule of law, are critical going forward.

Table 1. Cambodia: Selected Eco	onomic	Indica	tors 20	13–20	19		
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
					Est.	Proj.	Proj.
					_		
Output and prices (annual percent change)							
GDP in constant prices	7.4	7.1	7.0	6.9	7.0	7.3	7.0
(Excluding agriculture)	9.3	9.3	9.0	8.4	8.3	8.7	8.3
Inflation (end-year)	4.7	1.0	2.8	3.9	2.2	2.6	2.8
(Annual average)	3.0	3.9	1.2	3.0	2.9	2.5	2.7
Saving and investment balance (in percent of GDP)							
Gross national saving	15.1	14.7	13.7	14.5	14.2	13.0	13.1
Government saving	2.1	4.0	4.5	3.7	4.7	4.6	5.1
Private saving	13.0	10.8	9.2	10.8	9.4	8.3	8.0
Gross fixed investment	23.5	23.2	22.4	22.9	22.2	23.1	23.0
Government investment	8.9	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.7	8.4	8.4
Private investment	14.6	15.0	14.7	15.3	14.5	14.7	14.6
Money and credit (annual percent change, unless otherwise indicated)			, . 			,	
Broad money	14.6	29.9	14.7	18.0	23.8	16.3	14.8
Private sector credit	17.3	31.3	27.1	22.5	18.5	19.0	16.0
Velocity of money 1/	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.2
Public finance (in percent of GDP)							
Revenue	18.7	20.1	19.6	20.8	22.1	22.0	21.9
Domestic revenue	14.6	17.5	17.7	18.4	20.2	20.4	20.7
Of which: Tax revenue	12.9	15.5	15.6	15.8	16.9	17.0	17.2
Grants	4.1	2.6	1.9	2.5	1.9	1.5	1.3
Expenditure	21.4	21.7	20.9	22.2	23.2	24.2	24.0
Expense	12.5	13.5	13.2	14.6	15.5	15.8	15.6
Net acquisition of nonfinancial assets	8.9	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.7	8.4	8.4
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-)	-2.6	-1.6	-1.3	-1.4	-1.1	-2.2	-2.1
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-) excluding grants	-6.8	-4.2	-3.3	-3.9	-3.0	-3.8	-3.3
Net acquisition of financial assets	0.2	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.3	0.0	0.4
Net incurrence of liabilities 2/	2.8	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.5
Of which: Domestic financing	0.0	-1.3	-1.4	-0.6	-1.7	-0.6	-0.7
Balance of payments (in millions of dollars, unless otherwise indicated)							
Exports, f.o.b.	7,044	8,170	9,336	10,273	11,224	12,710	14,234
(Annual percent change)	23.7	16.0	14.3	10.0	9.3	13.2	12.0
	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Imports, f.o.b.	10,680	12,022	13,285	14,119	15,502	17,920	-19,962
(Annual percent change)	15.9	12.6	10.5	6.3	9.8	15.6	11.4
Current account (including official transfers)	-1,274	-1,414	-1,567	-1,687	-1,782	-2,452	-2,617
(In percent of GDP)	-8.4	-8.5	-8.7	-8.4	-8.0	-10.1	-9.9
Gross official reserves 3/	3,642	4,391	5,093	6,731	8,758	9,605	10,294
(In months of prospective imports)	3.1	3.4	3.7	4.4	5.1	5.0	4.9
External debt (in millions of dollars, unless otherwise indicated)							
Public external debt	4,871	5,279	5,648	5,861	6,669	7,246	7,932
(In percent of GDP)	31.7	31.9	31.2	29.1	30.3	30.1	30.3
Public debt service	112	134	137	186	211	260	301
(In percent of exports of goods and services)	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5
Memorandum items:							
Nominal GDP (in billions of Riels)	61,327	67,437	73,423	81,242	89,900	99,194	109,220
(In millions of U.S. dollars)	15,228	16,702	18,083	20,043	22,147	24,171	26,324
Exchange rate (Riels per dollar; period average)	4,027	4,038	4,060	4,053	4,030		
Courses: Cambadian authorities; and IMF staff estimates and projections				•			

 $[\]ensuremath{\text{1/}}$ Ratio of nominal GDP to the average stock of broad money.

 ^{2/} Includes statistical discrepancy.
 3/ Excludes unrestricted foreign currency deposits (FCDs) held at the National Bank of Cambodia; starting in 2009, includes the new Special Drawing Right (SDR) allocations made by the IMF of SDR 68.4 million; starting 2016, renminbi holdings are considered part of reserves following inclusion of renminbi in the SDR basket.



INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

CAMBODIA

STAFF REPORT FOR THE 2018 ARTICLE IV CONSULTATION

November 6, 2018

KEY ISSUES

Context. Cambodia has made significant progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) due to years of impressive economic growth and reforms. Income growth has outpaced peers, poverty has declined, and the economy has begun to gradually diversify. At the same time, elevated financial sector vulnerabilities, development spending needs, and governance weaknesses pose challenges for further advancing sustainable growth and development.

Policy Priorities.

- Safeguarding fiscal sustainability. Spending pressures should be contained and
 priority given to growth-enhancing infrastructure and development spending.
 Sustaining revenues will require modernizing revenue administration and policies to
 improve efficiency and equity. Managing risks from contingent liabilities calls for
 limiting public guarantees and strengthening the institutional framework for PublicPrivate Partnerships (PPPs).
- Managing macro-financial risks. Building on progress made, further measures are
 needed to address elevated financial sector vulnerabilities, especially in the real
 estate sector. This includes effective implementation of past measures, further
 targeted prudential measures, such as raising risk weights for real-estate lending,
 introducing a crisis management framework with a deposit insurance scheme, and
 continued upgrading of regulation and supervision. Promoting further financial
 market development and encouraging local currency use would increase resilience.
- Supporting inclusive growth. Continued structural reforms are needed to increase
 competitiveness, encourage diversification and expand financial inclusion. There is
 also room for fiscal policies to better support inclusion, through shifting taxes
 towards progressive revenue sources and re-orienting expenditure towards priority
 infrastructure, and health and education spending.
- Addressing governance vulnerabilities and corruption. Fiscal governance should
 be further strengthened through reforms to revenue administration, public financial
 management and procurement focused on increasing spending efficiency,
 improving transparency and reducing opportunities for corruption. Additional
 efforts are also needed to improve the regulatory environment, strengthen the rule
 of law and push ahead with anti-corruption agenda.

Approved By
Markus Rodlauer and
Yan Sun

Discussions took place September 19–October 2, 2018. The mission team comprised Jarkko Turunen (head), Sohrab Rafiq, David Corvino and Niels-Jakob Hansen (all APD), Alice French and Kathleen Kao (LEG), Yong Sarah Zhou (Resident Representative), Matanee Satraphai (CDOT) and Lisa Uemae (OAP). Markus Rodlauer (APD) participated in the opening meetings. Juda Agung and Phong Pham (both OED) participated in some meetings. Albe Gjonbalaj and Ross Rattanasena assisted in the preparation of this report.

CONTENTS


REG	CENT DEVELOPMENTS, OUTLOOK, AND RISKS	4
SA	FEGUARDING FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY	6
MA	NAGING MACRO-FINANCIAL RISKS	10
REI	FORMS TO SUPPORT SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	14
ST	AFF APPRAISAL	16
во	XES CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY	
1.	Growth Drivers	18
2.	Debt-Based Fiscal Anchor	
3.	Advancing Inclusive Growth Through Fiscal Reforms	20
4.	Formal and Informal Finance	21
5.	Fintech and Financial Inclusion	22
FIG	GURES	
1.	Robust Growth and Low Inflation	23
2.	Elevated Financial Vulnerabilities	24
3.	Stable External Position	25
4.	Robust Revenue Performance Amid Spending Pressures	26
5.	Moving to More Inclusive Growth	27
TA	BLES	
1.	Selected Economic Indicators, 2013–19	28
2.	Medium-Term Macroeconomic Framework, 2013–23	
3a.	Balance of Payments, 2013–23, BPM5 (In millions of U.S. dollars, unless otherwise indica	
3b.	Balance of Payments, 2013–23, BPM5 (In percent of GDP, unless otherwise indicated)	31
4.	General Government Operations, 2013–23 (GFSM 2001)	32
5.	Monetary Survey, 2013–19	33

6.	Sustainable Development Goals	34
ΑP	PENDICES	
l.	Risk Assessment Matrix	35
II.	Past Fund Advice	36
III.	Capacity Development	38
IV	External Sector Assessment	Δ3

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS, OUTLOOK AND RISKS

1. Economic developments. Real GDP is projected to grow at 7¼ percent in 2018 due to strong external demand and expansionary fiscal policies while inflation is expected to remain at


around 2½ percent. Strong economic performance is broad-based, with garment exports, tourism and the construction sectors growing at robust rates. Higher imports, including imports of construction materials, are expected to contribute to a widening of the current account deficit to around 10 percent of GDP in 2018. Gross international reserves are expected to increase to around US\$9.6 billion (5 months of prospective imports) at end-2018. Bank credit is expected to grow by around 20 percent in 2018, with micro-finance institution (MFI) credit expanding at an even higher rate, resulting in



a credit-to-GDP gap that is conservatively estimated at close to 10 percentage points.

2. Fiscal developments. Fiscal performance in 2017 was considerably stronger than anticipated


with a deficit of 1.1 percent of GDP compared to 3.9 percent in the budget law. Tax revenues grew 26 percent in nominal terms, with revenue overperformance partly due to one-off factors. Wage spending grew to more than 7 percent of GDP as the government fulfilled its election promise of raising public wages. The fiscal stance turned expansionary in 2018. Both current and capital expenditure are expected to increase, while tax revenue is expected to grow by only 0.1 percent of GDP, reflecting VAT exemptions and import tariff reductions for fuel and basic foods. As a



result, the deficit is expected to widen to 2.2 percent of GDP, resulting in lower government deposits.

3. External sector assessment. The external position is assessed to be moderately weaker than

implied by fundamentals and desirable policies. This calls for policies to consolidate the fiscal position, diversify export markets and improve productivity and competitiveness. The Assessing Reserve Adequacy tool for credit constrained economies suggests a level of reserves of about 3½ months of prospective imports, below the current level. While reserves appear adequate when measured against traditional metrics, further reserve accumulation is welcome given the managed exchange rate regime, high dollarization, and elevated financial vulnerabilities.



4. Outlook. Growth is expected to remain around 7 percent over the next few years and inflation to remain subdued. Growth is then projected to moderate towards potential, estimated at around 6 percent, due in part to moderating domestic credit and real-estate cycles in the context of tighter global financial conditions. Economic activity is expected to become driven more by exports and tourism, narrowing the current account deficit. Strong near-term economic performance provides a window of opportunity for necessary structural and governance reforms that would help sustain medium term growth closer to the government's target of 7 percent (Box 1).

5. Downside Risks.

- Domestic. Credit growth remains strong, and increasing concentration in the real estate sector
 and unregulated lending by real estate developers, as well as concerns about credit quality,
 external funding, and growing systemic importance of MFIs, pose risks to financial and
 macroeconomic stability. Fiscal spending pressures and the materialization of contingent
 liabilities risk eroding policy space to respond to unexpected events over the medium-term.
 Large minimum wage increases could further erode competitiveness.
- **External.** Significant downside risks include spillovers from global rise in protectionism or trade sanctions stemming from international concerns about political developments, which could hamper exports and foreign direct investment, and dent confidence. The negative impact of higher U.S. trade tariffs on China could be mitigated by trade diversion which could see further garment-related FDI inflows. Weaker than expected growth in China would have significant negative spillovers through FDI, banking, and tourism channels. A growth slowdown in advanced economies or stronger-than-expected U.S. dollar could reduce garments exports and tourism.

Sharper than expected global financial tightening and disruptions in correspondent banking could heighten liquidity risks.

Authorities' Views.


6. There was broad agreement on the macroeconomic outlook and risks. The authorities aim to sustain growth at 7 percent. While agreeing on the need for structural reforms to achieve that goal, authorities argued that large infrastructure projects in the pipeline would boost growth. They emphasized efforts to mitigate the buildup of financial vulnerabilities and remain cognizant of growing risks from a tightening in global financial conditions, weaker than expected global growth and a further deterioration in China-U.S. trade relations.


SAFEGUARDING FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY

7. Preliminary 2019 budget. Following expansionary policies this year, the authorities' preliminary budget is geared towards welcome current spending restraint. The government plans to target a budget deficit of 3.3 percent of GDP, which is around 1.5 percentage points lower than the 2018 budget law. The preliminary budget envisages an increase in tax revenues compared to the 2018 budget law, partly driven by higher property tax revenues as property prices are reassessed for

	Camboo	dia: Policy Recommendations to Safegu	uard Fiscal Sustainability							
	Policy Objective	Short-term	Medium-term							
High	Tax policy modernization		ax incentives, and excise taxes tions for PIT reform							
ity	Improving Governance	Modernize revenue administration Reform governance of tax incentives Reform public procurement regime Continuing PFM reforms								
Priority	Manage contingent liabilities	Finalize medium-term debt strategy	Strengthen the institutional and legal framework for managing PPPs							
Medium	Develop medium- term fiscal objectives	Develop a fiscal policy anchor based on a debt ceiling	Integrate current and capital budgets Introduce ministerial budget ceilings							
Me	Promote growth and inclusion	Accelerate implementation of IDP Increase capital, health and education spending	Increase the share of direct taxes in total tax revenues							

tax purposes, although it does not fully account for expected revenue overperformance this year. The preliminary budget also shows a small decline in current spending, with public wages expected to grow in line with nominal GDP, and a reallocation of spending towards health and education sectors, while capital spending is expected to remain constant as a share of GDP.





8. Medium-term fiscal pressures. Although risks to fiscal sustainability remain contained in the short-term, they are expected to grow over the medium-term. Absent tax policy reforms, revenue growth is expected to slow as past revenue mobilization reforms mature. International trade taxes are projected to decline as import tariffs are reduced and grants are to fall as the middle-income status is solidified. To help support growth and sustainable development, in line with the authorities National Social Protection Policy Framework (SPPF), the composition of public spending should be re-orientated towards infrastructure and development spending. These developments will place a greater burden on domestic financing, with government deposits projected to decline to around 7 percent of GDP – close to the estimated floor at 6.5 percent.

9. Policy Recommendations.


- Restraining current spending. Further wage increases should be contingent on maintaining
 adequate fiscal buffers and supported by on-going public administration reforms. Balancing the
 goals of fiscal sustainability and civil service salaries will require careful targeting of pay increases
 to priority functions and good performers.
- Raising revenues, while making taxes more efficient and progressive. The authorities are formulating a new Revenue Mobilization Strategy (RMS), which should aim to sustain revenue growth by reforming tax policies to improve their efficiency and equity and modernizing revenue administration, in line with Fund TA recommendations.¹
 - ➤ **Real-estate taxes.** Gradually raise the (inherently progressive) recurrent property tax rate from current 0.1 percent, a low level by regional standards; re-assess property values for tax purposes; and introduce regulations for valuations to be reassessed at least every 5 years. Reforms should also widen the base and enhance transparency and compliance.
 - ➤ Corporate and personal income taxes. Abolish the corporate income tax holiday for new investments while maintaining the statutory corporate tax rate at 20 percent; reduce the withholding tax rate for repatriated dividends to 10 percent; abolish or reduce customs tariffs on intermediate goods; and ensure timely VAT refunds. Eliminate the patent tax, remove notches in the business tax scale between small and medium-sized enterprises, and implement a simplified tax on micro firms. Initiate preparations for introducing a comprehensive personal income tax regime over the medium term.
 - ➤ **Other tax policies.** Replace ad valorem by specific excises for tobacco, fuels and beer and adjust them with inflation; abolish the lighting tax; and consider introducing/raising excises on luxury goods. Assess tax implications of e-commerce activity and prepare a reform plan for the adoption of VAT on e-commerce.
- Introducing a medium-term fiscal framework (MTFF). The authorities are making progress towards introducing a MTFF, which should include a debt-based medium-term fiscal ceiling at about 40 percent of GDP (Box 2). The authorities should integrate the MTFF into the budget

¹ For tax administration, see IMF Fiscal Affairs Department (2018), 'Cambodia: Tax Administration Modernization Priorities 2019–23'.

- process and develop a medium-term budgetary framework that uses macro-fiscal forecasts as a guide, sets ministerial ceilings and eradicates dual budgeting.
- **Supporting inclusive growth.** Re-orient expenditures toward priority infrastructure investment, as well as health and education, while ensuring gains in spending efficiency. Financing additional infrastructure spending needed to address gaps through a higher real estate tax would boost growth while reducing income inequality (Box 3).
- 10. Managing public debt and contingent liabilities. Public debt is currently low at just over 30 percent of GDP. While external loans and the share of PPPs in public investment are expected to increase, Cambodia remains at low risk of debt distress. Risks stem from the materialization of contingent liabilities as well as export and growth shocks. The authorities are developing a public debt management strategy (PDMS) which should call for prioritizing access to concessional and semi-concessional financing and rely on borrowing from international markets on commercial terms only if confronted with shocks that exceed available buffers. Better managing contingent liabilities calls for developing a risk management framework that limits the present value of guaranteed payments, strengthens the institutional framework for PPPs by introducing a formal gateway process and ensuring that PPPs are subject to the same planning process as other public investment projects and ensures that the Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF) and the National Bank of Cambodia (NBC) work jointly to monitor contingent liabilities from PPPs and the financial system.

11. Fiscal governance. Progress has been made in revenue administration and public financial

management (PFM), including through increased use of automation. Development of the new financial management information system should improve coverage, quality, integrity and timeliness of fiscal reporting. However, there is room to further improve collection performance, increase spending efficiency and reduce opportunities for corruption. Fiscal transparency has improved but remains below regional peers.



- **12. Policy Recommendations.** Fiscal governance should be further improved, in line with Fund TA recommendations.
- **Tax administration.** Governance could be strengthened through review of the staff incentive scheme for tax collections, further development of the internal audit capacity, review of the dispute resolution system to ensure independence, and publication of outcomes, while maintaining taxpayer confidentiality, and institutional improvements, including planning, performance and HR management, and IT systems.

- **Customs administration.** Rigorous implementation of the planned Customs Integrity Program, with supporting institutional improvements in line with the new strategy and advancing automation of the declaration processing system, including through the national single window, would improve compliance, transparency and governance.
- **Tax incentives.** Introducing a tax expenditure budget, unifying all aspects of granting and administering tax incentives, consolidating the legal basis for all tax incentives in the tax law, and the MEF coordinating policy would improve monitoring and transparency.
- **PFM.** Ensure adequate monitoring, transparency, and audit in public procurement management to strengthen procurement processes. Transparency can be enhanced by publishing timely procurement information and a comprehensive report on government procurements. Strengthening expenditure controls can help reduce payment arrears and spending inefficiencies. Improving fiscal reporting via strong accounting standards and processes and completing coverage (to include expenditures funded by official development assistance and through extrabudgetary funds) would enhance transparency. The automation process provides an opportunity to re-engineer business processes.

Authorities' Views.

13. The authorities broadly agreed with staff's assessment and recommendations. Following fiscal expansion this year, the 2019 budget law is geared towards consolidating by restraining current spending. To meet future spending needs while safeguarding fiscal buffers the authorities aim to raise revenues from direct taxes, including from property taxes and place greater emphasis in the new RMS (2019–2023) on reforming tax policies. The authorities are preparing a three-year spending plan that will form the basis of a Medium-Term Budget Framework that will enhance expenditure control. The new PDMS (2019–2023) is expected to remain conservative in external borrowing and help contain contingent liabilities. The authorities are also working to enhance fiscal governance including reforming the tax tribunal to sharpen the appeals process, increasing tax auditing capacity and strengthening e-filing and cross-checking for tax returns, and finalizing procurement reform plans.

