Greece: Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes— FATF Recommendations for Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism

This Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes on the FATF Recommendations for Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism for Greece was prepared by the Financial Action Task Force on Money Laundering (FATF), using the assessment methodology adopted by the FATF in February 2004 and endorsed by the Executive Board of the IMF in March 2004. The views expressed in this document, as well as in the full assessment report, are those of the FATF and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Government of Greece or the Executive Board of the IMF.

A copy of the full assessment report can be found on the website of the FATF at http://www.FATF-GAFI.ORG.



Financial Action Task Force Groupe d'action financière

GREECE

Report on Observance of Standards and Codes FATF Recommendations for Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism

© 2007 FATF/OECD

All rights reserved. No reproduction or translation of this publication may be made without prior written permission. Applications for such permission should be made to: FATF Secretariat, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France

Fax: +33 1 44 30 61 37 or Contact@fatf-gafi.org

REPORT ON OBSERVANCE OF STANDARDS AND CODES

FATF Recommendations for Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism

GREECE

1. Introduction

1. This Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes for the FATF 40 Recommendations for Anti-Money Laundering and 9 Special Recommendations Combating the Financing of Terrorism was prepared by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF). The report provides a summary of the AML/CFT measures in place in Greece as of November 2006, the level of compliance with the FATF 40+9 Recommendations, and contains recommendations on how the AML/CFT system could be strengthened. The views expressed in this document have been agreed by the FATF, but do not necessarily reflect the views of the Boards of the IMF or World Bank.

2. Key findings

- 2. Greece's legal requirements in place to combat money laundering and terrorist financing are generally inadequate to meet the FATF standards and there are some serious concerns about the effectiveness of the AML/CFT system in place. The AML Law came into force in August 1995 and was amended in December 2005 in order to expand the scope and clarify certain aspects of the definition of ML. In general, it appears that the ML offence is not effectively implemented. The limited data on prosecutions and convictions for ML show that there is a very low rate of conviction. The criminalisation of terrorist financing is very recent (July 2004) and there have been no FT cases as yet. The provisions in relation to confiscation of criminal proceeds do not fully comply with the international standards and the lack of statistics inhibits the measurement of the current level of implementation. The level of implementation of S/RES/1267(1999) and S/RES/1373(2001) is inadequate. Generally, the data and other information available are not sufficient to positively conclude that there is an effective system for investigating, prosecuting and taking related action on ML and FT cases in Greece.
- 3. The Greek FIU has been assigned extensive powers and responsibilities, and there is a clear desire on the part of the authorities to create an effective FIU that can lead the fight against money laundering and terrorist financing. However, the evaluation team has serious doubts about the current structure and capacity of the unit to properly perform its tasks and functions, in particular the traditional core functions of an FIU. Greece should address as a matter of priority the issues of a structural nature that are raised by the current FIU model.
- 4. The preventive system that deals with customer identification is generally insufficient and not in line with the international standards. The Bank of Greece (BOG), after the enactment of the new AML Law, has taken the initiative to introduce more comprehensive requirements for the financial institutions under its supervision. In relation to the reporting obligation, given the size and increasing sophistication of criminal activity in Greece, the total number of suspicious transactions reports appears low, with virtually none from outside the banking sector. Moreover, the results in terms of cases passed on are also inadequate. There are deficiencies in AML/CFT supervision in the banking area and, to a more severe

¹ A copy of the full Mutual Evaluation Report can be found on the FATF website: www.fatf-gafi.org.

extent, in the securities sector. Measures are non-existent in the insurance sector. Although DNFBPs are now covered by the requirements under the AML Law, there are serious concerns regarding the level of awareness and commitment to implementation of effective AML/CFT measures by the non-financial businesses and professions.