MANAGING MACRO-FINANCIAL RISKS


14. Elevated financial sector vulnerabilities. The bank credit-to-GDP gap is expected to remain close to the BIS threshold of 10 percentage points. While banks' capital adequacy has increased, vulnerabilities remain. Financial institutions continue to draw on external funding, suggesting liquidity risks as global financial conditions tighten, with the average loan-to-deposit ratio at around 100 percent in June 2018. Bank profitability has declined as interest margins have fallen and non-performing loans edged up. The true level of non-performing loans may still be understated. Risks to the banking system have also grown from increasing household and corporate leverage. Despite the interest rate cap, which has increased the average loan size and may have pushed some borrowers to

the informal sector (Box 4), MFI credit growth remains high at above 30 percent, adding to easy credit conditions.


Cambodia: Selected Financial Soundness Indicators (FSIs), 2011–18 (In Percent)											
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 June			
Capital Adequacy											
Regulatory capital to risk-weighted assets	25.2	24.2	23.4	20.4	20.3	20.9	21.9	22.5			
Tier 1 capital to total assets	16.4	15.4	17.4	13.8	13.8	13.7	14.0	15.3			
Asset Quality											
Nonperforming loans to total gross loans	2.3	2.2	2.3	1.6	1.6	2.1	2.1	2.4			
Earnings and Profitability											
Return on equity 1/	11.4	11.8	12.1	15.5	16.3	14.5	8.7	5.8			
Return on assets 1/	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.9	2.9	2.5	1.5	1.0			
Interest margin to gross income	63.8	66.5	68.6	72.9	63.1	65.3	61.0	58.2			
Liquidity											
Liquid assets to total assets	16.1	15.3	17.9	16.2	16.6	15.8	15.8	16.4			
Liquid assets to short-term liabilities	21.7	20.3	24.2	23.1	25.4	24.3	24.9	25.7			
Customer deposits to total (Non-interbank) loans	122.5	117.3	104.9	96.2	89.3	93.3	96.1	100.7			
Sensitivity to Market Risk											
Net open position in foreign exchange to capital	3.6	2.7	8.5	4.1	3.6	2.6	2.5	2.9			

15. Real-estate sector risks. Capital continues to flow into the construction sector driven by

regional investors and strong demand for residential and retail space. Significant data gaps, including on property prices, complicate the assessment of risks. There is anecdotal evidence that growing oversupply is dampening prices of high-end apartments, while, given the segmented nature of the market, property prices for retail and residential properties remain robust. As a result, affordability of residential housing is being eroded. Credit growth to the realestate sector, a key transmission channel linking credit and economic growth, has




averaged around 35 percent since 2016, driven by few banks. Further heightening risks, real-estate developers are reportedly offering mortgages with looser lending conditions, an activity that remains unmonitored and unregulated.



- **16. Policy Recommendations.** The authorities are taking welcome steps to safeguard financial stability. These include phased implementation of a capital conservation buffer, introduction of a liquidity risk management framework, improvements in banks' loan classification and revisions to provisioning rules, to be implemented by 2019, when all banks should comply with International Financial Reporting Standards. Nevertheless, given the still elevated risks, additional prompt macroprudential action is needed.
- **Moderating the credit cycle.** Priority should be given to targeted measures, such as raising risk weights for real-estate related lending commensurate with the banks' risk profiles. Raising reserve requirements (RR) on foreign exchange liabilities, including for MFIs', would increase the liquidity cushion and help promote local currency use.
- developments requires strengthening reporting requirements for construction activity and sales. Lending by real-estate developers should be monitored and regulated in line with other non-bank credit providers and bank exposure contained. Authorities should also consider tightening new construction project licenses, apply stricter monitoring of official bank guarantees and raise the minimum capital to be deposited in a local bank by developers. Introducing and enforcing an aggregate loan-to-value limit would help ensure prudent mortgage lending standards. The authorities should develop guidelines that result in an industry-wide standardization of real-estate valuations and finalize and publish a real-estate price index. Property tax reform, including a phased increase in recurrent property tax rate, could help stabilize real estate prices over the cycle and improve transparency and governance of real-estate markets. Finally, the framework for household and corporate debt restructuring should be reviewed to improve loan recovery rates and thus minimize balance-sheet disruptions should real-estate sector risks materialize.

- Enhancing regulation and supervision. Supervisory capacity remains stretched and the NBC should consider limiting new banking licenses until capacity is sufficiently scaled up. The authorities should finalize and implement regulations on related-party lending and large exposures to align them with international best practice and conduct regular validation exercises to ensure accurate reporting. Capital adequacy regulations for risk weight calculations need to be upgraded to ensure adequate capital buffers. The MFI interest rate cap should be phased out, and MFI sectoral loan classification aligned with that for banks. Unhedged exchange rate risk exposures should be closely monitored.
- Introducing a comprehensive crisis management framework. To better coordinate policies
 across government agencies and improve information sharing, the authorities need to finalize
 the establishment of the national Financial Stability Committee. To help mitigate liquidity risks
 and bolster confidence, progress on introducing a deposit insurance scheme and a bank
 resolution framework should be expedited.
- 17. Addressing shortcomings in the AML/CFT regime. Financial risks also stem from shortcomings in the AML/CFT regime. These were highlighted by the 2017 assessment by the Asia Pacific Group on Money Laundering (APG), including relating to AML/CFT supervision and implementation of preventative measures by reporting entities. While the Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU) has recently commenced risk-based AML/CFT supervision of banks and is building capacity, supervision of the real estate sector for money laundering risk has not yet taken place. Policies need to address shortcomings identified by the APG and demonstrate progress, in line with Fund TA recommendations, to avoid public Financial Action Task Force (FATF) listing. Authorities should also take steps to address the possible impact of public listing on correspondent banking relationships, which could constrain private transfers.
- **18. Exchange rate policy and financial development.** The exchange rate regime is appropriate given the still high level of financial dollarization. To increase Riel use, in line with past Fund advice, the authorities should gradually increase the reserve requirement on foreign exchange liabilities,

require all future government payment transactions to be based in Riel, and adopt market-based measures to encourage dedollarization. Progress has been made in introducing capital markets, including for corporate bonds, and the authorities should continue to develop equity markets and introduce government bond markets. The use of financial technology has grown rapidly and is centered around mobile payments driven by demand for low-cost payment services, particularly in rural areas (Box 5). The NBC is also exploring use of



Distributed Ledger Technology for interbank settlements. The rapid growth of financial technology

requires close monitoring to ensure that regulation keeps up with evolving best international practice.


Authorities' Views.

19. The authorities broadly agreed with staff recommendations, including the need to better monitor real estate sector risks. They aim to encourage first-time home buyers and stated that bank lending standards remained prudent, but were aware of the risks posed by growing mortgage lending and lending by real-estate developers. The authorities are preparing legislation to improve monitoring and regulation of real-estate sector activity. External funding consists mainly of longer-term funding from parent banks (to banks) and from financial institutions with development purposes (to MFls), thus mitigating liquidity risks. The authorities emphasized their efforts to comply with the international standard, including by building FIU capacity and improving AML/CFT supervision of banks to address risks from potential FATF listing. The NBC has made significant efforts to promote local currency use and financial literacy and will continue to monitor the impact of the interest rate cap on MFls.

REFORMS TO SUPPORT SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

20. Strong income growth and poverty reduction. Real GDP per capita growth has outpaced

the ASEAN average over the last two decades. Shift towards garments manufacturing and improvements in labor productivity have led to higher wages and income. Cambodia is close to eradicating absolute poverty, with absolute poverty declining to only 2 percent, although a significant share of the population still lives just above the poverty line. Health and education outcomes have also improved. Despite impressive poverty reduction and gains in human development, inequalities remain. For example, households in rural




areas (close to 80 percent of the population), on average, have 40 percent less disposable income than households in urban areas.

21. Structural constraints. Despite this success, structural constraints to potential growth remain, including a narrow economic base, weak business climate, and underdeveloped financial markets. Despite some diversification, the export base continues to be narrow and relies on low-value added garments. Total factor productivity (TFP) growth has fallen over recent years. Firms face a challenging regulatory environment, particularly in starting a business and contract enforcement, resulting in an inefficient allocation of production factors and widespread informality.

22. Policy Recommendations.


Reforms to promote inclusive growth. Structural reforms are needed to increase

competitiveness and encourage diversification. Priorities include reducing energy costs and improving reliability, enhancing transportation links, and addressing skills gaps via improving the quality of education and promoting technical and vocational training. There is also room for fiscal policies to better support inclusion, through shifting tax policies toward more progressive revenue sources and increasing spending on infrastructure, while improving spending efficiency.




• Making further progress towards SDGs. Policies should focus on expanding economic

opportunities and sustainable development by improving economic and social infrastructure, particularly in rural areas; improving health and education outcomes and social protection in line with the NSPPF and promoting higher productivity in the agricultural sector. Increased use of financial technology, further developing financial infrastructure and improving financial literacy can help expand inclusion.



Reducing the cost of setting up and operating businesses would support job creation and small and mediumsized enterprise (SME) growth. Timely VAT refunds could help relieve SME financing constraints. Finalizing a



¹ Use of these indicators should be considered carefully, as they

are derived from perceptions-based data. Ranges are for a 90

percent confidence interval. Confidence intervals for peer group

competition law could help protect the economy from harmful anti-competitive practices, improve private sector efficiency and boost the establishment of new businesses. Policies should also focus on strengthening the rule of law, including completion of systematic land registration and introducing a commercial court.

averages are negligible.

23. Strengthening anti-corruption efforts. While there are data gaps, available evidence, based in part on perceptions-based indicators, points to significant governance and corruption vulnerabilities. The government has taken steps to strengthen anti-corruption efforts, but further advances are required. The Anti-Corruption Unit is focused on raising awareness, prevention and investigating corruption cases. Further efforts could aim to improve the effectiveness, transparency, and operational independence of anti-corruption institutions and strengthen the anti-corruption framework, including by better protecting whistleblowers. Policies also need to address shortcomings in the AML/CFT regime, including by introducing due diligence measures for domestic politically exposed persons.

Authorities' Views.

24. The authorities emphasized efforts to improve the business environment, including lowering energy costs through greater power generation capacity and reduced tariffs on energy imports, and lowering logistic costs by investment to help close infrastructure bottlenecks. The authorities plan to set up an SME bank and entrepreneurship fund to promote SMEs. They are also raising spending on health and education to meet their goals in the SPPF. To foster a level playing field for firms and reduce informality, the authorities are working on simplifying the tax system and developing a competition law. They emphasized that reducing corruption is a strategic priority for the new government and noted progress that has been made in prevention and improving public service delivery. They noted that they are committed to strengthening property rights, including by continuing with the systematic land registration process.

STAFF APPRAISAL

- 25. **Economic outlook and risks**. Economic activity is projected to remain robust, supported by stronger manufacturing exports, construction and tourism activity. Growth is expected to decline somewhat over the medium term owing to moderation in credit and real estate cycles and challenges in improving economic diversification and competitiveness. The external position is assessed to be moderately weaker than the level consistent with fundamentals and desirable policies. The outlook is subject to downside risks, including those stemming from elevated financial sector vulnerabilities, as well as external risks related to tighter global financial conditions, international trade sanctions, and spillovers from global rise in protectionism.
- **26. Fiscal policies.** Following expansionary policies this year, the authorities' plans for current spending restraint in 2019 are welcome. Policies could nevertheless do more to safeguard fiscal sustainability and support inclusion, including through shifting the tax burden to more progressive direct revenue sources and re-orientating expenditures towards priority infrastructure investment, and health and education spending. The new Revenue Mobilization Strategy should focus on modernizing revenue administration and policies to improve the efficiency and equity of the tax system. Public wage increases should better balance pay incentives and fiscal sustainability, while institutionalizing a Medium Term Fiscal Framework would help safeguard infrastructure and

development spending over the medium-term. The authorities are also encouraged to strengthen the PPP framework to better manage fiscal costs and risks.


- 27. Macro-financial policies. Credit growth remains strong and is increasingly concentrated in the construction and real estate sectors. Further policy measures are needed to address elevated financial sector vulnerabilities. This includes effective implementation of past measures, further targeted prudential measures, such as raising risk weights for real-estate lending, introducing a crisis management framework with a deposit insurance scheme, and continued upgrading of regulation and supervision. Addressing risks stemming from the real-estate sector calls for a broad policy response, including strengthening monitoring and regulation and phased increases in property taxes. Policies also need to address gaps in the AML/CFT regime, along with pre-emptive steps to address any impact on correspondent banking relationships from possible FATF public listing. Promoting further financial market development and encouraging local currency use would increase resilience. The increasing use of financial technology requires regulation and supervision to carefully balance financial innovation and financial stability.
- 28. Structural reforms. Cambodia has made significant progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals due to years of impressive economic growth and reforms. Income growth has outpaced peers, poverty has declined, and the economy has begun to gradually diversify. To entrench these gains and enhance productivity growth, the authorities should accelerate implementation of structural reforms aimed at supporting inclusive growth. Priorities include reducing energy costs and improving reliability, enhancing transportation links, addressing skills gaps via improving the quality of education and promoting technical and vocational training and enhancing social protection policies. Using direct tax revenue gains, including from property taxation, to boost infrastructure spending would support growth and help reduce inequality. Finally, enhancing the regulatory environment would improve the business climate, encourage investment and reduce informality.
- 29. **Governance and corruption.** The authorities have made progress in addressing governance vulnerabilities, including improving fiscal governance through revenue administration and Public Financial Management reforms. Fiscal governance should be further strengthened through modernizing revenue administration, as well as public financial management and procurement reforms focused on increasing spending efficiency, improving transparency and reducing opportunities for corruption. Governance weaknesses also pose challenges for further advancing sustainable development. Improving the regulatory environment and strengthening the rule of law and property rights would improve private sector efficiency through higher investment, reduced informality and support job creation by boosting the establishment of new businesses. The government has taken steps to strengthen anti-corruption efforts, but further advances are needed to improve the anti-corruption framework and institutions and strengthen AML/CFT compliance.
- 30. It is recommended that the next Article IV consultation takes place on the standard 12month cycle.


Box 1. Growth Drivers¹

Growth accounting shows that recent impressive growth has been increasingly driven by capital and the contribution of total factor productivity has declined. Looking forward, absent structural reforms, growth is expected to moderate to around 6 percent over the medium-term.

Growth has become more capital-intensive. A growth accounting exercise shows that economic activity in the 1990s was driven mainly by increasing labor inputs and strong productivity growth, reflecting rapid growth in the laborintensive garment industry. Since then there has been a transition to more capital-intensive growth, driven by FDI inflows and private and public investment coupled with a gradual decline in working-age population growth. At the same time, TFP growth, a leading indicator of private sector wage growth, has declined, reflecting ongoing challenges in improving competitiveness and diversification. Projecting these trends forward, and assuming credit and real-estate cycles moderate, will lead potential and real GDP growth to moderate to about 6 percent over the medium term.

Supply side reforms are required to sustain stronger growth. Policies should focus on reducing informality, ensuring minimum wage adjustments do not exceed productivity growth and improving the business climate. An alternative growth reform scenario which assumes higher TFP growth, driven by supply side reforms, could sustain real GDP growth closer to the authorities target of 7 percent.






¹ Prepared by David Corvino, Sohrab Rafig and Matanee Satraphai.

Box 2. Debt-Based Fiscal Anchor¹

Introducing a fiscal anchor based on a medium-term debt ceiling would strengthen policy credibility and help quide near-term fiscal policy decisions. Simulations suggest a debt ceiling at around 40 percent of GDP.

A debt-based fiscal anchor can help guide policy. Establishing a MTFF will require introducing a formal

fiscal anchor. Widening fiscal deficits and public debt in the medium-term and growing contingent liabilities mean that the authorities should consider moving to a debt-based anchor to guide fiscal policy. Thus far, the level of government deposits has provided an informal anchor for fiscal policy. Based on the typical financing shock and the level of current spending, the floor for government deposits is estimated at 6.5 percent of GDP. However, usefulness of the floor for government deposits as the anchor is limited by the fact that changes in government deposits do not fully reflect current fiscal developments. Many countries have instead included a debt ceiling as a fiscal



anchor. A fiscal anchor based on a debt ceiling has several advantages: it provides a direct stabilizing component to fiscal policy, as there is a stable operational policy tool (fiscal deficit) that links to the debt ceiling objective (debt), and is more transparent and can be easily communicated.

Simulations suggest a debt ceiling around 40 percent of GDP. The fiscal anchor is defined as the maximum prudent level of government debt, including both contractual liabilities and explicit future liabilities. A debt ceiling should also have a sufficient buffer so it can withstand unexpected shocks to the economy. Risks to public debt are calculated via a stochastic approach, and incorporates three sources of risk: fiscal policy shocks, reflecting expenditure slippages or revenue shortfalls; budget's sensitivity to macroeconomic and financial developments; and direct impact of macroeconomic shocks on debt dynamics.² The model estimates the maximum level of public debt that would keep debt, given estimated dynamics, below the high-risk debt distress threshold in the LIC-DSA in the event that tail-risk shocks materialize. The simulations also show that growing contingent liabilities or macro instability reduce available policy space to respond to macroeconomic events while preserving fiscal sustainability. Finally, operationalizing the fiscal anchor will require an appropriate operational target based on the fiscal deficit or spending rule, and should be supported by developing local capital markets and institutionalizing the MTFF.

¹ Prepared by Sohrab Rafiq.

² Celasun, Debrun and Ostry, 2007, "Primary Surplus Behavior and Risks to Fiscal Sustainability in Emerging Market Countries: A Fan-Chart Approach", IMF Staff Papers, 53(3), 401.

Box 3. Advancing Inclusive Growth through Fiscal Reforms¹


Model simulations suggest that an increase in public investment financed by higher taxes can boost output and reduce income inequality. GDP is increased most when the financing comes from a higher real estate tax, and inequality is reduced most when financed by higher real estate or wage taxation.

Higher infrastructure investments can help advance inclusive growth. Infrastructure gaps remain.² Closing these could help raise income especially in poorer rural areas by improving market access and factor mobility. We evaluate three options for financing a permanent increase in quality infrastructure by 0.5 percent of GDP.

- A general increase in the **real estate tax** from 0.1 to around 0.6 percent. A revaluation of property prices and improvements in revenue administration could reduce the necessary rate increase.
- An increase in the effective VAT rate of around 0.4 percentage point (e.g. by reducing exemptions).
- Raising revenue from wage taxation from its current low level of 1.0 percent of GDP to 1.5 percent.

Reforms can increase real GDP, while reducing income inequality. Reforms are evaluated using a calibrated general equilibrium model. The model features an urban and a rural sector, an uneven distribution

of income, and a government sector.³ The parameters are calibrated to match the Cambodian economy. The reforms could raise the level of GDP by up to 2.7 percent and reduce the Gini coefficient by up to 0.1 Gini points.⁴ Output is boosted as better infrastructure raises productivity across all sectors. This impact is dented, however, through distortions introduced by higher taxation. The impact on growth is largest when investment is financed using the property tax, and smallest when financed through the wage tax. The improvement in income equality is



driven by the financing choice and largest when financing is done via the property tax. The improvement in income inequality is also larger when investment is focused on in the poorer rural areas (as opposed to wealthier urban areas).

¹ Prepared by Albe Gjonbalaj and Niels-Jakob Hansen. See "Advancing Inclusive Growth in Cambodia", IMF working paper, (forthcoming).

² For example, the share of paved roads was only 10.5 percent in 2015 (World Development Indicators), which is low compared to neighboring countries.

³ See "The Macroeconomic and Distributional Implications of Fiscal Consolidations in Low-income Countries" IMF Working Paper 18/146.

⁴ The latest available Gini coefficient (2012), based on household consumption data, was 29 (Figure 5).


Box 4. Formal and Informal Finance¹

While formal credit has supported poverty alleviation, informal credit can complicate exit from poverty given high borrowing costs and lack of consumer protection. The MFI interest rate ceiling can further encourage informal lending.

Formal and informal finance have different welfare impacts. While financial inclusion has improved, mainly driven by MFI credit, about 20 percent of the poor population continues to use informal credit (provided by private money lenders) (Global Findex 2014). Informal finance is often associated with higher costs and vulnerability to local shocks given its limited economies of scale and constrained resources. Crosscountry analysis suggests that formal finance is associated with lower poverty, while the opposite holds for informal finance. In Cambodia's case, formal credit supports improved productivity, especially in the agricultural sector, and investment in human capital, thus contributing to poverty alleviation in rural areas. Informal credit can provide funding to those still excluded from formal markets and consumption smoothing in emergencies, but at higher interest rates and with less consumer protection.