- 5. There is no comprehensive study of the amount of money earned from criminal activity or how it is laundered. However, in 2006 the Ministry of Economy and Finance prepared a study of the proceeds earned from four types of illegal activity: illegal prostitution, drug trafficking, and cigarette and alcohol smuggling. In that study, the estimated gross amount earned in 2000 from those four forms of criminal activity is approximately €1.6 billion (1% GDP in 2000). It is thus expected that the proceeds of all crimes in Greece would be very significant amount.
- 6. Limited information is available on the most commonly used money laundering methods and techniques. Greek investigations have revealed the use of banks, investment firms, mutual funds, offshore companies, bureaux de change, newly founded companies, and traders of precious metals. In the majority of the cases, "traditional" placement methods, e.g. bank deposits and share acquisition in the Athens Stock Exchange (ASE), as well as other methods of layering, such as structured domestic and international transactions performed by relatives and other third parties connected to the predicate offenders, have been used.
- 7. Greece is perceived by the authorities as a low risk country for terrorist financing, though it has in the past been subject to some domestic terrorist activity. As for financing international terrorism, no assets of terrorist groups or terrorists have been found in Greece so far. The Greek authorities are not aware of alternative remittance systems operating in the country (although the assessors were told that such systems take place using call centres), but again, no systemic study has been conducted to ascertain their existence. Greek authorities did note however the use of cross-border cash transfers, particularly using tourist buses, which may suggest potential TF risks.
- 8. A wide range of financial institutions exists in Greece: credit institutions and financial institutions/organisations that include the following companies and services: life insurance companies, portfolio investment companies, mutual fund management companies, real estate investment companies, management companies of mutual funds investing in real estate, investment intermediation companies, investment services firms including members of the Stock Exchange, consumer credit companies (leasing and factoring), bureaux de change, and money remittance firms. A range of designated non-financial businesses and professions became subject to the AML Law as of 13 December 2005. Greece is currently in the process of further reviewing its legislation for the purposes of implementing the third EU Money Laundering Directive.

3. Legal System and Related Institutional Measures

- 9. ML is defined by Article 1.B of the AML Law and the definition's physical and material elements closely follow and are in line with those set out in the Vienna and Palermo Conventions. However, the interpretation of proof of the predicate offences seems restrictive and certainly makes prosecution of ML, in particular "third-party" laundering, difficult.
- 10. Greece has opted for a combination of a list of predicate offences and a threshold approach. Certain of the FATF "designated categories of offences" are not expressly included in the AML Law and the catchall provision for other predicate offences has a threshold which only includes predicate offences where the offence "generated a property of at least EUR 15,000". The ancillary offences of attempt, aiding and abetting, facilitating and counselling the commission of the money laundering offence are adequately covered in the Penal Code. With regard to conspiracy, the act of joining another person for the purpose of

committing a misdemeanour punishable by imprisonment of at least one year, aiming at an economic or other material benefit could potentially apply to a concerted act of ML. The available data on prosecutions and convictions is limited, but it appears that the conviction rate is very low, and that the offence is not therefore effectively implemented.

- 11. Neither Greek law in force nor legal doctrine recognise the principle of corporate criminal liability and there are no fundamental or constitutional principles of domestic law prohibiting holding corporations criminally liable. The basic penalties for natural persons (5-10 years imprisonment) and for legal persons (an administrative fine of up to nearly EUR 3 million) involved in ML would appear to be adequate. However, certain other provisions reduce the effectiveness and dissuasiveness of these penalties (in particular, the ML sentence cannot exceed the sentence for the predicate offence and there is also concern about the provisions that allow a defendant to pay a fine instead of serving a prison sentence for sentences of less than a year). The exact scope and mechanisms for implementing the civil and administrative liability of legal persons for criminal offences are also difficult to identify.
- 12. While some of the material elements of the FT offence correspond to those required under Article 2 of the U.N. Convention and Special Recommendation II of the FATF, the scope of the offence is too narrow as it does not make it a crime to collect or provide funds or material support to terrorist individuals or for specific terrorist acts. In addition, terrorist financing is not an offence in itself, whether or not a terrorist act has actually occurred and whether or not funds were used to finance a particular act. The defence to the law in Article 187.A (8) is very broad and appears to create the potential to completely undermine and negate the intentions of the provision.
- 13. Regarding the scope of seizure and confiscation, the different provisions are not sufficient to fully address the FATF standards. The law does not appear to permit confiscation of indirect proceeds and there is considerable uncertainty as to whether Greek authorities can confiscate instrumentalities intended for use in ML or a predicate offence. Courts have not been given the power to void or prevent actions involving the proceeds of crime from the time the predicate offence was convicted. Powers of seizure do not extend to all property that could be the proceeds of crime and general confiscation legislation does not provide for freezing on an *ex parte* basis, with the right to appeal. The powers to trace, seize, freeze and confiscate have not been used by investigators since the powers required are set out in a number of different pieces of legislation.
- 14. With regard to S/RES/1267 (1999) and S/RES/1373 (2001), Greece has implemented some measures through the EU Regulations but has taken very limited action to implement the resolutions for the provisions that are not covered by the EU legal instruments. Greek authorities have not frozen any funds under either UNSCR 1267 or UNSCR 1373. However, the current process for notifying ministries and the financial sector of entities on UN lists would take too long and therefore these entities would not be able to comply with freezing terrorist assets without delay. Greece has not yet provided guidance to financial institutions or DNFBPs on freezing assets of listed entities without delay, and does not monitor FIs and DNFBPs for compliance with measures taken under the Resolutions, apart from the passing of relevant information to the appropriate authority. There are no clear and publicly known procedures for delisting and unfreezing in appropriate cases.
- 15. The Greek FIU was originally set up in 1995 and became operational in July 1997. After the amendment of the AML Law in 2005, the Greek FIU was upgraded into an independent administrative authority, and given extensive powers in certain areas. It is composed of the President and eleven part-time members proposed by ministries, supervisory authorities and the private sector (the Committee members). While the Greek authorities have a clear intention to create a strong, independent and effective FIU, which would take a leading role in AML/CFT matters, the current structure, organisation and resourcing of the FIU raise serious concerns.

- 16. The FIU has limited access to all financial, administrative and law enforcement information it requires to properly undertake its functions. At the time of the on-site visit, it was also severely understaffed (especially with regard to skilled financial analysts) and critically lacked organisational and technical resources to fully and effectively perform its functions. The FIU also needs to take enhanced measures to ensure that the information it holds is more securely protected. The periodic reports that are published on the Unit's activity are not sufficiently comprehensive (especially in relation to detailed statistics, typologies and trends). From 2001 to 2006, only 1.5 to 3.5% of STRs received have been sent to the public prosecutor. Greece needs to restructure the FIU for it to be effective, for example, this could include tasking the President and Committee members with a broad oversight and/or coordination role at national level and leaving specialised staff to perform the daily task of investigating incoming STRs.
- 17. The powers and capacity of the law enforcement services are generally sound. The FIU is allocated preliminary investigation powers with respect to offences punishable under the AML Law. The Hellenic Police is the national agency responsible for the detection and investigation all types of crime (including drug law violations and terrorism). The Special Control Service (SCS) within the Ministry of Economy and Finance (MOEF) is authorised to investigate any cases of ML relating to tax-related offences, customs offences and other types of economic crime which constitute predicate offences for ML. The Hellenic Customs service is authorised to investigate predicate offences related to smuggling, tax fraud and other customs offences. All ML and FT cases are prosecuted by the Greek prosecution office, which refers the cases to the investigative magistrate. The investigations of financial crimes in Greece have focused for a long time on the predicate offence and not on the ML offence or proceeds of crime as such, and a more proactive approach to detecting and exposing third party ML cases as opposed to self-laundering should be developed. More resources should be dedicated to investigations in relation to CFT and to the prosecution service. Consideration should also be given to making use of special investigative techniques in relation to ML and FT as they have proved successful in relation to drug trafficking and consideration should be given to a greater specialisation of prosecutors and judges in financial crime and ML cases.
- 18. Greece has not implemented comprehensive measures to detect the physical cross-border transportation of currency and bearer negotiable instruments that are related to ML or FT. The authorities in charge of monitoring the entry and exit of goods and persons are the police (or port authority regarding water ports) and the Hellenic customs authority, but there is no obligation to declare currency or bearer negotiable instruments that are being imported or exported. However, the implementation of the EC Regulation on Cash Control will result in changes to Greek requirements.