The interest rate ceiling can push some borrowers to the informal sector. The interest rate ceiling at

18 percent on MFI lending, introduced in April 2017, was aimed at increasing access to low-cost financing and limiting household indebtedness. While the policy is expected to result in consolidation and improved efficiency in some MFIs, it can also lead to reduction in financial access, encourage informal borrowing and reduce transparency. Contraction in access to credit can be particularly salient in small-size loans, given their relatively high operational costs. Broadening access to low-cost credit warrants policies to reduce funding and operational costs, including through development of inter-bank markets and



promoting the use of financial technology, and to improve financial literacy and consumer protection.

¹ Prepared by Tadaaki Ikoma and Jarkko Turunen. See: "Formal and Informal Finance: Impact on Poverty", IMF working paper, (forthcoming).

Box 5. Fintech and Financial Inclusion¹

Fintech has encouraged financial inclusion by making financial services more accessible, primarily through an expansion of mobile payment services. Regulations need to balance innovation against mitigating new risks.

80 70

50 40

30

20

10

0

Using Saving and Lending Services

(%, age 15+)

Saving

Source: Global Findex 2017

FinTech in Cambodia is centered around mobile payments. Mobile financial services have enabled

domestic migrant workers to remit money to rural areas, replacing more costly and insecure informal channels. This acts to smooth rural consumption, with remittances acting as a form of insurance against shocks, and encourages greater local currency use.

Financial inclusion remains uneven with limited saving services, but very large credit saturation. Regionally, Cambodia has one of the highest rates of borrowing (around 27 percent of the adult population) despite few individuals having access to a formal savings account (around 5 percent). Expanding mobile money services

beyond payments to savings and other financial services (including insurance) would further enhance

financial inclusion. Digitalization of government payments could also lead to better targeting, reduction in leakages, and improvements in spending efficiency.

Regulations need to balance innovation and financial stability. The rapid growth of Fintech introduces new risks. Strong regulation of mobile banking is needed to ensure the nascent industry follows best international practice. The authorities oversight framework need consider clarity of the legal and regulatory regime, safeguarding funds, AML/CFT measures, and operational resilience. The authorities have developed regulations



Lending

KHM MMR BGD PHL VNM LAO MNG IND IDN MYS THA SGP

● Saving (LMC Average) ▲ Lending (LMC Average)


governing the licensing of mobile payment services providers and should continue to strengthen the legal framework, and fully adopt e-KYC and e-commerce consumer protection policies.

1/ Prepared by Lisa Uemae and Yong Sarah Zhou.

Figure 1. Cambodia: Robust Growth and Low Inflation

Economic growth remains strong supported by construction, garment, and trade and finance.


Contribution to Real GDP Growth



Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff estimates and calculations.

Garment exports have picked up with the EU and US remaining Cambodia's largest market.


Contribution to Garment Exports Growth by Region 1/



Sources: GDCE; and IMF staff calculations; H1 includes data for the first 6 months. 1/ Garment includes clothing, shoes and other textile


...with foreign investment concentrated primarily in the banking sector.

FDI Inflow by Sector



Inflation remains at low levels due to subdued food prices.

CPI Inflation: Contribution to Change




2010M7 2011M7 2012M7 2013M7 2014M7 2015M7 2016M7 2017M7 2018M7

Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations

China remains the largest investor in Cambodia....

FDI Inflow by Country






Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations.

Higher tourist arrivals from China has provided support to tourism growth.

Contribution to Tourism Growth




Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations. H1 includes data for the first 6 months

Figure 2. Cambodia: Elevated Financial Vulnerabilities

Broad money growth remained stable while Net Foreign Assets (NFA) in the banking sector improved...


Monetary Developments



Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations. 1/ Year-on-year percent change.


Credit-to-GDP ratio continues to rise...

Private Sector Credit: MFIs and Commercial Banks




Cambodia's dollarization level remains high...

Dollarization




....as reliance on external financing declined slightly.

Foreign Liabilities to Total Foreign Funding



...and credit is concentrated in the real-estate

Contribution to Credit Growth



...while Riel borrowing costs have fallen.

Lending-deposit Rate Spread

Sources: NBC; and IMF staff calculations.





Figure 3. Cambodia: Stable External Position


The current account deficit widened slightly owing to higher imports...

Current Account



...and was financed primarily with FDI inflows.


Current Account Financing



Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations.

NFA are in line with peers and primarily composed of FDI liabilities.

Selected Economies: Net Foreign Assets ¹




Sources: Cambodian authorities; External Wealth of Nations; and IMF staff calculations.

1 End-2017 for Cambodia and end-2016 for the other countries.

The real effective exchange rate remained stable.


Real Effective Exchange Rate



Sources: Cambodian authorities; Bloomberg L.P.; and IMF staff calculations.

Cambodia's share of world exports continued to grow...

Selected Economies: Share of World Exports



... and while reserve accumulation has continued, in terms of FX deposits and import coverage has declined slightly.

Gross Official Reserves





Figure 4. Cambodia: Robust Revenue Performance Amid Spending Pressures

Fiscal performance is still strong owing to robust revenue growth but the spending mix is deteriorating.


Fiscal Balance



Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations

Revenue efforts has seen Cambodia surpass the regional average...


Total Revenue in Asian LICs



...resulting in higher public wages than regional peers.

1/ Average of Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Philippines, and Thailand.


Wage Spending, 2017



Revenue performance continues to be enhanced by strong collection efforts.

Fiscal Revenues

(In Percent of GDP)




Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations

...yet, the wage bill has been rising, squeezing other expenditures...

Goverment Spending


(In percent of GDP)



Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations.

Efforts are needed to maintain the level of government deposits and make space for development spending.

Medium-term Fiscal Outlook




Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff calculations.

Figure 5. Cambodia: Moving to More Inclusive Growth

Income Inequality in Cambodia has declined since 1997...


Gini Coefficient



Source: SWIID 6.1. Note: Gini computed using consumption. Due to data unavailability, data points closest to 1997 and 2016 were used. Latest data point available for KHM, THA, VNM and PHL is based on 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. Data point closest to 1997 for THA and VNM (1998) for IDN and BNG (1996) and LKA (1995).

Income share of the bottom decile increased recently.


Cambodia: Change in Income Distribution by Decile1/



Sources: WIID 3.0: and IMF staff calculations. 1/ Growth rate of total income share of every decile of income distribution.

....and poverty at the national poverty line has also fallen significantly both in rural and urban areas.


Cambodia: Headcount Poverty Rate at National Poverty Line



...and is lower compared to its peers.

Evolution of Inequality: Peer Comparison


(Income of Top Quantile/Bottom Quantile)



Sources: WIID; and IMF staff calculations. Latest available for Cambodia (2012), Laos (2012), Thailand (2013), Vietnam(2014), and Singapore (2012).

Absolute poverty has declined...

Poverty Headcount Ratio at \$1.90 a Day: 2011 PPP



Source: CEIC; World Bank - World Development Indicators.
Note: Latest available for Thailand (2015), Malaysia (2009), Cambodia (2012), Vietnam (2014), Indonesia (2016),
Philippines (2015), Laos (2012).

But inequality in household consumption between rural and urban areas remains.

Cambodia: Average Monthly Household Expenditure

(In USD)




Table 1. Cambodia: Selec				-,			
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017_	2018	201
					Est.	Proj.	Pro
Output and prices (annual percent change)							
GDP in constant prices	7.4	7.1	7.0	6.9	7.0	7.3	7.
(Excluding agriculture)	9.3	9.3	9.0	8.4	8.3	8.7	8.
Inflation (end-year)	4.7	1.0	2.8	3.9	2.2	2.6	2.
(Annual average)	3.0	3.9	1.2	3.0	2.9	2.5	2.
-	3.0	3.3	1.2	3.0	2.5	2.3	۷.
Saving and investment balance (in percent of GDP)	15.1	147	12.7	14.5	142	12.0	12
Gross national saving	15.1	14.7	13.7	14.5	14.2	13.0	13.
Government saving	2.1	4.0	4.5	3.7	4.7	4.6	5.
Private saving	13.0	10.8	9.2	10.8	9.4	8.3	8.
Gross fixed investment	23.5	23.2	22.4	22.9	22.2	23.1	23.
Government investment	8.9	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.7	8.4	8.
Private investment	14.6	15.0	14.7	15.3	14.5	14.7	14.
Money and credit (annual percent change, unless otherwise	indicated)						
Broad money	14.6	29.9	14.7	18.0	23.8	16.3	14.
Private sector credit	17.3	31.3	27.1	22.5	18.5	19.0	16.
Velocity of money 1/	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.
Dublic finance (in negent of CDD)							
Public finance (in percent of GDP)	10.7	20.1	10.6	20.0	22.1	22.0	21
Revenue	18.7	20.1	19.6	20.8	22.1	22.0	21.
Domestic revenue	14.6	17.5	17.7	18.4	20.2	20.4	20.
Of which: Tax revenue	12.9	15.5	15.6	15.8	16.9	17.0	17.
Grants	4.1	2.6	1.9	2.5	1.9	1.5	1.
Expenditure	21.4	21.7	20.9	22.2	23.2	24.2	24.
Expense	12.5	13.5	13.2	14.6	15.5	15.8	15.
Net acquisition of nonfinancial assets	8.9	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.7	8.4	8.
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-)	-2.6	-1.6	-1.3	-1.4	-1.1	-2.2	-2.
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-) excluding grants	-6.8	-4.2	-3.3	-3.9	-3.0	-3.8	-3.
Net acquisition of financial assets	0.2	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.3	0.0	0.
Net incurrence of liabilities 2/	2.8	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.
Of which: Domestic financing	0.0	-1.3	-1.4	-0.6	-1.7	-0.6	-0.
Balance of payments (in millions of dollars, unless otherwise Exports, f.o.b.		0 170	0 226	10 272	11 224	12 710	14,23
(Annual percent change)	7,044 23.7	8,170 16.0	9,336 14.3	10,273 10.0	11,224 9.3	12,710 13.2	14,23
Imports, f.o.b.	-10,680	-12,022	-13,285	-14,119	9.3 -15,502	-17,920	12. 19,96 -
•	- 10,680 15.9	12,022	10.5				- 19,96 11.
(Annual percent change)				6.3 1.697	9.8	15.6	
Current account (including official transfers)	-1,274 8.4	-1,414 o c	-1,567 9.7	-1,687	-1,782	-2,452 10.1	-2,61 a
(In percent of GDP)	-8.4 2.642	-8.5 4 201	-8.7 5.092	-8.4 6.721	-8.0 9.759	-10.1	-9. 10.20
Gross official reserves 3/	3,642	4,391	5,093	6,731	8,758	9,605	10,29
(In months of prospective imports)	3.1	3.4	3.7	4.4	5.1	5.0	4.
External debt (in millions of dollars, unless otherwise indicate	•	F 270	F C 40	F 0C1	6.660	7 246	7.00
Public external debt	4,871	5,279	5,648	5,861	6,669	7,246	7,93
(In percent of GDP)	31.7	31.9	31.2	29.1	30.3	30.1	30.
Public debt service	112	134	137	186	211	260	30
(In percent of exports of goods and services)	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.
Memorandum items:							
	61 327	67.437	73.423	81.242	89.900	99 194	109.22
Memorandum items: Nominal GDP (in billions of Riels) (In millions of U.S. dollars)	61,327 15,228	67,437 16,702	73,423 18,083	81,242 20,043	89,900 22,147	99, 194 24, 171	109,22 26,32

 $[\]ensuremath{\text{1/}}$ Ratio of nominal GDP to the average stock of broad money.

^{2/} Includes statistical discrepancy.

^{3/} Excludes unrestricted foreign currency deposits (FCDs) held at the National Bank of Cambodia; starting in 2009, includes the new Special Drawing Right (SDR) allocations made by the IMF of SDR 68.4 million; starting 2016, renminbi holdings are considered part of reserves following inclusion of renminbi in the SDR basket.

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
					Est.			Proj			
Output and prices (percent change)											
GDP at constant prices	7.4	7.1	7.0	6.9	7.0	7.3	7.0	6.7	6.5	6.2	6.0
GDP deflator	0.8	2.6	1.7	3.5	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0
Consumer prices (end-year)	4.7	1.0	2.8	3.9	2.2	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0
Consumer prices (average)	3.0	3.9	1.2	3.0	2.9	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0
Saving and investment balance (in percent of GDP)											
Gross national saving	15.1	14.7	13.7	14.5	14.2	13.0	13.1	12.4	13.2	13.5	14.
Government saving	2.1	4.0	4.5	3.7	4.7	4.6	5.1	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.
Private saving	13.0	10.8	9.2	10.8	9.4	8.3	8.0	7.6	8.6	9.1	9.9
Gross fixed investment	23.5	23.2	22.4	22.9	22.2	23.1	23.0	21.8	21.7	21.6	21.
Government investment	8.9	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.7	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
Private investment 1/	14.6	15.0	14.7	15.3	14.5	14.7	14.6	13.4	13.3	13.2	13.
Private credit growth (percent change)	17.3	31.3	27.1	22.5	18.5	19.0	16.0	13.8	13.6	13.4	13.
Public finance (in percent of GDP)											
Revenue	18.7	20.1	19.6	20.8	22.1	22.0	21.9	21.5	21.2	21.0	20.
Of which: Tax revenue	12.9	15.5	15.6	15.8	16.9	17.0	17.2	17.1	17.0	17.0	16.
Grants	4.1	2.6	1.9	2.5	1.9	1.5	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.
Total expenditure	21.4	21.7	20.9	22.2	23.2	24.2	24.0	24.2	24.2	24.4	24
Expense	12.5	13.5	13.2	14.6	15.5	15.8	15.6	15.8	15.9	16.0	16.
Net acquisition of nonfinancial assets	8.9	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.7	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4
Of which: Domestically-financed	1.9	2.0	1.8	3.0	2.9	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.3	2.7	2.
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-)	-2.6	-1.6	-1.3	-1.4	-1.1	-2.2	-2.1	-2.7	-3.0	-3.4	-3.
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-) excluding grants	-6.8	-4.2	-3.3	-3.9	-3.0	-3.8	-3.3	-3.7	-3.8	-4.0	-4.
Net acquisition of financial assets	0.2	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.3	0.0	0.4	-0.4	0.0	0.2	-0.
Net incurrence of liabilities	2.8	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	3.0	3.6	3.
Domestic financing, net	0.0	-1.3	-1.4	-0.6	-1.7	-0.6	-0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.
Government deposits	5.0	6.9	9.1	10.4	12.6	11.5	10.9	9.5	8.6	8.1	7.
Balance of payments (in percent of GDP, unless otherwise inc		46.0	442	400	0.0	42.2	42.0	40.2	0.0	0.7	•
Exports (percent change) 2/	23.7	16.0	14.3	10.0	9.3	13.2	12.0	10.3	8.9	8.7	8.
Imports (percent change) 3/	15.9	12.6	10.5	6.3	9.8	15.6	11.4	9.4	8.0	8.3	7.
Current account balance (including transfers)	-8.4	-8.5	-8.7	-8.4	-8.0	-10.1	-9.9	-9.4	-8.5	-8.1	-7.
(Excluding transfers)	-11.8	-12.0	-11.6	-10.9	-10.5	-12.0	-11.5	-10.6	-9.5	-9.1	-8.4
Foreign direct investment	13.2	10.6	9.6	12.0	12.1	12.7	12.1	11.1	10.5	9.9	9.:
Other flows 4/	-2.5	2.3	3.3	0.8	3.3	0.9	0.4	0.6	1.2	1.7	2.
Overall balance	2.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	7.3	3.5	2.6	2.3	3.2	3.5	4.
Gross official reserves (in millions of U.S. dollars) 5/	3,642	4,391	5,093	6,731	8,758			10,970		13,165	14,64
(In months of next year's imports)	3.1	3.4	3.7	4.4	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.1	5.3
Public external debt (in millions of U.S. dollars)	4,871	5,279	5,648	5,861	6,669	7,246	7,932	8,640	9,593	10,825	12,30
(In percent of GDP)	31.7	31.9	31.2	29.1	30.3	30.1	30.3	30.4	31.1	32.4	34.
Public external debt service (in millions of U.S. dollars)	112	134	137	186	211	260	301	361	393	438	46
(In percent of exports of goods and services)	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.0

^{1/} Includes nonbudgetary, grant-financed investment, and, from 2011, public-private partnerships in the power sector projects.

^{2/} Excludes re-exported goods.

^{3/} Excludes imported goods for re-export, from 2011, includes imports related to public-private power sector projects.

 $^{4/\ \}mbox{Net official disbursements, exceptional financing, and official transfers.}$

^{5/} Excludes unrestricted foreign currency depostis (FCDs) held at the National Bank of Cambodia (NBC); starting in 2009, includes the new Special Drawing Right (SDR) allocations made by the IMF of SDR 68.4 million; starting 2016, renminbi holdings are considered part of reserves following inclusion of renminbi in the SDR basket.

Table 3a. Cambodia: Balance of Payments, 2013–23, BPM5 (In millions of U.S. dollars, unless otherwise indicated)												
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	
					Est.		Р	roj.				
Current account (including official transfers)	- 1,274	- 1,4 14	- 1,567	- 1,687	- 1,782	-2,452	-2,617	-2,697	-2,626	-2,719	-2,665	
(Excluding official transfers)	- 1,795	-2,003	-2,093	-2,193	-2,323	-2,896	-3,029	-3,046	-2,954	-3,063	-3,033	
Trade balance	-3,636	-3,852	-3,949	-3,846	-4,278	-5,210	-5,727	-6,132	-6,487	-6,951	-7,328	
Exports, f.o.b.	7,044	8,170	9,336	10,273	11,224	12,710	14,234	15,707	17,110	18,598	20,153	
Of which: Garments	5,026	5,489	6,167	6,686	7,147	8,027	8,886	9,610	10,187	10,762	11,333	
Imports, f.o.b. 1/	- 10,680	- 12,022	- 13,285	- 14,119	- 15,502	- 17,920	- 19,962	-21,839	-23,597	-25,549	-27,481	
Of which: Garments-related	-2,483	-2,572	-2,950	-3,226	-3,573	-4,014	-4,443	-4,805	-5,093	-5,381	-5,666	
Petroleum	- 1.123	- 1,145	- 1,300	- 1,465	- 1,752	-2,262	-2,366	-2,356	-2,364	-2,395	-2,446	
Services and income (net)	899	825	745	598	794	1,070	1,291	1,528	1,780	1,958	2,174	
Services (net)	1,703	1,727	1,712	1,602	1,878	2,193	2,490	2,857	3,239	3,544	3,882	
Of which: Tourism (credit)	2.660	2,953	3,136	3,212	3,639	4,254	4,710	5,128	5,591	6,066	6,582	
Income (net)	-804	-902	-967	- 1,005	- 1.085	- 1,123	- 1, 199	- 1,329	- 1.459	- 1,586	- 1,708	
Private transfers (net)	942	1,024	1,110	1,056	1,162	1,244	1,407	1,558	1,752	1,930	2,121	
Official transfers (net)	520	589	526	506	540	445	412	349	328	343	367	
Capital and financial account	1,621	2,152	2,331	2,548	3,401	3,287	3,293	3,359	3,620	3,894	4,134	
Medium- and long-term loans (net)	551	571	514	429	582	574	683	704	950	1,229	1,479	
Disbursements	611	648	595	543	722	748	891	964	1,232	1,545	1,808	
Amortization	-63	-83	- 108	- 17 1	- 153	- 189	-223	-275	-297	-331	-344	
Foreign direct investment 2/	2,006	1,771	1,735	2,397	2,674	3,070	3,185	3,176	3,259	3,326	3,374	
Net foreign assets of deposit money banks 3/	-600	-213	986	-224	-631	-570	-768	-693	-746	-801	-845	
Other short-term flows and errors and omissions	-651	-246	- 1, 170	-337	539	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Overall balance	347	738	763	861	1,619	836	675	663	994	1,174	1,469	
Financing	- 347	-738	-763	-861	- 1,619	-836	-675	-663	-994	- 1, 174	- 1,469	
Change in gross official reserves 4/	-363	-755	-775	-873	- 1,631	-848	-688	-676	- 1,007	- 1,188	- 1,484	
Memorandum items:												
Current account balance (in percent of GDP)												
Excluding official transfers	- 11.8	- 12.0	- 11.6	- 10.9	- 10.5	- 12.0	- 11.5	- 10.6	-9.5	-9.1	-8.4	
Including official transfers	-8.4	-8.5	-8.7	-8.4	-8.0	- 10.1	-9.9	-9.4	-8.5	-8.1	-7.3	
Trade balance (in percent of GDP)	-23.9	-23.1	-21.8	- 19.2	- 19.3	-21.6	-21.8	-21.4	-20.9	-20.7	-20.2	
Gross official reserves 5/	3,642	4,391	5,093	6,731	8,758	9,605	10,294	10,970	11,977	13,165	14,649	
(In months of next year's imports)	3.1	3.4	3.7	4.4	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.1	5.3	

 $renminbi\,holdings\,are\,considered\,part\,of\,reserves\,following\,inclusion\,of\,renminbi\,in\,the\,SDR\,basket.$

 $^{1\!/\!}$ From 2011, includes imports related to public-private power sector projects.

^{2/} From 2011, includes FDI related to public-private power sector projects.

^{3/} Includes unrestricted foreign currency deposits (FCDs) held as reserves at the National Bank of Cambodia (NBC).

^{4/} Excludes changes in unrestricted FCDs held as reserves at the NBC, and changes in gold holdings and valuation.

^{5/} Excludes unrestricted FCDs held at the NBC; starting in 2009, includes the new SDR allocations made by the IMF of SDR 68.4 million; starting 2016,

Table 3b. Cambodia: Balance of Payments, 2013–23, BPM5 (In percent of GDP, unless otherwise indicated)												
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	202	
					Est.			Proj.				
Current account (including official transfers)	-8.4	-8.5	-8.7	-8.4	-8.0	-10.1	-9.9	-9.4	-8.5	-8.1	_	
(Excluding official transfers)	-11.8	-12.0	-11.6	-10.9	-10.5	-12.0	-11.5	-10.6	-9.5	-9.1	_	
Trade balance	-23.9	-23.1	-21.8	-19.2	-19.3	-21.6	-21.8	-21.4	-20.9	-20.7	-2	
Exports, f.o.b.	46.3	48.9	51.6	51.3	50.7	52.6	54.1	54.9	55.1	55.4	5	
Of which: Garments	33.0	32.9	34.1	33.4	32.3	33.2	33.8	33.6	32.8	32.0	3	
Imports, f.o.b. 1/	-70.1	-72.0	-73.5	-70.4	-70.0	-74.1	-75.8	-76.3	-76.0	-76.1	-7	
Of which: Garments-related	-16.3	-15.4	-16.3	-16.1	-16.1	-16.6	-16.9	-16.8	-16.4	-16.0	-1	
Petroleum	-7.4	-6.9	-7.2	-7.3	-7.9	-9.4	-9.0	-8.2	-7.6	-7.1		
Services and income (net)	5.9	4.9	4.1	3.0	3.6	4.4	4.9	5.3	5.7	5.8		
Services (net)	11.2	10.3	9.5	8.0	8.5	9.1	9.5	10.0	10.4	10.6		
Of which: Tourism (credit)	17.5	17.7	17.3	16.0	16.4	17.6	17.9	17.9	18.0	18.1		
Income (net)	-5.3	-5.4	-5.3	-5.0	-4.9	-4.6	-4.6	-4.6	-4.7	-4.7		
Private transfers (net)	6.2	6.1	6.1	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.6	5.7		
Official transfers (net)	3.4	3.5	2.9	2.5	2.4	1.8	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.0		
Capital and financial account	10.6	12.9	12.9	12.7	15.4	13.6	12.5	11.7	11.7	11.6		
Medium- and long-term loans (net)	3.6	3.4	2.8	2.1	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.5	3.1	3.7		
Disbursements	4.0	3.9	3.3	2.7	3.3	3.1	3.4	3.4	4.0	4.6		
Amortization	-0.4	-0.5	-0.6	-0.9	-0.7	-0.8	-0.8	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0		
Foreign direct investment 2/	13.2	10.6	9.6	12.0	12.1	12.7	12.1	11.1	10.5	9.9		
Net foreign assets of deposit money banks 3/	-3.9	-1.3	5.5	-1.1	-2.8	-2.4	-2.9	-2.4	-2.4	-2.4		
Other short-term flows and errors and omissions	-4.3	-1.5	-6.5	-1.7	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Overall balance	2.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	7.3	3.5	2.6	2.3	3.2	3.5		
Financing	-2.3	-4.4	-4.2	-4.3	-7.3	-3.5	-2.6	-2.3	-3.2	-3.5		
Change in gross official reserves 4/	-2.4	-4.5	-4.3	-4.4	-7.4	-3.5	-2.6	-2.4	-3.2	-3.5		
Memorandum items:												
Current account balance (in percent of GDP)												
Excluding official transfers	-11.8	-12.0	-11.6	-10.9	-10.5	-12.0	-11.5	-10.6	-9.5	-9.1		
Including official transfers	-8.4	-8.5	-8.7	-8.4	-8.0	-10.1	-9.9	-9.4	-8.5	-8.1		
Trade balance (in percent of GDP)	-23.9	-23.1	-21.8	-19.2	-19.3	-21.6	-21.8	-21.4	-20.9	-20.7	-	
Gross official reserves 5/	23.9	26.3	28.2	33.6	39.5	39.7	39.1	38.3	38.6	39.2		
(In months of next year's imports)	3.1	3.4	3.7	4.4	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.1		

^{1/} From 2011, includes imports related to public-private power sector projects.

 $^{\,}$ 2/ From 2011, includes FDI related to public-private power sector projects.

^{3/} Includes unrestricted foreign currency deposits (FCDs) held as reserves at the National Bank of Cambodia (NBC).

^{4/} Excludes changes in unrestricted FCDs held as reserves at the NBC, and changes in gold holdings and valuation.

^{5/} Excludes unrestricted FCDs held at the NBC; starting in 2009, includes the new SDR allocations made by the IMF of SDR 68.4 million; starting 2016, renminbi holdings are considered part of reserves following inclusion of renminbi in the SDR basket.

	2013	2014	2015	2016	201	7	2018		2019		2020	2021	2022	20
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Est.	Budget	Proj.	Prelim. Budget	Proj.	Proj.	Proj.	Proj.	Р
						(In	oillions of Riels)							
Revenue	11,499	13,538	14.410	16,927	17.093	19,878.9	19,026	21,794	22,538	23,942	25,752	27,925	30,265	32,
Of which: Nongrant	8,955	11,810	12,987	14,918	15,438	18,174	18,310	20,259	21,222	22,561	24,648	26,930	29,370	31,
Tax	7,909	10,457	11,469	12,800	13,018	15,192	15,065	16,864	18,285	18,823	20,536	22,416	24,426	26,
														7,
Income, profits, and capital gains	1,561	1,965	2,472	2,953	3,277	3,798	3,899	4,328	4,388	4,956	5,446	5,973	6,536	
Good and services	4,174	5,426	6,238	7,098	7,579	8,410	8,786	9,335	11,702	10,381	11,406	12,637	13,964	15
International trade and transactions	1,667	2,466	1,989	1,988	2,162	1,966	2,121	2,182	2,194	2,403	2,534	2,585	2,631	2
Grants	2,543	1,728	1,423	2,009	688	1,705	716	1,535	1,316	1,381	1,105	994	895	
Other revenues 1/	1,339	1,670	2,253	2,861	2,420	2,982	3,245	3,395	2,937	3,738	4,111	4,514	4,944	5
Total expenditure	13,103	14,646	15,376	18,051	20,611	20,835	24,088	24,022	26,180	26,211	29,043	31,895	35,165	38
Expense	7,643	9,123	9,690	11,902	13,612	13,941	15,660	15,660	16,933	17,003	18,952	20,888	23,075	25
			3,930	5,283		6.386			8,200	8,206			11259.1	12,
Compensation of employees	2,698	3,403			6,391		7,453	7,453			9,106	10,089		
Purchase of goods and services	2,075	2,119	2,156	2,424	2,847	2,847	3,122	3,122	3,373	3,250	3,571	3,917	4,286	4
Interest	204	228	223	291	342	289	387	387	410	427	469	514	563	
Expense not elsewhere classified	2,666	3,373	3,381	3,903	4,032	4,420	4,697	4,697	4,950	5,121	5,806	6,368	6,968	7,
Net acquisition of nonfinancial assets	5,460	5,523	5,686	6,149	6,999	6,894	8,428	8,363	9,247	9,208	10,091	11,007	12,090	13
Of which: Externally financed	4,306	4,147	4,395	3,719		4,290		4,460		5,159	5,503	6,686	8,159	8
														-5
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-)	-1,605	-1,108	-966	-1,124	-3,518	-956	-5,061	-2,229	-3,642	-2,269	-3,290	-3,970	-4,900	
Net acquisition of financial assets	113	1,568	1,612	1,574		2,039		40		476	-429	-44	260	-
Net incurrence of liabilities 2/	1,717	2,676	2,578	2,698	3,518	2,995	5,061	2,268	3,642	2,745	2,862	3,926	5,160	5
Of which: External	1,922	2,306	2,138	1,755	3,518	2,650	5,061	3,027	3.642	3,203	2,818	3,665	4,646	4
-,	.,	_,	_,	.,	-,	,	percent of GDP)	-,	-/	-,	_,	-,	.,	
						` '	,							2
Revenue	18.7	20.1	19.6	20.8	19.0	22.1	19.2	22.0	20.6	21.9	21.5	21.2	21.0	
Nongrant	14.6	17.5	17.7	18.4	17.2	20.2	18.5	20.4	19.4	20.7	20.5	20.5	20.4	2
Tax	12.9	15.5	15.6	15.8	14.5	16.9	15.2	17.0	16.7	17.2	17.1	17.0	17.0	1
Income, profits, and capital gains tax	2.5	2.9	3.4	3.6	3.6	4.2	3.9	4.4	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	
Good and services tax	6.8	8.0	8.5	8.7	8.4	9.4	8.9	9.4	10.7	9.5	9.5	9.6	9.7	
International trade and transactions tax	2.7	3.7	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.8	
			1.9	2.5			0.7	1.5			0.9		0.6	
Grants	4.1	2.6			0.8	1.9			1.2	1.3		0.8		
Other revenues	2.2	2.5	3.1	3.5	2.7	3.3	3.3	3.4	2.7	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	
Total expenditure	21.4	21.7	20.9	22.2	22.9	23.2	24.3	24.2	24.0	24.0	24.2	24.2	24.4	á
Expense	12.5	13.5	13.2	14.6	15.1	15.5	15.8	15.8	15.5	15.6	15.8	15.9	16.0	
Compensation of employees	4.4	5.0	5.4	6.5	7.1	7.1	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.8	
Purchase of goods and services	3.4	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Interest	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	
Expense not elsewhere classified	4.3	5.0	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	
Net acquisition of nonfinancial assets	8.9	8.2	7.7	7.6	7.8	7.7	8.5	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	
	7.0		6.0	4.6						4.7	4.6	5.1	5.7	
Of which: Externally-financed	7.0	6.2	6.0	4.6		4.8		4.5		4.7	4.6	5.1	5.7	
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-)	-2.6	-1.6	-1.3	-1.4	-3.9	-1.1	-5.1	-2.2	-3.3	-2.1	-2.7	-3.0	-3.4	
Net acquisition of financial assets	0.2	2.3	2.2	1.9		2.3		0.0		0.4	-0.4	0.0	0.2	
Net incurrence of liabilities	2.8	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.9	3.3	5.1	2.3	3.3	2.5	2.4	3.0	3.6	
Of which: External	3.1	3.4	2.9	2.2	3.9	2.9	5.1	3.1	3.3	2.9	2.3	2.8	3.2	
Memorandum items:		4.3	2.4	27	4.5	2.0		2.0	4.5	2.2	2.7	2.0	4.0	
Net lending (+)/borrowing(-) excluding grant	-6.8	-4.2	-2.4	-3.7	-4.5	-3.0	-5.8	-3.8	-4.5	-3.3	-3.7	-3.8	-4.0	
Domestic financing	0.0	-1.3	-1.4	-0.6	-0.6	-1.7	-1.7	-0.6		-0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	
Government deposits	5.0	6.9	9.1	10.4		12.6		11.5		10.9	9.5	8.6	8.1	
GDP (in billions of riels)	61.327	67.437	73.423	81,242	89.900	89.900	99.194	99,194	109,220	109.220	120.004	131,633	144.021	157,

^{1/} Includes provincial tax and nontax revenue. 2/ Includes statistical discrepancy.

Table 5. Cambodia	a: Monetai	ry Surve	y, 2013	–19			
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 Proj.	2019 Proj.
			(In bill	ions of Rie	els)		
Net foreign assets	21,285	26,742	26,707	31,814	42,575	49,327	55,93
National Bank of Cambodia	19,535	24,476	29,490	36,336	48,732	56,125	61,70
Foreign assets	19,957	24,880	29,875	36,707	49,125	56,510	62,10
Foreign liabilities	421	404	385	371	393	385	39
Deposit money banks	1,750	2,266	-2,782	-4,522	-6,157	-6,798	-5,76
Foreign assets	8,589	10,048	9,481	10,875	11,769	12,608	15,03
Foreign liabilities	6,839	7,782	12,263	15,397	17,925	19,406	20,80
Net domestic assets	11,483	15,817	22,116	25,802	28,744	33,639	39,32
Domestic credit	24,827	31,885	39,642	48,310	55,856	68,532	80,79
Government (net)	-2,795	-4,359	-6,429	-8,148	-11,067	-11,106	-11,58
Private sector	27,609	36,245	46,071	56,459	66,923	79,638	92,38
Other items (net)	-13,344	-16,068	-17,526	-22,508	-27,113	-34,893	-41,47
Broad money	32,768	42,560	48,823	57,617	71,319	82,966	95,26
Narrow money	4,878	6,308	6,741	7,273	9,428	11,374	13,53
Currency in circulation	4,454	5,593	5,897	6,473	8,302	9,968	11,92
Demand deposits	424	715	845	800	1,127	1,405	1,61
Quasi-money Quasi-money	27,890	36,251	42,082	50,344	61,890	71,592	81,73
Time deposits	945	1,090	1,550	2,386	2,615	3,011	3,43
Foreign currency deposits	26,945	35,161	40,532	47,957	59,275	68,581	78,29
		(1	2-month p	ercentage	change)		
Net foreign assets	17.1	25.6	-0.1	19.1	33.8	15.9	13
Private sector credit	17.3	31.3	27.1	22.5	18.5	19.0	16
Broad money	14.6	29.9	14.7	18.0	23.8	16.3	14
Of which: Currency in circulation	18.6	25.6	5.4	9.8	28.2	20.1	19
Foreign currency deposits	13.4	30.5	15.3	18.3	23.6	15.7	14
	(Contribution	n to year-on-	-year growth	n of broad	money, in p	ercentage p	ooints)
Net foreign assets	10.9	16.7	-0.1	10.5	18.7	9.5	8
Net domestic assets	3.7	13.2	14.8	7.6	5.1	6.9	6
Domestic credit 1/	13.2	21.5	18.2	17.8	13.1	17.8	14
Government (net)	-1.1	-4.8	-4.9	-3.5	-5.1	-0.1	-0
Private sector	14.2	26.4	23.1	21.3	18.2	17.8	15
Other items (net)	-9.5	-8.3	-3.4	-10.2	-8.0	-10.9	-7
Memorandum items:							
Foreign currency deposits (in millions of U.S. dollars)	6,745	8,628	10,008	11,879	14,523	16,620	18,7
(In percent of broad money)	82.2	82.6	83.0	83.2	83.1	82.7	82
Riel component of broad money	5,823	7,399	8,291	9,659	12,044	14,385	16,9
(In percent of broad money)	17.8	17.4	17.0	16.8	16.9	17.3	17
Credit to the private sector (in millions of U.S. dollars)	6,911	8,894	11,376	13,985	16,397	19,300	22,1
(In percent of GDP)	45.0	53.7	62.7	69.5	74.4	80.3	84
Foreign currency loans-to-total loans (in percent)	99.1	98.4	98.6	98.7	98.9	96.9	94
Loan-to-deposit ratio (in percent) 2/	101.6	101.5	112.1	116.2	111.7	112.5	112
Velocity 3/	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.2	1
Money multiplier (broad money/reserve money)	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.0	1.9	1.9	1
Reserve money (12-month percent change)	13.0	24.6	21.7	25.0	30.5	18.3	14

Sources: Cambodian authorities; and IMF staff estimates and projections.

^{1/} Excludes banks' credits to nonresident.

^{2/} Foreign currency loans and deposits only.

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathsf{3}}\xspace$ The ratio of nominal GDP to the year-to-date average stock of broad money.

	(ASEAN 1/		
Goals	2005	2010	2015	2015
Poverty				
Proportion of population living below the international poverty line	19	5	2	7
Proportion of population living below the national poverty line	45	22	18	13
Proportion of population covered by social assistance programs	n.a.	1.3	0.4	29
Prevalence of undernourishment	21	17	14	12
Proportion of urban population living in slums or inadequate housing	n.a.	n.a	29	34
Health and Education				
Maternal mortality rate per 100,000 live births	315	202	161	111
Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel	44	71	89	79
Under-five mortality rate	65	43	29	30
Number of HIV infections per 1,000 uninfected population	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2
Proportion of population using safely managed drinking water	19	22	24	n.a.
Proportion of population using at least basic sanitation services	24	37	49	80
Pupil-teacher ratio, primary	53	48	46	24
Secondary enrollment*	32	38	n.a.	66
Inclusion				
Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments	10	21	20	17
Proportion of women in managerial positions	n.a.	18	n.a.	29
Proportion of population with access to electricity	21	31	56	84
Proportion of adults (15 years and older) with an account at a bank	n.a.	6	15	45
Annual growth rate of real GDP per capita	11	4	5	5
Climate				
CO2 emission per unit of GDP PPP	1.6	1.8	1.9	4.2
Memorandum item:				
De-mining, UXO and assistance				
Annual numbers of civilian casualties recorded	797	286	240	
Percentage of suspected contaminated areas cleared	50	59	56	

Source: UN SDG Indicators Global Database; World Bank, World Development Indicators; ClimateWatch (GCA Model).

Note: Where data are not available, data for the closest year is used.

For example, for poverty below the international poverty line, data used refer to 2004, 2010 and 2012 (latest available data point).

^{*}Ratio of children of official school age who are enrolled in school to the population of the corresponding official school age.

^{1/} ASEAN excluding Brunei and Singapore.