4. Preventive Measures - Financial Institutions

19. In Greece, the preventive side of the AML/CFT system is based on the AML Law. Except for insurance brokers and agents, the AML Law covers all of the financial institutions defined by FATF. Three regulatory agencies have been designated as "Competent Authorities" under the AML Law. They have been given the power to issue binding regulations and/or comprehensive guidelines and are responsible for the AML/CFT supervision of Greek financial and credit institutions. These are: (1) BOG for banks and other credit institutions, leasing and factoring, bureaux de change, and money remitters; (2) Hellenic Private Insurance Supervisory Committee (HPISC) for insurance companies (the supervision of insurance companies is being transferred from the Ministry of Development to the HPISC); and (3) Hellenic Capital Market Commission (HCMC) for securities firms. The BOG and the HCMC have adopted further enforceable requirements with sanctions for non-compliance. The provisions issued by the MOD/ID are non-binding guidance and the MOD itself has had no legal status as a competent authority since the amendment of the AML Law in December 2005. Greece has not adopted a risk-based approach in full, and there has been no thorough assessment of the various AML/CFT risks, but the BOG has introduced certain risk-based elements into its new requirements.

- 20. In relation to the required customer due diligence measures, certain basic requirements are set out in the AML Law and these have been recently expanded by BOG requirements in the BOG Governor's Act 2577/2006, which sets out more comprehensive requirements for institutions regulated by the BOG. As regards customer identification requirements, not all of the basic obligations are currently set out in law or regulation. The AML Law does not fully impose a requirement for financial institutions to conduct CDD in all cases contemplated by Recommendation 5.
- 21. The AML Law and guidance issued by the competent authorities on the identification of legal persons, partnerships and other legal arrangements is fragmented, and contains inconsistencies and gaps; while the measures on ascertaining beneficial ownership should be strengthened. The requirement to ascertain the purpose and nature of the business relationship does not extend to the securities and insurance sectors, and provisions concerning ongoing due diligence and simplified and enhanced due diligence are insufficient. The CDD requirements relating to existing clients are not fully satisfactory. Laws, regulations and other mechanisms should be amended to ensure that the full CDD requirements are implemented.
- 22. The requirement to identify and take relevant enhanced measures in relation to politically exposed persons (PEPs) does not extend to the securities and insurance sectors and is incomplete in relation to the financial institutions supervised by the BOG. The provisions of the BOG in relation to cross-border correspondent banking are broadly satisfactory, but do not cover banks in EU Member States. The requirement for financial institutions to have measures to prevent misuse of technological developments is limited and the current means proposed for dealing with the risks of non face-to-face business appear to be too limited generally. There is currently some uncertainty within the regulated sector as to whether third parties are being relied upon to carry out CDD, or whether they are strictly being used in an outsourcing context. The existing provisions from the BOG are not fully consistent with the FATF standards.
- 23. Bank secrecy is imposed for deposit accounts at credit institutions under Law 1059/1971. However, there is a lack of clarity on the extent of Law 1059/1971, and what its interaction is with the AML Law and other legal provisions. In particular, the provisions lifting bank secrecy in the AML Law appear only to apply to money laundering. Although it may not currently be a problem in practice, Greece should clarify exactly when Law 1059/1971 is over-ridden by other statutory provisions, and clarify the provisions of the AML Law on matters such as the scope of money laundering/terrorist financing.
- 24. Greece's record-keeping requirements are generally satisfactory. In relation to SR.VII, Greece relies principally on the implementation of the EU Regulation on the payer accompanying transfers of funds that has been in force since 1 January 2007, though some limited provisions are contained in the AML Law and BOG requirements. The Regulation meets many of the technical requirements of the FATF standard. However, the derogation set out in the EU regulation for wire transfers within the EU (classified as domestic transfers) is not in compliance with the FATF requirements under SR.VII² and there are currently no sanctions for non-compliance with the EU regulation, and the sanctions regime in Greece generally is neither effective nor dissuasive. Finally, in terms of effectiveness, there is insufficient evidence of its implementation, given its recent enactment.
- 25. The current requirements to pay special attention to all complex and unusual large transactions that have no apparent economic or lawful purpose do not adequately meet the FATF standards. The obligation to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from countries which do not follow the FATF Recommendations is not met either.
- 26. The AML Law requirement to report STRs in Greece covers both suspicious transactions and any

² The FATF decided at the June 2007 Plenary to further consider this subject.