Appendix I. Risk Assessment Matrix¹

Risk Horizon	Up/ Down- side	Prob.	Impact	Transmission	Policy Recommendations
Medium- term	ļ	High	Medium	Fiscal position deteriorates -fiscal deficits widen and government deposits deplete sharply.	Steadfast implementation of RMS, while rationalizing non-developmental current expenditure.
Short- term	1	Medium	High	Turning of the credit cycle and fallout from excess household and corporate leverage (incl. in FX) as investors withdraw FX deposits, generating disorderly deleveraging.	Increase reserve requirements to slow down credit growth, implement macro prudential measures, strengthen micro-prudential regulation and supervision, ensure adequate emergency liquidity, strengthen supervision and regulation for MFIs. Preemptively strengthen the crisis management framework.
Short- term	1	High	Medium	Loss of CBRs and deterioration of investors' confidence, affecting financial sector stability and growth.	Expeditiously implement the recommendations issued by the Asia Pacific Group on Money Laundering and take measures to mitigate the risks arising from loss of CBRs.
Short- term	ļ	Medium	Medium	Weaker agricultural production and exports, weaker tourism, and wider income inequality.	Expedite structural reforms to accelerate diversification, improve infrastructure, and increase transfers to the rural poor after creating fiscal space.
	•				
Short- term	1	High	High	Weaker garments export growth.	Expedite structural reforms to accelerate diversification, by expanding the narrow range of export products, while finding new export markets for existing products.
Short- term	1	Medium	Medium/ High	Lower exports coupled with weaker FDI and banking sector flows.	Expedite structural reforms to accelerate diversification. Ensure adequate emergency liquidity.
Medium- term	1	Medium	High	Loss of preferential access to key export markets, leading to a decline of exports and FDI.	Expedite structural reforms to accelerate diversification. Ensure adequate emergency liquidity.
Short- term	High Short- 1 High			Higher rates would lead to FX deposit outflows, foreign reserves fall. Stronger US dollar would lead to weaker exports, tourism receipts,	Build up foreign reserve buffers against external shocks; where appropriate release limited short-term liquidity to troubled banks; expedite work on a crisis management framework; increasing reserve requirements on foreign deposits substantially would create a buffer that could be liberated when foreign deposits flow out in response to external shocks. Maintain macroeconomic stability and develop interbank and foreign exchange markets to enhance monetary policy
			Wedidiii	FDI, and bank lending from other partner countries.	effectiveness and to reduce dollarization. Expedite structural reforms to boost non-price competitiveness.
	Mediumterm Short-term Short-term Short-term Short-term Short-term Short-term Short-term	Mediumterm	Medium-term	Medium-term	Medium

¹The Risk Assessment Matrix (RAM) shows events that could materially alter the baseline path (the scenario most likely to materialize in the view of IMF staff). The relative likelihood is the staff's subjective assessment of the risks surrounding the baseline ("low" is meant to indicate a probability below 10 percent, "medium" a probability between 10 and 30 percent, and "high" a probability between 30 and 50 percent). The RAM reflects staff views on the source of risks and overall level of concern as of the time of discussions with the authorities. Non-mutually exclusive risks may interact and materialize jointly. "Short term" and "medium term" are meant to indicate that the risk could materialize within 1 year and 3 years, respectively.

Appendix II. Past Fund Advice

Financial Sector Reforms

Safeguarding financial stability

Regulation on Liquidity Management for Banks and Financial Institution:

This regulation aims at strengthening the liquidity management of financial institutions.

Implementation of Regulation on Liquidity Coverage Ratios (LCR): NBC has continued to implement the LCR regulation issued in December 2015, requiring banks and microfinance institutions (MFIs) to raise their LCR to 100 per cent of their projected 30-day net cash outflows by 2020.

Regulation on credit risk grading and impairment provisioning: The regulation, introduced by the NBC in December 2017 aims to increase credit risk grading by financial institutions.

Strengthening banking supervision

Online Payment Protection: All payment service providers (PSPs) must apply for an operating license from the NBC.

Regulation on Customers Complaints Procedure for Banks and Financial Institutions:

This regulation aims to improve consumer protection.

Enhancing financial inclusion

Promoting financial literacy: NBC and the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport officially launched the "Let's Talk Money" comic book in March 2017.

Fiscal Policy Reforms

Mobilizing tax revenues

Revenue Mobilization Strategy (RMS): The authorities have implemented over 90 percent of the reforms under the RMS 2014–2018 and are working on a new RMS 2019–2023.

Strengthening management of contingent liabilities

Managing fiscal risks from PPPs: Central PPP Unit (CPU) has been established under the MEF to support the implementation of a PPP program under the forthcoming new PPP law. The responsibility for assessing and reporting fiscal risks from PPPs remains with the RMU at the Department of Debt Management.

Improving Public Financial Management

Medium Term Fiscal Framework (MTFF): The government has developed a Budget System Reform Strategy 2018–2025, which contains a plan to develop the strategic framework for Medium Term Budget Framework and MTFF (MEF is currently preparing the MTFF).

Others: The government continues implementing the National Program for Public Administrative Reform 2015–2018 and priority programs under the National Social Protection

Policy Framework 2016–2025; making efforts to enhance spending efficiency and transparency at prioritized sectors; and holding public forum on annual Cambodia budget preparation.

Reforms to Promote Growth and Inclusion

Promoting inclusion

Upgrading human capital (skills): Education and health remain as priority sectors in the government annual budget. The authorities are opening new vocational schools to narrow skill gaps.

Improving competitiveness

Improving the investment and business climate and inclusiveness: Authorities continue to implement the Industrial Development Plan (IDP) to boost investor confidence. MEF has developed an Entrepreneurship Promotion Fund (EPF) to support SMEs and local entrepreneurs.

Lower energy costs and better infrastructure: The government has been expanding the role of PPPs for infrastructure and energy. The government has also been developing programs for the reduction of electricity tariffs for all users nationwide for 2019 and 2020.

Logistics: The government has created an inter-ministerial National Logistics Council.

Safeguarding growth

Climate change: Authorities have included the impact from climate change in agriculture sector into the macroeconomic framework.

Appendix III. Capacity Development

A. Background

Recent capacity development (CD) activities have been well integrated with surveillance priorities. Cambodia is a heavy user of Fund CD. For example, based on available data on staff resources, Cambodia is the second most intensive user of technical assistance (TA) in the Asia and Pacific Region¹ Cambodia also benefits from several training resources.² Recent CD activity has focused on managing macro-financial risks, safeguarding fiscal sustainability, improving statistics and training.

- Managing macro-financial risks. Supporting efforts to address elevated macro-financial
 risks and following-up on the pilot exercise for enhanced macro-financial surveillance, NBC
 has received support from resident advisors on banking supervision (since late 1990s) and
 financial stability (since July 2018), Capacity Development Office of Thailand (CDOT) regional
 advisor on monetary policy and foreign exchange operations and several expert missions (on
 financial stability analysis, de-dollarization and credit risks). An on-going project assists
 strengthening the AML/CFT framework.
- Safeguarding fiscal sustainability. Reflecting the need to increase revenues and in
 preparation for the next phase of the authorities' revenue mobilization strategy (RMS),
 authorities have received TA on strengthening tax and customs administrations and tax
 policy. TA on debt management and addressing fiscal risks has supported infrastructure
 investment (recommended in the context of the infrastructure pilot exercise) financed
 through PPPs. Regular TA on public financial management (PFM) coordinated through a
 CDOT regional advisor has helped improve spending efficiency and fiscal governance. The
 MEF is also supported by a resident macroeconomic advisor.
- Improving statistics. Consistent with the need for broad improvements in data provision for surveillance, TA has focused on improving external, government, and monetary and financial sector statistics. TA to support the implementation of the enhanced General Data Dissemination System (e-GDDS) will promote data transparency and help create synergies between data dissemination and surveillance.
- **Training.** CDOT training to the core group on the macroeconomic framework has strengthened macroeconomic analysis and forecasting in support of policy formulation and monitoring. Interactions within the group have also encouraged inter-agency cooperation. Several TA and surveillance missions included a training element, for example, through a

¹ Cambodia has received about 165 TA missions over the period FY 2013–17.

² Training occurs primarily through three channels: (i) Training at the Singapore Training Institute (STI); (ii) Training through customized courses jointly with CDOT, either in Cambodia or other CLMV countries with participation of Cambodian officials; (iii) Training via workshops or peer-to-peer learning events – in collaboration with CDOT or APD -- in which Cambodian officials participate. Other training occurs in the context of TA and through participation in online courses.

recent workshop on macro-financial surveillance tools following the March 2018 staff visit.

B. Forward Looking Priorities

Forward looking priorities are informed by an evolving multi-year surveillance strategy and CD matrix. The multi-year strategy covers traditional macroeconomic issues, enhanced focus on macro-financial issues, topics that fall under Fund key commitments to support countries in achieving their Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), as well as emerging Fund issues (see Table 1).3

	Macro-Critical	Traction	Past	2018		2020	Ongoing and Planned CD
Traditional macroeconomic issues	HIGH	HIGH	~	~	~	~	✓
ssues for Further Integration							
1. Macro-financial Issues							
Capital Inflows and Spillovers	HIGH	HIGH	~	\rightarrow	~	\rightarrow	✓
Financial Stability, Deepening and Inclusion	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	~	~	~	\rightarrow	✓
Financial Cycle and Macro-implications	HIGH	HIGH	~	\rightarrow	~	\rightarrow	✓
Balance Sheet Analysis	N/A	N/A					
Macroprudential Policy	HIGH	MEDIUM	~	\rightarrow	~	\rightarrow	~
Financial Supervision and Regulation	HIGH	HIGH	~	\rightarrow	~	\rightarrow	~
Macroeconomic Shocks and Financial Stress	HIGH	HIGH	~	\rightarrow	~	\rightarrow	~
2. SDGs/FfD Commitments							
Domestic Revenue mobilization	HIGH	HIGH		~	\hookrightarrow	~	~
Infrastructure Investment	HIGH	HIGH	~	\rightarrow		~	
Building Policy space/economic resilience	MEDIUM-HIGH	MEDIUM		~	\rightarrow		~
Environmental sustainability, equity/inclusion	MEDIUM	MEDIUM		~	\hookrightarrow		
Fragile and Conflict-Affected States need	N/A	N/A					
Domestic financial market promotion	HIGH	HIGH	~	\rightarrow	~	\rightarrow	✓
Data enhancement	HIGH	MEDIUM				~	~
3. Emerging Themes							
Gender	LOW	LOW					
Income Inequality	MEDIUM-HIGH	MEDIUM		~	\rightarrow		
Climate Change	MEDIUM	LOW	~	\rightarrow			
Governance	HIGH	MEDIUM		~	~	\rightarrow	~

C. Managing Macro-Financial Risks

Activities should focus on supporting implementation of priority prudential policies identified in surveillance and hands-on support to strengthen capacity. Financial sector

³ These priorities have benefited from functional department inputs and discussion with the authorities (most recently during the March 2018 staff visit).

vulnerabilities remain elevated and high credit growth is increasingly driven by the real-estate sector. Recently introduced regulations on credit risk, liquidity management, and capital requirements are welcome, effective implementations of these, as well as further steps (as outline in the 2018 Article IV staff report), are needed to build financial sector resilience. This calls for continued support on multiple fronts, including close interactions through resident advisors. For example, the main objective of the banking supervision project -- implementing risk-based supervision -- has not yet been completed due to capacity constraints and further efforts are needed to strengthen financial stability analysis and reporting.

Priorities include:

- Regular activities, including through resident and regional advisors, should focus on the introduction of tools to ensure effective implementation and on improving staff capacity through hands-on training.
- Planned FSSR (with mission expected in March 2019) will provide an updated assessment of the financial sector and associated CD needs.⁴
- Planned bank resolution and deposit insurance TA will provide guidance on the main components of resolution and deposit insurance schemes, thus enhancing the crisis management framework. Further support may be needed to bring the regulation on related party lending and large exposures in line with international standards.
- Regular visits from the CDOT monetary and foreign exchange operations advisor will support liquidity management. There is a need to further enhance hands-on support to the NBC on concrete steps towards a more robust monetary policy strategy over the medium-term.
- On-going TA on the AML/CFT framework includes advice on risk-based approach to AML/CFT supervision, reforms to achieve compliance with international standards; development of core financial intelligence functions and capacity and drafting a new AML/CFT national strategy.
- Other priorities could include: i) authorities have been collecting data on the real estate
 sector and could benefit from support in designing appropriate real-estate sector specific
 prudential policies; ii) to facilitate surveillance focus on governance, further support may be
 needed to evaluate and strengthen financial sector oversight, as well as central bank
 governance and operations.

D. Safeguarding Fiscal Sustainability

Activities should focus on supporting revenue mobilization and debt management, improving fiscal governance and strengthening capacity. Fiscal pressures are increasing. A successor RMS for the period 2019–2023 is expected to focus on improving the efficiency and equity of existing tax policies, and strengthening transparency and accountability of tax administration. Debt management will face new challenges with the increase in potential contingent liabilities from PPPs and introduction of a domestic debt market. Introduction of a

⁴ FSAP was completed in 2010.

medium term fiscal and budget framework will help safeguard fiscal sustainability via improved budget planning and fiscal risk management. Improvements in multiple fronts are needed to strengthen fiscal governance.

Priorities include:

- Regular activities, including through the resident advisor, should focus on targeted policy advice, support for implementation of policy efforts (including introduction of the medium term fiscal and budget frameworks) and improving staff capacity through hands-on training.
- Planned TA on customs and PFM will continue to support improvements in revenue administration, spending efficiency and fiscal governance.
- As the authorities move towards implementing their new RMS, additional TA may be needed to improve tax administration and enhance equity in the design and implementation of tax policies (including through reforms in VAT and personal income taxes and higher property taxes).
- Support in debt management should focus on follow-up to past recommendations to address fiscal risks and development of the domestic debt market.
- Other priorities could include: i) to support surveillance focus on governance, further targeted TA may be needed to improve fiscal governance and transparency; ii) over the medium-term, as tax administration improvements are implemented, a formal diagnostic (such as tax administration diagnostic assessment tool (TADAT)) could help solidify gains in revenue mobilization, and lead to further improvements in governance and transparency; iii) authorities plans towards increasing diversification and ensuring an enabling environment for SME growth may call for support in reviewing the structure of tax incentives.

E. Statistics

Activities should continue to support broad-based improvements in data availability, quality and transparency. While data provision is broadly adequate for surveillance, and progress has been made, shortcomings in terms of coverage, accuracy and timeliness hamper analysis. For example, budget formulation and reporting are still based on the Tableau des opérations financières de l'État (TOFE) system (based on GFS 1986) as opposed to the GFS 2014 standard and national accounts statistics suffer from several weaknesses (including outdated base year, poor quality estimates of the GDP expenditure components, and lack of quarterly national accounts data).

Priorities include:

- Regular activities should focus on improving staff capacity in compiling and disseminating quality data to support policy analysis.
- Planned TA on external sector statistics, through CDOT regional advisor, will help improve the quality of data on trade in services and workers' remittances.

- Planned TA on national account statistics will review new sources and methods for the
 compilation of national accounts estimates and results of the comprehensive revision.
 Further activity should focus on supporting compiling and disseminating quality data on
 expenditure components of GDP and quarterly national accounts estimates.
- Planned TA on government financial statistics should expedite the shift towards compiling and reporting government data consistent with the GFS 2014 standard.
- Other priorities include: (i) given significant FDI inflows and increasing private external debt, improvements in data quality and availability are needed; (ii) balance sheet data, including for households is needed to analyze, inter alia, implications of strong credit growth on household indebtedness.

F. Training

Training needs are broad and there is room to better integrate surveillance and training activities. Demand for training, especially on macro-financial topics, is increasing. There may be room to meet increasing demand through regional and country specific training courses (e.g. the planned December 2018 Macroeconomic Diagnostics course and training on monetary policy frameworks) and through on-line learning. As capacity improves, the core group on the macroeconomic framework can shift further towards more support to policy formulation and scenario analysis, including interactions in the context of regular surveillance activities. Technical presentations, seminars and workshops during surveillance missions (such as the seminar on diversification in the context of the 2018 Article IV mission) will continue to include a capacity development focus.

Appendix IV. External Sector Assessment ¹

The external position of Cambodia in 2017 was moderately weaker than the level consistent with medium-term fundamentals and desirable policy settings. Policies to maintain adequate fiscal buffers, diversify export markets, and improve productivity and competitiveness would improve the external balance position. There is room to build reserves given high dollarization and elevated financial vulnerabilities.

Foreign asset and liability position. Cambodia's Net Foreign Asset (NFA) position was -43 percent of GDP by end-2017, placing it in the mid-range of its peers. It is almost entirely composed of FDI liabilities and as such does not pose a major concern for external sustainability at the moment. The NFA position is projected to increase over the medium term, in line with continuing FDI inflows. Based on the External Sustainability (ES) model, a current account (CA) norm that stabilizes the NFA at its end-2017 level implies 7.8 percent REER overvaluation, using EBA-lite elasticities.² Allowing NFA to reach 60 percent over the medium term would imply a smaller overvaluation of 5.1 percent.

Current account. The CA deficit reached 8 percent of GDP in 2017. The 2017 CA is assessed to be


moderately weaker than the CA norm of 5.2 percent, which is the CA level suggested by fundamentals and desirable policy settings using the CA model. The resulting CA gap of -2.9 percent of GDP translates into an REER overvaluation of 5.2 percent. Part of this gap reflects the fact that the CA model does not fully take into account the very young population in Cambodia, which implies a fundamentally lower level of savings and a higher CA deficit. If the model were to use total instead of old-age dependency ratio, the CA norm would be expected to show a larger deficit, resulting in a smaller CA gap.

	CA model	REER model	ES model ¹
Actual CA	-8.0		-7.3
CA norm	-5.2		-3.4
CA gap	-2.9		-3.9
REER gap ²	5.2	18.3	7.8

¹ Actual CA is the 2023 CA projection and CA norm is the CA that stabilizes NFA at its 2017 level of 42.9% of GDP.

Real effective exchange rate. The REER has appreciated 4 percent on average every year during the last 10 years,

reflecting U.S. dollar appreciation, buoyant economic growth, and capital inflows. In 2017, however, a weaker U.S. dollar and low relative inflation resulted in a slower rate of REER appreciation, while in



¹ Prepared by David Corvino.

² Implied over(+)/under(-) valuation.

² See "Methodological Note on EBA-Lite" (2016).

the first nine months of 2018 the REER depreciated by 2 percent on average. Looking forward, the projected strengthening of the U.S. dollar and inflation differential are likely to contribute to resuming REER appreciation. The REER model of EBA-lite suggests a significantly higher level of overvaluation compared to the other two methods. However, these results need to be taken with caution as the REER model results are sensitive to the time period used and does not fit well countries that have experienced rapid structural change, such as Cambodia.

Recommended policies. To improve the external balance position, policies should focus on maintaining adequate fiscal buffers, diversifying export markets, and improving productivity and competitiveness including through ensuring that minimum wage growth is in line with productivity improvements and improving infrastructure and education.

Capital flows. Cambodia continues to attract sizable capital inflows, with FDI inflows (12.1 percent of GDP in 2017) more than financing the CA deficit and contributing to the reserves buildup. About 35 percent of the FDI inflows are directed to the banking sector and about 35 percent are Chinese investments. There is a risk that FDI inflows are helping fuel rapid real-estate and banking sector growth and that Cambodia may experience smaller inflows as global financial conditions tighten.

FX intervention and reserve adequacy. The current exchange rate regime is based on keeping the

Riel broadly stable against the U.S. dollar and is appropriate for Cambodia given high dollarization and a concentration in U.S. dollar-invoiced exports. International reserves have increased in the last years to reach 8.8 billion U.S. dollars in end-2017 and 9.1 billion in June 2018. Traditional metrics and regional comparisons indicate that Cambodia's level of international reserves appear adequate.³ As of end-2017, reserves were equivalent to about 5 months of prospective imports of goods and services and 55 percent of broad money. Applying the Assessing Reserve Adequacy (ARA) tool for Credit-Constrained Economies with fixed exchange rate regime to

	Months of	Percent of	Percent of	Percent of
	Imports of	Exports of	Broad	GDP
	G&S ¹	G&S	Money	יעט
Indonesia	8	67	32	13
Malaysia	6	46	25	33
Philippines	8	97	33	26
Singapore	6	50	44	86
Thailand	9	65	37	44
Sri Lanka	3	39	18	8
Myanmar	7	77	33	18
Mongolia	5	44	53	27
Lao P.D.R.	1	17	10	6
Nepal	9	383	35	35
Vietnam	2	22	14	22
Average	6	82	30	29
Cambodia	5	54	55	40

Cambodia suggests an optimal level of reserves of about 3 ½ months of current imports of goods and services, which is below the current reserve level.⁴ However, gross official reserves covered only 60 percent of foreign currency deposits, which severely limits the central bank's lender of last resort capacity and is below regional comparators. Continued financial deepening in the context of near full dollarization suggests that foreign currency deposits are likely to continue to grow. This suggests that further accumulation of reserves beyond the level indicated by traditional reserve adequacy metrics, along with measures encouraging use of the Riel, are necessary to enhance resilience against financial sector vulnerabilities and rapid capital flow reversals.

³ See "Guidance Note on Assessing Reserve Adequacy and Related Considerations" (2016).

⁴ Cambodia is assessed to be "credit constrained" for the purposes of this exercise given that it does not regularly borrow from international capital markets – defined as at least one issuance of bonds per year in the last five years – and is not rated to be "investment grade."



INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

CAMBODIA

November 6, 2018

STAFF REPORT FOR THE 2018 ARTICLE IV CONSULTATION—INFORMATIONAL ANNEX

Prepared By

Asia and Pacific Department (In consultation with other departments)

CONTENTS

FUND RELATIONS	2
TOND ILLATIONS	2
RELATIONS WITH OTHER INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS	4
MAIN WEBSITES OF DATA	5
STATISTICAL ISSUES	6

FUND RELATIONS

(As of September 30, 2018)

Membership Status

Joined December 31, 1969; accepted the obligations under Article VIII, Sections 2, 3, and 4 on January 1, 2002.

General Resources Account:	SDR Million	Percent Quota
Quota	175.00	100.0
Fund holdings of currency (Holdings Rate)	153.13	87.50
Reserve Tranche Position	21.88	12.50

SDR Department:	SDR Million	Percent Allocation
Net cumulative allocation	83.92	100.00
Holdings	88.55	105.52

Outstanding Purchases and Loans: None

Latest Financial Arrangements:

			Amount Approved	Amount Drawn
<u>Type</u>	Date of Arrangement	Expiration Date	(SDR Million)	(SDR Million)
ECF 1/	Oct. 22, 1999	Feb. 28, 2003	58.50	58.50
ECF 1/	May 06, 1994	Aug. 31, 1997	84.00	42.00
1/ Formerly PRGF.				

Overdue Obligations and Projected Payments to the Fund ^{2/} (SDR Million; based on existing use of resources and present holdings of SDRs):

			Forthcomir	ng	
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>
Principal					
Charges/Interest		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

^{1/} When a member has overdue financial obligations outstanding for more than three months, the amount of such arrears will be shown in this section.

Multilateral Debt Relief Initiative

As part of the Multilateral Debt Relief Initiative (MDRI), the IMF Executive Board on January 5, 2006, approved relief on 100 percent of debt incurred by Cambodia to the IMF before January 1, 2005. This resulted in the forgiving of all of Cambodia's outstanding debt to the IMF, a total of SDR 56.8 million (about US\$82 million). The authorities intend to spend the resources over a number of years, initially on

rural irrigation projects. The National Bank of Cambodia (NBC) transferred the full MDRI proceeds to the Ministry of Economy and Finance effective March 2006.

Safeguards Assessment

A voluntary safeguards assessment of the NBC was completed in January 2010 at the request of the authorities, which updated the previous March 2004 voluntary assessment. The update assessment found that the NBC had taken steps to strengthen aspects of its safeguards framework; however, important recommendations proposed in 2004 were still outstanding, and some new risks had emerged in the area of external audit.

Exchange Rate Arrangement and Payments System

Cambodia's de facto exchange regime is classified as *other-managed arrangement*. The *de jure* regime is a *managed float*. The official exchange rate, which is expressed in Riels per U.S. dollar, applies to all official external transactions conducted by the central government and state enterprises, and is used for accounting purposes by the NBC. It is determined by the foreign exchange market, with the official rate adjusted to be within 1 percent of the market rate on a daily basis.

Cambodia accepted the obligations of Article VIII, Sections 2, 3, and 4 on January 1, 2002. Cambodia maintains an exchange system that is free of restrictions on the making of payments and transfers for current international transactions.

Article IV Consultation

Cambodia is on the standard 12-month Article IV consultation cycle. The last Article IV consultation discussions were held in Phnom Penh during July 12–25, 2017. The Executive Board concluded the Article IV consultation (IMF Country Report 17/325) on October 20, 2017.

Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP)

The joint IMF-World Bank FSAP mission took place in March 2010 and the assessment was completed in October 2010.

Resident Representative

Ms. Yong Sarah Zhou has been the IMF Resident Representative for Cambodia since July 2015.

RELATIONS WITH OTHER INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

World Bank: http://www.worldbank.org/en/country/cambodia

Asian Development Bank: https://www.adb.org/countries/cambodia/main

MAIN WEBSITES OF DATA

National Bank of Cambodia (www.nbc.org.kh)

Exchange rates
Balance of payments

Ministry of Economy and Finance (www.mef.gov.kh)

Government budget Fiscal revenue, expenditure, and financing Public Debt Bulletin

National Institute of Statistics (www.nis.gov.kh)

Consumer Price Index National accounts Population census Labor force survey Socioeconomic survey Household survey

National Summary Data Page

(https://www.nbc.org.kh/english/economic_research/NSDP.html)

STATISTICAL ISSUES

(September 2018)

I. Assessment of Data Adequacy for Surveillance

General. Data provision is broadly adequate for surveillance. Extensive technical assistance (TA) has been provided by the IMF, United Nations Development Program, Asian Development Bank (ADB), and World Bank, as well as by bilateral partners, leading to substantial capacity improvements. Despite the progress made in improving data statistics, several shortcomings in macroeconomic data still hamper timely and comprehensive analysis.

National accounts. Despite improvements in recent years, weaknesses remain in the quality, frequency and timeliness of national accounts data. The quality of GDP estimates remains hampered by the lack of comprehensive and reliable source data on a production and expenditure basis, in part due to resource constraints and weak data collection techniques. In November 2011, a TA mission assessed current methodologies used to compile national accounts estimates and provided support with the development of the quarterly national accounts estimates. In February 2014, another TA mission was conducted mainly to assist the National Institute of Statistics (NIS) processing the results of Cambodia's first ever 2011 Economic Census of Cambodia (EC2011) for improving GDP estimates. The purpose of the EC2011 was not particularly focused on national accounts, hence TA concluded further improvements are needed to convert EC2011 data into national accounts data following the System of National Accounts (SNA) concepts and definitions. In February 2015, a TA mission assessed current data compilation and dissemination practices and made recommendations on these issues. NIS is currently revising sources and methods for national accounts compilation, assisted by UNESCAP and SIDA.

Price statistics. The compilation of the consumer price index (CPI) suffers from outdated basket weights and insufficient geographic coverage. An updated CPI series was introduced starting in April 2012. Geographic coverage of the series has been expanded to include Phnom Penh plus five provinces. The authorities indicated a need for assistance with developing a producer price index (PPI), but no funds have been allocated to support the compilation. The authorities have planned to update the CPI series in 2019, using 2014 as base year, updating the basket, weight, and expanding the coverage.

Government finance statistics (GFS). The Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF) began implementing reforms to the government accounting system and budgetary nomenclature in 2007, based on the Government Finance Statistics Manual (GFSM) 2001, with the assistance of the IMF. To facilitate policy dialog, the authorities should consider shifting from the Tableau des opérations financières de l'État (TOFE) based on GFSM 1986 to a GFSM 2014-based budget formulation and reporting framework. Over the past three years, progress has been made, and the authorities submit annual and monthly GFSM 1986 and 2001 data for budgetary central government operations and GFSM 1986 for local government operations, both on a cash basis to the IMF's Statistics Department. Following the recommendations of the February – March 2017 GFS mission, the MEF has started compiling annual fiscal data for consolidated general government using GFSM 2014. Going forward, the priority is to improve data compilation, namely to compile financial balance sheet, and extend institutional coverage to include data for extrabudgetary units. Next steps include: (i) improving the CoA to allow automatic compilation of

GFSM 2014 summary statements and detailed tables in the Financial Management Information System (FMIS); (ii) mapping available source data on Public Administrative Establishment (PAE) to GFSM 2014 classifications; (iii) reporting to the World Bank-IMF Public Sector Debt Statistics (PSDS) database; (iv) document GFS compilation procedures and derivation tables, (v) continue to implement the action plan for the IMF Results Based Management Framework (RBM) for GFS and PSDS; and (vi) expanding institutional and data coverage to the general government as recommended during the GFS mission in March 12–16 2018.

Monetary and financial statistics. The NBC reports monetary data using the standardized reporting forms for the central bank and other depository corporations on a monthly basis. As a result of a TA mission conducted in 2017, the NBC also started reporting monetary data for other financial corporations (OFC). However, the reported data for the OFC sector covers only the specialized banks which constitutes around 1 percent of the financial sector. OFC data needs to be improved to include all OFCs (e.g. insurance companies, non-deposit taking MFIs and leasing companies).

Financial sector surveillance: The NBC reports to the IMF all core and several encouraged FSIs on a monthly frequency and with one quarter timeliness. Quality of FSIs could be further improved including through enhancements in sectoral allocation of assets and liabilities.

External sector statistics. Cambodia's quarterly balance of payments are compiled by the NBC according to the Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual, sixth edition (BPM6). Data present some relevant shortcomings in terms of coverage, accuracy, and timeliness. Balance of payments and IIP are compiled relying on estimation methods. Inward foreign direct investment (FDI), a relevant component of Cambodia's external transactions and positions present large gaps despite recent survey improvements. General Department of Customs and Excise (GDCE) produce monthly and quarterly trade data. Monthly data are regularly provided to NBC to be used to estimate balance of payments trade figures. Technical assistance has been provided under the Project on Improvement of External Sector Statistics, funded by the government of Japan. Recent progress has been made, especially in enhancing the International Transactions Reporting System (ITRS) and in conducting an DI (Direct Investment) survey. In September 2016, a TA mission on DI data collection has been conducted to assess legal framework for DI data collection and to provide guidance on determining survey population and sample survey frame. In March 2018, a TA from IMF CDOT assisted with improving data quality (coverage and estimation methods), particularly for travel, compensation of employees and workers' remittances. In September 2018, a TA from IMF CDOT developed a new compilation file for inward FDI, simplifying the work process and streamlining the compilation for inward FDI of banks, microfinance institutions, and nonfinancial corporations. Moreover, the mission assisted with improving the estimation of freight and passenger transport.

II. Data Standards and Quality

Cambodia participates in the IMF's General Data Dissemination System (e-GDDS). Its National Summary Data Page (NSDP) was launched on May 30, 2018.

(https://www.nbc.org.kh/english/economic_research/NSDP.html)

No data ROSC are available.

Cambodia: Table of Common Indicators Required for Surveillance

(As of October 26, 2018)

	Date of Latest Observation	Date Received	Frequency of	Frequency of	Frequency of Publication ¹
Exchange Rates	10/25/2018	10/26/2018	Data ¹	Reporting ¹	W
International Reserve Assets and Reserve Liabilities of the Monetary Authorities ²	8/2018	10/2018	M	M, 2 month	M
Reserve/Base Money	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 2 month	М
Broad Money	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 2 month	М
Central Bank Balance Sheet	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 2 month	М
Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Banking System	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 2 month	М
Interest Rates ³ (Loan and Deposit rates)	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 2 month	М
Consumer Price Index	9/2018	10/2018	М	M, 1-2 month lag	М
Revenue, Expenditure, Balance and Composition of Financing ⁴ —General	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 1-2 month lag	М
Revenue, Expenditure, Balance and Composition of Financing ⁴ —Central	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 1-2 month lag	М
Stocks of Central Government and Central Government-Guaranteed Debt ⁶	6/2018	9/2018	S	S, 3 month	S
External Current Account Balance	Q1/2018	Q3/2018	Q	Q, 3-5 month lag	Q
Exports and Imports of Goods and Services	8/2018	10/2018	М	M, 2 month	М
GDP/GNP	2017	6/2018	А	A, 6 month	А
Gross External Debt	6/2018	9/2018	Q	Q, 3 month	Q
International Investment Position	Q2/2018	10/2018	Q	Q, 4 month lag	Q

¹ Daily (D), Weekly (W), Monthly (M), Quarterly (Q), Semi-annual (S), Annually (A), Irregular (I), and Not Available (N/A).

² Includes reserve assets pledged or otherwise encumbered as well as net derivative positions.

³ Deposit and loan rates.

⁴ Foreign, domestic bank, and domestic nonbank financing.

⁵ The general government consists of the central government (budgetary funds, extra budgetary funds, and social security funds) and state and local governments.

⁶ Including currency and maturity composition.



INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

CAMBODIA

November 6, 2018

STAFF REPORT FOR THE 2018 ARTICLE IV CONSULTATION—DEBT SUSTAINABILITY ANALYSIS

Approved By
Markus Rodlauer and Yan
Sun (IMF), and John
Panzer (IDA)

Prepared by Staff of the International Monetary Fund and the International Development Association.

Joint Bank-F	Cambodia und Debt Sustainability Analysis
Risk of external debt distress	Low
Overall risk of debt distress	Low
Granularity in the risk rating	Not Applicable
Application of judgment	No

The Debt Sustainability Analysis (DSA) using the revised joint IMF/WB Debt Sustainability Framework for Low Income Countries (LIC-DSF) shows that Cambodia remains at low risk of external debt distress. All debt burden indicators are projected to remain under their indicative thresholds under the baseline and the shock scenarios. While the overall risk of debt distress is low, the analysis indicates that debt sustainability is vulnerable to export and growth shocks, and the materialization of contingent liabilities. Furthermore, the public and publicly guaranteed (PPG) debt-to-GDP ratio is projected to rise by more than 10 percentage points during the next decade due to projected large fiscal deficits in the medium term. These findings reinforce the importance of implementing reforms to increase the economy's resilience to external shocks and encourage export and economic diversification. Efforts to mobilize fiscal revenue, to strengthen the Public Investment Management framework (including for PPPs) and further enhance monitoring of PPP and financial sector risks, as well as introduction of a debt-based fiscal anchor, are necessary to ensure debt sustainability in the medium term.

¹ Cambodia's Composite Indicator (CI) index, which has been calculated based on the October 2018 WEO and 2017 CPIA update indicates that the county's debt-carrying capacity is medium. This classification remains unchanged from the previous DSA framework (grounded in CPIA readings), but thresholds are updated to those of the revised DSF. For the PV of PPG external debt, thresholds are increased from 30 to 40% (GDP) and from 100 to 180% (exports); external debt service-to exports and to revenue are unchanged at 15% and 18% respectively.

PUBLIC DEBT COVERAGE

1. The DSA covers the central government debt as well as the debt guaranteed by the central government to state-owned enterprises (SOEs). By law, state and local governments and the central bank do not engage in external borrowing, and SOEs do not contract non-guaranteed external loans. There are no extra budgetary funds and the National Social Security Fund is funded by deposits and does not constitute a liability for the general government.



The following contingent liabilities are included in the contingent liability stress test: PPP (6.3 percent of GDP) and financial market (5 percent of GDP). ²

1 The country's coverage of public debt	The central government, gove	rnment-guarar	iteed debt
		Used for the	
	Default	analysis	Reasons for deviations from the default settings
2 Other elements of the general government not captured in 1.	0 percent of GDP	0.0	
3 SoE's debt (guaranteed and not guaranteed by the government) 1/	2 percent of GDP	0.0	Not allowed by law.
4 PPP	35 percent of PPP stock	6.3	
5 Financial Market	5 percent of GDP	5.0	
Total (2+3+4+5) (in percent of GDP)		11.3	
1/ The default shock of 2% of GDP will be triggered for countries whose government-g	guaranteed debt is not fully captured u	inder the count	ry's public debt definition (1.). If it is
already included in the government debt (1.) and risks associated with SoE's debt not g	guaranteed by the government is asset	ssed to be negl	igible, a country team may reduce this to
0%.		_	

BACKGROUND ON DEBT

2. Cambodia's external public debt, including arrears, amounted to US\$6.7 billion (30.3 percent of GDP) at end-2017. The external debt-to-GDP ratio has remained relatively flat during the last decade, increasing by 0.2 percentage points on average per year. The composition of the debt stock is 70 percent bilateral debt—more than half of it owed to China—with the remaining 30 percent multilateral debt.

External debt has been accrued on concessional terms, and the PV of debt-to-GDP ratio is calculated at 23.6 percent for end-2017. The debt stock includes legacy arrears to the Russian Federation and the United States of about 3 percent of GDP.³ The status of negotiations of these arrears remains unchanged compared to the last

Can	nbodia: External	Public Debt, 2017	7
	In million of	In percent of	In percent of
	U.S. dollars	GDP	external debt
Total	6668.6	30.3	100
Multilateral	1951.0	9.0	29.3
Bilateral	4,717.6	21.3	70.7
of which: China	3,209.6	14.5	48.1
Sources: Cambodia au	uthorities; and IMF	estimates.	

DSA and this analysis continues to assume no debt restructuring.

² The PPP stock is estimated using IMF's Investment and Capital Stock Dataset.

³ Based on Cambodia Public Debt Statistical Bulletin (see Table 13 "Old Debt Under Negotiation"). Data reflects principal amounts, i.e. excluding any accumulated interest. The arrears relate to borrowing prior to 1993.

- **3. Public domestic debt is currently negligible.** The stock as of end-2017 was US\$2.8 million. To support financial market development, the government is preparing to issue, for the first time, local-currency government bonds over the next few years.
- 4. The PPP framework continues to be strengthened while the stock of PPP has increased. With expected diminished access to concessional financing and slow progress in developing domestic debt markets, PPPs have been identified as a way to finance necessary investment projects. The PPP stock grew more than twofold between 2010 and 2015, when it was estimated at 17 percent of GDP. There is no recent estimation of the Cambodian PPP stock. The authorities have established a roadmap to have a full set of PPP mechanisms by 2020, including the legal framework and system for risk assessment. Technical assistance provided by the IMF, the World Bank and ADB has focused on developing the Ministry of Economy and Finance's capacity to analyze fiscal risks from PPPs, integrating PPP risks in the assessment of fiscal sustainability and strengthening the legal, regulatory and institutional framework of PPP management. A central PPP unit has been established under the Ministry and the authorities adopted an annual ceiling at 4 percent of GDP. A new PPP law is being drafted with the support of the ADB.
- **5. Private external debt.** The stock of private external debt in Cambodia is not published by the authorities.⁴ Risks emerging from excessive external borrowing by the private sector could increase the government's exposure to contingent liabilities. For this analysis, the default magnitude of the contingent liability stress test is used, amounting to 11.3 percent of GDP.

BACKGROUND ON MACRO FORECASTS

- **6. Growth and inflation.** Economic activity is expected to remain strong, driven by construction, tourism and exports. GDP growth is projected at 7½ percent in 2018 and expected to decline towards its potential of 6 percent over the medium term. Inflation was stable at 2.9 percent in 2017 and is expected to decrease slightly to 2.5 percent in 2018, in part owing to measures to contain fuel and food price increases.
- **7. External sector.** The current account deficit was 8 percent of GDP in 2017. On the back of strong FDI inflows and robust remittances, foreign reserves reached US\$8.8 billion (5.1 months of imports). The current account deficit is expected to widen in 2018 due increased imports of construction material and to narrow over the medium as economic activity becomes driven more by exports and tourism. In line with authorities' projections, external debt disbursements are expected to average 3.9 percent of GDP annually over 2018–23. External borrowing is projected to remain largely concessional over the next decade and external debt is projected to reach 34.1 percent of GDP by 2023 and to stabilize at 38 percent of GDP in 2028.
- **8. Fiscal.** The fiscal deficit narrowed to 1.1 percent of GDP in 2017, well below the budget deficit target of 3.9 percent, due to significant tax revenue overperformance. Consequently, the level of government deposits rose to 12.6 percent of GDP by end-2017. The fiscal deficit is projected to increase to about 2.2 percent of GDP in 2018 due a rising public sector wage bill, higher capital and social spending.

⁴ According to CEIC data, sourced from the NBC, Cambodia's total external debt amounted to US\$11.0 billion in 2017. Although the database does not publish the public/private breakdown, private debt can be estimated at about US\$4.3 billion (19.4 percent of GDP) by deducting PPG external debt.

The fiscal deficit is projected to widen further over the medium-term, to 3.7 percent of GDP by 2023, as revenue growth moderates—absent of tax policy reforms—while capital and social expenditures are kept at a higher level guided by the government's National Social Protection Policy Framework (2016–2025).

- **9. Domestic debt.** As Cambodia's financial sector continues to develop and access to concessional financing becomes more limited, it is expected that the government will start issuing domestic government bonds that will provide additional fiscal financing. Hence, this analysis assumes that the government will issue long-term domestic bonds starting in 2021 under technical assistance support by the World Bank. The annual issuance of domestic bonds is projected to increase gradually, from 0.4 percent of GDP in 2021 to 1 percent in 2038. Domestic PPG debt is expected to increase from 0 to 4.1 percent of GDP by 2038.
- **10. Realism of the baseline (Figure 4).** Cross-country experience suggests that the baseline fiscal adjustment is feasible. The maximum adjustment over the next 3-year period is a 1.6 percentage point increase in the primary deficit-to-GDP ratio. Given the forecasted fiscal adjustment, the projected growth path is in line with a fiscal multiplier of 0.2. Finally, the contribution of public capital to GDP growth in the baseline scenario is in line with historical values.

COUNTRY CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION OF SCENARIO STRESS TESTS

11. Country classification. The revised LIC_DSF determines the debt sustainability thresholds by calculating a *composite indicator* (CI). In the previous DSF, debt-carrying capacity was wholly determined by the World Bank CPIA score. The CI captures the impact of the different factors through a weighted average of the country's real GDP growth, remittances, international reserves, world growth and the CPIA score. 5 The calculation of the CI is based on 10-year averages of the variables, across 5 years of historical data and 5 years of projections. For Cambodia, the CI score based on the 2018 October WEO data, corresponds to a *medium* rating. Therefore, while the previous rating was strong (using the

	Debt Carrying Capacity	
Final	Classification based on current vintage	Classification based on the previous vintage
Medium	Medium 3.01	Strong 3.06
	Applicable thresholds	
EXTERNAL debt burden	thresholds	
PV of debt in % of		
Exports	180	
GDP	40	
Debt service in % of		
Exports	15	
Revenue	18	
TOTAL public debt benc	hmark	
PV of total public debt in percent of GDP	55	

2018 April WEO data), the final classification is kept at medium. 6 The corresponding thresholds are noted in the table below. PV of debt-to-exports threshold was increased compared to the previous DSF, from 150 to

⁵ The details on the methodology can be found in the new LIC-DSF guidance note: https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/Policy-Papers/Issues/2018/02/14/pp122617guidance-note-on-lic-dsf

⁶ Country classification are revised if two consecutive signals suggest an upgrade or downgrade.

180 percent. Debt service-to-exports and to-revenue thresholds were lowered respectively from 20 to 15 percent and from 20 to 18 percent.

EXTERNAL AND PUBLIC SUSTAINABILITY

- 12. The external DSA shows that Cambodia's risk of debt distress is low. Under the baseline scenario, the PV of debt ratios never breach their respective thresholds and are projected to remain flat over the projection period. Moreover, the debt service-to-exports and debt service-to-revenue ratios remain well below the thresholds throughout the projection period, partly due to the concessional nature of most debt (Figure 1).
- 13. Stress tests show that Cambodia's external debt sustainability is most vulnerable to export shocks. For all four indicators, the export shock is identified as the most extreme one, leading to a rise of the ratios larger than for shocks to real growth, fiscal primary balance, exchange rate depreciation and external flows. Under the export scenario, the PV of debt-to-GDP ratio would increase quickly from 23.3 percent in 2018 to 36 percent in 2020, but would remain under the 40 percent threshold.
- **14.** The overall risk of public debt distress is low. Under the baseline, total PPG debt is projected to rise from 30.3 percent of GDP in 2017 to 41.4 percent in 2038 (Table 2). The PV of total debt-to-GDP ratio is expected to increase to 30.2 percent in 2028, as the share of concessional external debt to total debt decreases, but to remain well below the 55 percent benchmark (Figure 2). Debt-to-revenue and debt service-to-revenue ratios are also expected to increase over the next decade.
- **15. PPG debt is vulnerable to growth and contingent liabilities shocks.** A growth shock (calibrated at 1 standard deviation of historical performance) in 2019-20 would lead to a rise of the PV of total debt-to-GDP ratio to 45 percent in 2028. The realization of contingent liabilities related to PPPs and financial stress could increase the debt service-to-revenue ratio from 5 percent in 2018 to 15 in 2021.

CONCLUSION

16. Cambodia remains at low risk of external and overall debt distress. However, the total PPG debt-to-GDP ratio is expected to rise by more than 10 percentage points during the next decade due to projected increasing fiscal deficits between 2018 and 2023. To preserve public debt sustainability in the medium-term, the government should establish a fiscal anchor by introducing a debt ceiling at 40 percent of GDP and develop a medium-term budgetary framework. The baseline projections and the standard stress tests show increasing risks to the external and public debt outlooks. Stress tests indicate that Cambodia's debt sustainability remains vulnerable to shocks to exports, economic growth and contingent liabilities. This reinforces the importance of preserving macroeconomic stability and diversifying the economy and exports to increase resilience to external shocks, improving spending efficiency and the successful implementation of the revenue mobilization strategy. Further efforts to implement sound PIM and PPP frameworks and strengthen analysis of PPP risks are needed. Finally, the authorities should focus on closing data gaps, in particular regarding external private debt and the PPP stock.

42.5

42.0

41.5

Table 1. Cambodia: Debt Sustainability Framework, Baseline Scenario, 2015–2038

(In percent of GDP, unless otherwise indicated)

							, , ,		2.00			,	
	4	ctual				(in percent		ess otherwis ections	e indicated)			Ave	rage 8/
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2028	2038	Historical	Projections
External debt (nominal) 1/	31.2	29.1	30.3	30.1	30.3	30.4	31.1	32.4	34.1	38.2	37.3	30.0	33.9
of which: public and publicly guaranteed (PPG)	31.2	29.1	30.3	30.1	30.3	30.4	31.1	32.4	34.1	38.2	37.3	30.0	33.9
Change in external debt	-0.7	-2.0	1.2	-0.1	0.2	0.1	0.7	1.3	1.7	0.5	-0.3		
Identified net debt-creating flows	-3.4	-6.6	-6.8	-4.6	-4.1	-3.6	-3.9	-3.6	-3.8	-3.1	-2.2	-5.5	-3.7
Non-interest current account deficit	8.4	8.1	7.7	9.8	9.6	9.1	8.1	7.7	7.0	5.6	1.9	8.1	7.4
Deficit in balance of goods and services	12.4	11.2	10.8	11.1	10.9	10.0	8.9	8.6	7.9	6.5	4.4	12.3	8.3
Exports	73.5	71.4	71.5	74.9	76.8	77.4	77.7	78.0	78.3	74.7	67.0		
Imports	85.9	82.6	82.3	86.0	87.6	87.3	86.6	86.6	86.2	81.2	71.5		
Net current transfers (negative = inflow)	-9.0	-7.8	-7.7	-7.0	-6.9	-6.7	-6.7	-6.8	-6.9	-6.7	-6.5	-8.7	-6.8
of which: official	-2.9	-2.5	-2.4	-1.8	-1.6	-1.2	-1.1	-1.0	-1.0	-0.8	-0.6		
Other current account flows (negative = net inflow)	5.1	4.6	4.6	5.6	5.6	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.7	3.9	4.5	5.9
Net FDI (negative = inflow)	-9.6	-12.0	-12.1	-12.7	-12.1	-11.1	-10.5	-9.9	-9.3	-7.1	-2.6	-11.2	-9.6
Endogenous debt dynamics 2/	-2.1	-2.7	-2.4	-1.7	-1.6	-1.5	-1.5	-1.4	-1.5	-1.6	-1.6		
Contribution from nominal interest rate	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5		
Contribution from real GDP growth	-2.1	-1.9	-1.8	-2.0	-1.9	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8	-1.8	-2.1	-2.1		
Contribution from price and exchange rate changes	-0.4	-1.1	-0.9	***									
Residual 3/	2.6	4.6	7.9	4.4	4.3	3.6	4.6	4.9	5.5	3.7	1.9	5.6	4.4
of which: exceptional financing	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Sustainability indicators													
PV of PPG external debt-to-GDP ratio			23.6	23.3	23.2	23.0	23.2	23.8	24.8	27.5	27.2		
PV of PPG external debt-to-exports ratio			33.0	31.1	30.2	29.7	29.9	30.6	31.6	36.8	40.6		
PPG debt service-to-exports ratio	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.7	2.8		
PPG debt service-to-revenue ratio	3.3	5.1	4.8	5.3	5.5	6.2	6.2	6.4	6.3	6.0	8.4		
Gross external financing need (Million of U.S. dollars)	-116.6	-595.4	-751.9	-444.1	-359.9	-219.4	-351.3	-289.9	-380.0	-106.5	1532.8		
Key macroeconomic assumptions													
Real GDP growth (in percent)	7.0	6.9	7.0	7.3	7.0	6.7	6.5	6.2	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.3	6.4
GDP deflator in US dollar terms (change in percent)	1.1	3.7	3.3	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.4	3.0	3.5	2.0
Effective interest rate (percent) 4/	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.3
Growth of exports of G&S (US dollar terms, in percent)	10.9	7.6	10.7	14.3	11.6	9.6	8.9	8.6	8.4	7.5	8.0	13.4	9.0
Growth of imports of G&S (US dollar terms, in percent)	10.1	6.6	10.2	14.0	11.0	8.3	7.6	8.2	7.5	7.4	7.7	13.5	8.4
Grant element of new public sector borrowing (in percent)				41.5	41.6	41.8	41.6	41.3	40.8	39.0	34.4		40.6
Government revenues (excluding grants, in percent of GDP)	17.7	18.4	20.2	20.4	20.7	20.5	20.5	20.4	20.3	21.1	22.3	15.1	20.6
Aid flows (in Million of US dollars) 5/	350.4	495.6	420.0	1091.4	1205.6	1198.3	1424.7	1700.5	1934.3	2305.5	2646.6		
Grant-equivalent financing (in percent of GDP) 6/				2.8	2.7	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	1.9	1.5		2.3
Grant-equivalent financing (in percent of external financing) 6/				61.0	57.5	54.3	50.9	48.3	46.3	41.5	34.8		48.6
Nominal GDP (Million of US dollars)	18,083	20,043	22,147	24,171	26,324	28,609	31,040	33,591	36,285	54,354	127,969		
Nominal dollar GDP growth	8.3	10.8	10.5	9.1	8.9	8.7	8.5	8.2	8.0	8.6	9.2	10.0	8.5
Memorandum items:													
PV of external debt 7/			23.6	23.3	23.2	23.0	23.2	23.8	24.8	27.5	27.2		
In percent of exports			33.0	31.1	30.2	29.7	29.9	30.6	31.6	36.8	40.6		
Total external debt service-to-exports ratio	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.7	2.8		
PV of PPG external debt (in Million of US dollars)			5221.1	5631.3	6103.5	6579.0	7205.7	8005.8	8985.6	14934.2	34836.8		
(PVt-PVt-1)/GDPt-1 (in percent)				4.0	2.0	4.0	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3		
(FVC-FVC-1)/GDFC-1 (III percent)				1.9 9.9	2.0 9.4	1.8 9.0	2.2 7.4	2.6 6.4	2.9 5.3	2.8 5.0	2.3		


Definition of external/domestic debt
Is there a material difference between the two criteria?

Debt Accumulation

3.5

3.0

2.5



Sources: Country authorities; and staff estimates and projections.

^{1/} Includes public sector external debt.

 $[\]frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}$

^{3/} Includes exceptional financing (i.e., changes in arrears and debt relief); changes in gross foreign assets; and valuation adjustments. For projections also includes contribution from price and exchange rate changes.

^{4/} Current-year interest payments divided by previous period debt stock.

^{5/} Defined as grants, concessional loans, and debt relief.

^{6/} Grant-equivalent financing includes grants provided directly to the government and through new borrowing (difference between the face value and the PV of new debt).

^{7/} Assumes that PV of private sector debt is equivalent to its face value.

^{8/} Historical averages are generally derived over the past 10 years, subject to data availability, whereas projections averages are over the first year of projection and the next 10 years.

 Table 2. Cambodia: Public Sector Debt Sustainability Framework, Baseline Scenario, 2015–2038

(In percent of GDP, unless otherwise indicated)

Projections

		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					,						ciuge o,
_													
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2028	2038	Historical	Projections
Public sector debt 1/	31.	2 29.1	30.3	30.2	30.3	30.4	31.4	33.1	35.2	40.8	41.4	30.0	35.0
of which: external debt	31.	2 29.1	30.3	30.1	30.3	30.4	31.1	32.4	34.1	38.2	37.3	30.0	33.9
Change in public sector debt	-0.	7 -2.0	1.2	-0.1	0.2	0.1	1.1	1.7	2.1	0.7	-0.1		
Identified debt-creating flows	-1.		-1.4	-0.3	-0.4	0.3	0.6	1.0	1.2	0.7	-0.1	-0.4	0.5
Primary deficit	1.0		0.7	1.9	1.7	2.4	2.6	3.0	3.3	3.3	2.7	1.9	2.8
Revenue and grants	19.		22.1	22.0	21.9	21.5	21.2	21.0	20.8	21.3	22.4	18.3	21.3
of which: grants	1.5		1.9	1.5	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.0		
Primary (noninterest) expenditure	20.		22.9	23.8	23.6	23.8	23.8	24.0	24.1	24.6	25.1	20.2	24.0
Automatic debt dynamics	-2.	5 -2.7	-2.2	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1	-2.0	-2.0	-2.1	-2.6	-2.8		
Contribution from interest rate/growth differential	-2.	3 -1.9	-1.9	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1	-2.0	-2.0	-2.1	-2.5	-2.5		
of which: contribution from average real interest rate	-0.	2 0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1		
of which: contribution from real GDP growth	-2.	1 -2.0	-1.9	-2.0	-2.0	-1.9	-1.9	-1.8	-1.9	-2.3	-2.4		
Contribution from real exchange rate depreciation	-0.	2 -0.8	-0.3										
Other identified debt-creating flows	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Privatization receipts (negative)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Recognition of contingent liabilities (e.g., bank recapitalization)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Debt relief (HIPC and other)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Other debt creating or reducing flow (please specify)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Residual	0.	7 -0.3	2.6	0.2	0.6	-0.2	0.5	0.7	0.9	-0.1	-0.3	0.5	0.4
Sustainability indicators													
PV of public debt-to-GDP ratio 2/			23.7	23.4	23.3	23.1	23.7	24.7	26.0	30.2	31.5		
PV of public debt-to-revenue and grants ratio			107.3	106.7	106.4	107.8	111.7	117.5	124.6	141.8	140.8		
Debt service-to-revenue and grants ratio 3/	2.	9 4.5	4.3	4.9	5.2	5.9	6.0	6.3	6.3	7.4	10.6		
Gross financing need 4/	1.	3 1.6	1.7	2.9	2.8	3.6	3.9	4.3	4.6	4.8	5.1		
Key macroeconomic and fiscal assumptions													
Real GDP growth (in percent)	7.	0 6.9	7.0	7.3	7.0	6.7	6.5	6.2	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.3	6.4
Average nominal interest rate on external debt (in percent)	1.	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.3
Average real interest rate on domestic debt (in percent)	-0.	7 -2.2	-2.1	-2.8	-2.8	-2.9	-2.9	1.8	1.9	1.4	0.8	-2.3	0.0
Real exchange rate depreciation (in percent, + indicates depreciation)	-0.	5 -2.7	-1.0									-1.5	
Inflation rate (GDP deflator, in percent)	1.	7 3.5	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.1	3.5	3.1
Growth of real primary spending (deflated by GDP deflator, in percent)	3.	3 13.2	11.9	11.8	6.0	7.7	6.6	7.1	6.6	7.4	6.3	15.8	7.1
Primary deficit that stabilizes the debt-to-GDP ratio 5/	1.3		-0.4	2.0	1.5	2.3	1.6	1.3	1.2	2.6	2.8	1.5	1.8
PV of contingent liabilities (not included in public sector debt)	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		




Average 6/



of which: local-currency denominated

of which: foreign-currency denominated




Sources: Country authorities; and staff estimates and projections.

- 1/ Coverage of debt: The central government, government-guaranteed debt. Definition of external debt is Currency-based.
- 2/ The underlying PV of external debt-to-GDP ratio under the public DSA differs from the external DSA with the size of differences depending on exchange rates projections.
- 3/ Debt service is defined as the sum of interest and amortization of medium and long-term, and short-term debt.
- 4/ Gross financing need is defined as the primary deficit plus debt service plus the stock of short-term debt at the end of the last period and other debt creating/reducing flows.

Actual

- 5/ Defined as a primary deficit minus a change in the public debt-to-GDP ratio ((-): a primary surplus), which would stabilizes the debt ratio only in the year in question.
- 6/ Historical averages are generally derived over the past 10 years, subject to data availability, whereas projections averages are over the first year of projection and the next 10 years.



Customization of De	efault S	Settings
	Size	Interactions
Tailored Tests	_	
Combined CLs	Yes	
Natural Disasters	n.a.	n.a.
racara Disascers		
Commodity Prices 2/	n.a.	n.a.

Note: "Yes" indicates any change to the size or interactions of the default settings for the stress tests. "n.a." indicates that the stress test does not apply.

Borrowing Assumptions for Stress T	ests*	
	Default	User defined
Shares of marginal debt		
External PPG MLT debt	100%	
Terms of marginal debt		
Avg. nominal interest rate on new borrowing in USD	1.2%	1.2%
USD Discount rate	5.0%	5.0%
Avg. maturity (incl. grace period)	25	25
Avg. grace period	6	6

* Note: All the additional financing needs generated by the shocks under the stress tests are assumed to be covered by PPG external MLT debt in the external DSA. Default terms of marginal debt are based on baseline 10-year projections.

Sources: Country authorities; and staff estimates and projections.

1/ The most extreme stress test is the test that yields the highest ratio in or before 2028. Stress tests with one-off breaches are also presented (if any), while these one-off breaches are deemed away for mechanical signals. When a stress test with a one-off breach happens to be the most exterme shock even after disregarding the one-off breach, only that stress test (with a one-off breach) would be presented.

2/ The magnitude of shocks used for the commodity price shock stress test are based on the commodity prices outlook prepared by the IMF research department.




Figure 2. Cambodia: Indicators of Public Debt Under Alternative Scenarios, 2018–2028 1/

Borrowing Assumptions for Stress Tests*	Default	User defined
Shares of marginal debt		
External PPG medium and long-term	93%	83%
Domestic medium and long-term	7%	17%
Domestic short-term	0%	0%
Terms of marginal debt		
External MLT debt		
Avg. nominal interest rate on new borrowing in USD	1.2%	2.7%
Avg. maturity (incl. grace period)	25	25
Avg. grace period	6	6
Domestic MLT debt		
Avg. real interest rate on new borrowing	1.8%	2.0%
Avg. maturity (incl. grace period)	7	3
Avg. grace period	6	1
Domestic short-term debt		
Avg. real interest rate	0%	3.0%

* Note: The public DSA allows for domestic financing to cover the additional financing needs generated by the shocks under the stress tests in the public DSA. Default terms of marginal debt are based on baseline 10-year projections.

Sources: Country authorities; and staff estimates and projections.

1/ The most extreme stress test is the test that yields the highest ratio in or before 2028. The stress test with a one-off breach is also presented (if any), while the one-off breach is deemed away for mechanical signals. When a stress test with a one-off breach happens to be the most exterme shock even after disregarding the one-off breach, only that stress test (with a one-off breach) would be presented.

Table 3. Cambodia Sensitivity Analysis for Key Indicators of Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt, 2018–2028

(In Percent)

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	202
	2010										
	PV of debt-to	GDP ratio)								
Baseline	23.3	23.2	23.0	23.2	23.8	24.8	25.5	26.0	26.6	27.1	27.
A. Alternative Scenarios	22.2	22.6	24.6	24.2	24.0	24.2	24.2	24.0	20.0	20.4	20
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/	23.3	22.6	21.6	21.2	21.0	21.2	21.2	21.0	20.8	20.4	20
3. Bound Tests											
B1. Real GDP growth	23.3	24.3	25.1	25.3	26.0	27.0	27.8	28.4	29.1	29.5	30
B2. Primary balance	23.3	25.0	26.1	26.4	27.1	28.0	28.6	29.0	29.5	29.8	30
B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/	23.3 23.3	27.5 25.8	35.9 27.5	35.6 27.5	35.8 28.0	36.3 28.8	36.6 29.3	36.7 29.7	36.7 30.1	36.1 30.2	35 30
B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation	23.3	29.2	25.8	26.2	27.1	28.3	29.3	30.1	31.0	31.8	32
B6. Combination of B1-B5	23.3	29.7	30.5	30.6	31.1	31.9	32.5	33.0	33.2	33.3	33
C. Tailored Tests											
C1. Combined contingent liabilities	23.3	30.3	29.8	30.8	31.1	32.0	32.4	32.7	33.1	33.2	33
C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n. n.
C4. Market Financing	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.
Threshold	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	4
									-10		
	PV of debt-to-ex	-		•••				•••			
Baseline	31.1	30.2	29.7	29.9	30.6	31.6	32.8	33.9	35.0	35.9	36.
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/	31.1	29.5	27.9	27.2	26.9	27.1	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.1	26
,	31.1										
B. Bound Tests											
B1. Real GDP growth	31.1	30.2	29.7	29.9	30.6	31.6	32.8	33.9	35.0	35.9	36
B2. Primary balance	31.1	32.5	33.7	34.0	34.8	35.7	36.9	37.8	38.7	39.5	40
B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/	31.1 31.1	39.8 33.6	56.9 35.5	56.2 35.4	56.2 35.9	56.8 36.7	57.8 37.8	58.5 38.7	59.0 39.5	58.7 40.0	58 40
B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation	31.1	30.2	26.4	26.7	27.5	28.7	30.0	31.1	32.3	33.5	34
B6. Combination of B1-B5	31.1	37.8	35.9	39.5	40.0	40.9	42.1	43.1	43.8	44.3	44
C. Tailored Tests											
C1. Combined contingent liabilities	31.1	39.5	38.6	39.6	39.9	40.8	41.8	42.6	43.4	44.1	44
C2. Natural disaster	n.a. n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.
C3. Commodity price C4. Market Financing	n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a.	n. n.
Threshold	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	18
Tineshold	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
	Debt service-to-e	xports ra	itio								
Baseline	Debt service-to-e	exports ra	itio 1.6	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.
A. Alternative Scenarios	1.4	1.5	1.6								
		-		1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.7 1.5	1.5	1.6	1. 1.
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/	1.4	1.5	1.6								
A. Alternative Scenarios	1.4	1.5	1.6								1
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7	1.6 1.6 1.8	1.6 1.7 1.8	1.5 1.6 1.8	1.4 1.6 1.7	1.5 1.7 1.8	1.3 1.5 1.7	1.3 1.6 1.9	1 1 2
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2	1 1 2 3
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0	1 1 2 3 2
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2	1 1 2 3 2 1
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4	1 1 2 3 2 1
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4	1 2 3 2 1 2
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C1. Canilored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a.	1 2 3 2 1 2
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 n.a.	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a.	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1 2 3 2 1 2 1 n.
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price C4. Market Financing	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a.	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1 2 3 2 1 2 1 n. n.
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price C4. Market Financing	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 n.a.	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1 2 3 2 1 2 1 n.
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. 1.5	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1 2 3 2 1 2 1 n.
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price C4. Market Financing	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. 1.5	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1 1 2 3 2 1 2 1 1 n. n.
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price C4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.5 Debt service-to-r 5.3	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15	1. 2. 3. 2. 1. 2. 1. n. n. 1.
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C.1. Combination of B1-B5 C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price C4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 Debt service-to-re	1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	1.6 1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. 1.5	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a.	1 1 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.5 Debt service-to-r 5.3	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15	1 1 2 3 3 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster C3. Commodity price C4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.5 Debt service-to-r 5.3	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15	1 1 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 n.n.n.n.
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 5.5 1.6 5.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. 1.a. 6.2 6.0	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.9	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15 6.4 6.0	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.3	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.1	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6	1 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 n.n. n. n. 1 1 6 6 4 4 6 6 7 7
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C.7. Cailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 Debt service-to-re 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 5.5 1.6 5.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. 1.a. 1.b. 6.2 6.0	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.9	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.0 1.1 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 5.7	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.1	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6	1 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 n.n.n.n.n.n.n.
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 5.5 5.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 6.2 6.0	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 6.2	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15 6.4 6.0	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.3 5.7	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. n.a. 15 6.1 6.6 6.6 6.6 7.0 6.4	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4 6.4	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6	1 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 n.n. n.n. n.n. 1 1 6 6 6 7 7 9 9 7 7
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.7 7.0	1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. 1.a. 1.5 1.6 6.2 6.0 6.7 6.4 6.6 6.4 6.6 6.4 7.8	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.9	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15 6.4 6.0 7.0 6.9 7.5 6.8 7.8	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.3 5.7	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.1 5.4	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4 6.4 6.7	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6 6.3 6.7 9.5 7.1 6.3	1 1 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 n. n. n. n. n. 1 6 6 4 4 6 7 7 9 7 6 6 6
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 5.5 5.5	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 6.2 6.0	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.9 6.8 6.7 7.3 6.6 7.5	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15 6.4 6.0	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.3 5.7	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. n.a. 15 6.1 6.6 6.6 6.6 7.0 6.4	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4 6.4	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6	1 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 n.n. n.n. n.n. 1 1 6 6 6 7 7 9 9 7 7
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combined on of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.7 7.0	1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. 1.a. 6.2 6.0 6.7 6.4 6.6 6.4 6.6 6.4 7.8	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.9 6.8 6.7 7.3 6.6 7.5	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15 6.4 6.0 7.0 6.9 7.5 6.8 7.8	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.3 5.7	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.1 5.4	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4 6.4 6.7	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6 6.3 6.7 9.5 7.1 6.3	1 1 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 6 7 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.9	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. 1.a. 1.b. 6.2 6.0 6.7 6.4 6.6 6.4 7.8 7.2	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 6.2 5.9 6.8 6.7 7.3 6.6 7.5 7.3	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.7 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.8 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. n.a. 6.3 5.7 6.8 6.8 7.3 6.6 7.3	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.1 5.4 6.6 6.6 7.0 6.4 7.4 7.0	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5 6.8 6.7 7.1 6.5 7.6 7.2	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4 6.4 6.7 7.5	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6 6.3 6.7 9.5 7.1 6.3 7.8	1 1 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C.7. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 7.0 5.9 5.5 6.a n.a.	1.6 1.6 1.7 2.1 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 6.0 6.7 6.4 6.6 6.4 7.8 7.2 7.3 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.9 6.8 6.7 7.3 6.6 7.5 7.3 n.a. n.a.	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.3 1.6 2.0 1.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. 1.5 6.3 5.7 6.8 6.8 7.3 6.6 7.3 7.4 n.a. n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.1 5.4 6.6 6.6 7.0 6.4 7.4 7.7 7.2 n.a. n.a. n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5 6.8 6.7 7.1 6.5 7.6 7.2 7.3 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4 6.4 6.7 7.5 6.5 n.a. n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6 6.3 6.7 9.5 7.1 6.3 7.8 6.7 n.a. n.a.	11 12 23 22 11 12 22 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combined on of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster C.3. Commodity price C.4. Market Financing Threshold Baseline A. Alternative Scenarios A.1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ B. Bound Tests B.1. Real GDP growth B.2. Primary balance B.3. Exports B.4. Other flows 2/ B.6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B.6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C.1. Combined contingent liabilities C.2. Natural disaster	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.6 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.7 7.0 5.9 5.5 6.8	1.6 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.a 1.5 1.5 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.0 1.0	1.6 1.6 1.8 2.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. 1.5 6.2 5.9 6.8 6.7 7.3 6.6 7.5 7.3 7.3 n.a.	1.6 1.7 1.8 2.4 1.8 1.6 2.0 2.0 n.a. n.a. 15 6.4 6.0 7.0 6.9 7.5 6.8 7.5 7.6 n.a.	1.5 1.6 1.8 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.a. n.a. 1.5 6.3 5.7 6.8 6.8 7.3 6.6 7.3 7.4 n.a.	1.4 1.6 1.7 2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.1 5.4 6.6 6.6 7.0 6.4 7.0 7.2 n.a.	1.5 1.7 1.8 2.3 1.8 1.6 1.9 1.9 n.a. n.a. 15 6.2 5.5 6.8 6.7 7.1 6.5 7.6 7.2 7.3 n.a.	1.3 1.5 1.7 2.5 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.5 4.6 6.0 6.3 7.4 6.4 6.7 7.5 6.5 n.a.	1.3 1.6 1.9 3.2 2.0 1.4 2.2 1.8 n.a. n.a. 15 5.7 4.6 6.3 6.7 9.5 7.1 16.3 7.8	11 12 23 3 22 11 12 22 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12

^{1/} Variables include real GDP growth, GDP deflator (in U.S. dollar terms), non-interest current account in percent of GDP, and non-debt creating flows. 2/ Includes official and private transfers and FDI.


Table 4. Cambodia Sensitivity Analysis for Key Indicators of Public Debt, 2018–2028 (In percent) PV of Debt-to-GDP Ratio 23.3 23.1 23.7 26.0 27.0 28.9 29.6 30.2 A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ **B. Bound Tests** B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster n.a. C3. Commodity price n.a. C4. Market Financing n.a. Public debt benchmark PV of Debt-to-Revenue Ratio 140.0 106.4 107.8 129.4 137.1 A. Alternative Scenarios A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/ **B. Bound Tests** B1. Real GDP growth B2. Primary balance B3. Exports B4. Other flows 2/ B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation B6. Combination of B1-B5 C. Tailored Tests C1. Combined contingent liabilities C2. Natural disaster n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. C3. Commodity price n.a. n.a. n.a. C4. Market Financing n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.

Debt Service-to-Revenue Ratio											
Baseline	4.9	5.2	5.9	6.0	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.4	5.8	6.1	7.4
A. Alternative Scenarios											
A1. Key variables at their historical averages in 2018-2038 1/	5	5	6	6	6	5	6	6	5	5	6
B. Bound Tests											
B1. Real GDP growth	5	5	7	8	9	9	9	10	9	10	12
B2. Primary balance	5	5	6	9	8	7	7	7	7	7	8
B3. Exports	5	5	6	7	7	7	7	7	8	10	11
B4. Other flows 2/	5	5	6	6	7	7	6	7	7	7	9
B6. One-time 30 percent nominal depreciation	5	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	7
B6. Combination of B1-B5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7
C. Tailored Tests											
C1. Combined contingent liabilities	5	5	7	15	8	9	7	8	7	7	8
C2. Natural disaster	n.a.										
C3. Commodity price	n.a.										
C4 Market Financing	na	n a	n a	n a	n a	n a	n a	n a	n a	n a	n a

Sources: Country authorities; and staff estimates and projections.


^{1/} Variables include real GDP growth, GDP deflator and primary deficit in percent of GDP.

^{2/} Includes official and private transfers and FDI.



2/ Distribution across LICs for which LIC DSAs were produced.

3/ Given the relatively low private external debt for average low-income countries, a ppt change in PPG external debt should be largely explained by the drivers of the external debt dynamics equation.



Statement by the Staff Representative on Cambodia November 28, 2018

The information below has become available following the issuance of the staff report (SM/18/264). It does not alter the thrust of the staff appraisal.

1. The final 2019 budget law, which was only available in preliminary form during the mission, was recently approved by the National Assembly. The final 2019 budget foresees some additional spending, including on establishing an SME bank, with current expenditures around 0.3 percent of GDP higher than envisaged in staff projections based on the preliminary budget. As a result, the final budget projects a fiscal deficit of 3.7 percent in 2019 compared with a staff projection of 3.3 percent of GDP in the staff report. While the upward revision in spending does not alter staff's views on the macroeconomic outlook, it underscores the need to restrain current spending and raise revenues to address medium-term fiscal pressures, as recommended in the staff report.

Text Table 1: Cambodia 2019 Budget Law (In percent of GDP)								
(iii percent or e) () () () () () () () () () (Revised						
	Staff Report	Estimates						
	2019 BL	2019 BL						
Revenues	20.6	20.5						
Taxes	16.7	16.8						
Grants	1.2	1.2						
Other revenues	2.7	2.6						
Total expenditure	24.0	24.2						
Expense	15.5	15.8						
Net acqusition of non-financial assets	8.5	8.4						
Fiscal balance	-3.3	-3.7						
GDP	109,220	109,220						

Statement by Juda Agung, Executive Director for Cambodia, and Phong Tien Pham, Advisor to the Executive Director November 28, 2018

The Cambodian authorities would like to thank the IMF mission team for the constructive and candid policy discussions, which centered on economic development since the 2017 Article IV consultation, the near-term outlook, and policies to achieve strong, inclusive and sustainable growth and resilience. The authorities are encouraged by staff's assessment that significant progress have been made in maintaining growth momentum, supported by sound economic fundamentals and impressive reforms.

Recent Economic Development and Outlook

Following more than two decades of strong economic growth, the Cambodian government has attained lower middle-income status. Driven mainly by garment exports, tourism and construction, the Cambodian government has sustained an average growth rate of around 7.7 percent during the period of 1995–2017, culminating as the eighth fastest-growing economy in the world. As global demand peaks in 2018, economic growth is expected to reach 7.25 percent, compared to 6.9 percent in 2017, supported by strong external demand and expansionary fiscal policies. Broad-based growth is expected to remain robust over the medium term, supported by stable inflation, manageable exchange rate and decent external position.

The authorities estimated that the current account deficit in 2018 will widen due to higher imports. However, the authorities expect the foreign reserves to continue to grow, reaching USD9.6 billion by the end of 2018, which is equivalent to around 5 months of prospective imports as estimated by the staff. In the medium term, the authorities expect that large foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows and increasing public investment in infrastructure will help expand the production capacity of the economy. Together with other ongoing structural reforms designed to boost the country's external competitiveness, this would help accelerate growth momentum in the longer run.

Notwithstanding the positive economic outlook, the authorities are mindful that the country is in a pivotal moment of transformation and major challenges remain to allow the country to fully unlock its potential growth and leap to the next stage of development. The authorities broadly agree with staff that the country is facing vulnerabilities stemming from the erosion of export competitiveness. This is attributable to current rising real wages, a buildup of vulnerabilities from a prolonged real estate and construction boom, and escalating trade protectionism and tensions. The authorities share staff's view that in time to come, the country should continue to be fully committed to managing macro-financial risks, safeguarding fiscal sustainability, supporting inclusive growth, and addressing governance vulnerabilities.

Fiscal Policies

While the authorities broadly agreed with staff's assessment and recommendations, they underscore the importance of the recent expansionary fiscal policy in supporting economic performance and in ensuring the success of their reform agenda. The overall fiscal deficit is projected to remain contained, thanks to significant efforts in enhancing revenue collection. The effects of the 2017 public wage bill increase on fiscal deficit was neutralized by considerable stronger revenue collection, with tax revenue growing by an impressive 26 percentage points. The authorities expect the 2018 revenue collection to remain strong, mostly stemming from the increase in direct taxes, including property taxes, which would help narrow the fiscal deficit.

After a period of expansionary budget policy between 2017–2018, the 2019 Budget Law is geared towards consolidation by restraining current spending. The authorities will maintain their prudent expenditure management with focus on improving human capital and infrastructure. The authorities estimate that while revenue may slow down in 2018, it is expected to pick up from 2019 onwards due to the adoption of the Revenue Mobilization Strategy II (RMS II). In this regard, the authorities highly appreciated the Fund's technical assistance in developing the Medium-Term Fiscal Framework (MTFF) and the RMS II, which aim to sustain revenue growth by reforming tax policies and revenue administration to improve their efficiency and equity. The authorities consider these plans as crucial to ensuring medium-term fiscal sustainability.

The authorities welcome staff's assessment that public debt is low at just over 30 percent of GDP and that Cambodia is expected to remain at low risk of debt distress. They agree with staff that fiscal risk from contingent liabilities should be monitored and well- managed, including those from increasing Public – Private Partnerships (PPP) projects, which call for limiting public guarantees and strengthening the institutional framework for PPPs.

Going forward, building on the significant progress achieved so far, the authorities are committed to strengthening fiscal governance through modernizing tax collection system and custom administration, and public financial management and procurement reforms focused on increasing spending efficiency, and improving fiscal transparency.

Monetary Policies

To support economic growth, the authorities pursued an expansionary monetary policy and were successful in maintaining low inflation. The authorities expect M2 growth to remain robust in 2018 and stay around 19 percent in the medium term. The expansionary policy stance has underpinned economic activity in the real sector in 2017 and the first part of 2018. Given a highly dollarized economy, the expansion is expected to be driven mainly by rising foreign currency deposits, boosted by improved confidence, together with developments in the equity, interbank, government and corporate bond, and foreign exchange markets.

In the meantime, efforts by the National Bank of Cambodia (NCB) in promoting the use of local currency have succeeded in increasing local currency in circulation in order to enable a more flexible and effective monetary policy framework and help increase resilience in the medium term. These measures have helped the NCB regain monetary policy independence, including short-term interest rate targeting and expansion of the use of local currency, currently curtailed by the high degree of dollarization. The NCB is also exploring the use of financial technology, such as mobile payments, in providing low-cost payment services.

Financial Sector

While the authorities welcome staff's assessment and recommendations on the current development and challenges in the Cambodian banking sector, they emphasize the broader importance of the banking sector's credit in supporting growth. The authorities are now considering financial and banking sector policies as an important tool for macroeconomic management. The Cambodian banking sector remains sound and profitable with adequate capital buffers as reflected by the core financial soundness indicators.

The authorities, however, are mindful of elevated financial sector vulnerabilities arising from high credit growth and the need to monitor the construction and real estate sector risks. To mitigate financial stability risks and bolster financial stability, the NBC has adopted key macroprudential policy measures, including the capital conservation buffer to be implemented in phases, a liquidity management framework, and improvements in banks' loan classification and provisioning rules. The authorities are also expediting data collection on the real estate sector as part of their efforts to better manage credit risk in this sector.

Further targeted prudential measures as suggested by staff are under consideration to maintain financial stability. These include raising risk weights for real-estate lending that helps reduce the scope for speculative activities, introduction of a crisis management framework with a deposit insurance scheme, and continued upgrading in regulation and supervision, including for non-bank financial institutions. The authorities are committed to make all efforts in complying with international standards, including addressing shortcomings in the Anti-Money Laundering/Counter Financing of Terrorism (AML/CFT) regime and building the Financial Intelligence Unit's (FIU) capacity.

Continuing the Reform Agenda

To maintain strong growth, the authorities underscore the importance of addressing structural constraints to potential growth. To do so, the authorities are fully committed to investing more in education and skills training while addressing the constraints facing small and medium enterprises (SMEs) to support economic diversification and promote job growth. The authorities consider investing in the Cambodian people to be the top priority and in the best interest of the Cambodian future.

Given a strong near-term economic performance, the authorities will push forward the necessary structural and governance reforms to achieve the government's target of 7 percent over the medium-term. The authorities agree with staff's recommendation of the need to improve the business climate, increase competitiveness and encourage diversification through lower energy costs, better human capital and infrastructure, strengthen the rule of law, enhance the capacity of the state to efficiently provide public goods and services, and to improve governance.

The authorities emphasize that addressing weaknesses in the governance structure, including corruption, remains a top strategic priority for the new government. The authorities are fully committed to continuing efforts in this area.

Conclusion

The Cambodian authorities will continue to implement the reform agenda to take full advantage of the country's growth prospects. The authorities are fully committed and determined to implement the necessary structural reform measures and policies that will improve the investment climate and sustain economic growth, while providing buffers against external and domestic shocks. Growth will be made more inclusive with continued focus on fiscal prudence, well-grounded monetary management and financial stability, improved competitiveness of SMEs, and confidence in the banking system. Our Cambodian authorities would like to express their appreciation to the Fund for their policy advice and invaluable technical support, and the authorities look forward to continued cooperation in the years to come.