other incident that could be an indication of criminal activity, and the basic legal measures are covered. However there are also some important omissions, most notably the non-coverage of insurance agents and brokers and the requirement to submit STRs in respect of all predicate offences. The obligation to report attempted transactions should be clarified. In general, the effectiveness of the reporting system is inadequate, with very few STRs being made outside the banking system, while the conversion rate of STRs leading to law enforcement investigations may be an indication that the quality of the reports is poor. Existing guidelines to assist financial institutions to implement the reporting obligation are incomplete and are insufficient to meet the FATF requirements. The BOG, the HCMC and the HPISC should adopt sector-specific guidance with updated information on ML and FT trends and techniques, and a broader scope in order to comprehensively address the FATF requirements. The FIU should provide greater and a further range of feedback to competent authorities and reporting institutions to assist in improving the quality of STRs submitted.

- 27. Financial institutions supervised by the BOG are required to have internal controls but the link to AML/CFT provisions should be strengthened (e.g. in relation to the requirement to put in place screening procedures to ensure high standards when hiring employees). The implementation of the requirements has not been evidenced as yet. For the securities and insurance sectors, existing requirements are either very general or non-existent. The AML Law provisions are insufficient to address all of the requirements of Recommendation 22.
- 28. The provision that prevents SIs to enter into, or continue, correspondent banking relationships with shell banks only applies to SIs operating in non-EU countries and there is no obligation on financial institutions to satisfy themselves that a respondent financial institution in a foreign country does not permit its accounts to be used by shell banks.
- 29. The BOG and the HCMC have been given appropriate powers to monitor and ensure compliance by financial institutions with their AML/CFT requirements. However, the implementation of existing provisions is challenging for the BOG and the HCMC (due in particular to a lack of resources). The Ministry of Development previously had the power to conduct on-site inspections in the insurance sector but has not used that power in the AML/CFT area, but it is expected that this will change when the HPISC takes over supervision. The BOG should implement a risk-based supervisory program for AML/CFT and adopt a more systematic consolidated approach to the supervision of AML/CFT policies and risk management systems. The BOG should also improve the quality of the supervision carried on in bureaux de change and money remittance companies. The HCMC and the HPISC should similarly implement a robust supervisory programme for AML/CFT purposes with proper inspection procedures.
- 30. The MOD/ID has not imposed any sanctions on the firms they supervise. The BOG has imposed sanctions, but the most usual requirement takes the form of a non-interest bearing deposit and this applies to all types of breaches, whatever their seriousness. No information on sanctions imposed is published. In practice, the sanctions that have been applied in many cases have been the minimum permissible. The supervisory authorities should ensure that the sanctions in place fully meet the FATF standards i.e. are effective, proportionate and dissuasive and are implemented properly.
- 31. The Greek authorities should introduce a licensing requirement for insurance agents by the insurance regulator to enable application and enforcement of the AML/CFT legal requirements in this sub-sector. Fit and proper tests should be conducted for all directors of credit institutions. The Greek authorities should also review the existence of informal remittance businesses for purposes of registration of licensing and oversight for AML/CFT purposes.

5. Preventive Measures – Designated Non-Financial Businesses and Professions (DNFBPs)

- 32. The obligations laid down in the AML Law apply to the following non-financial professions and businesses: chartered accountants, auditors, independent accountants and audit firms; tax consultants, tax experts and related firms; real estate agents and companies; casinos (including internet casinos) and entities engaging in gaming activities; auction houses; dealers in high value goods and auctioneers, whenever the transaction value exceeds EUR 15,000 to be paid as a lump sum or in instalments; notaries and lawyers when they engage in a range of activities that are covered by the FATF Recommendations. AML/CFT measures do not apply to TCSPs, despite the fact that some businesses offer company formation services in Greece. In addition there is a lack of effective coverage of certain types of casinos: internet casinos are covered by law but no action is being taken in practice, and casino type gambling facilities are being offered on Greek operated ships leaving from Greece, but no AML/CFT measures appear to apply.
- 33. The main deficiencies in the AML/CFT preventative measures applicable to financial institutions as set out in the main AML Law (i.e. Recommendations 5, 6, and 8-11 and described above) apply also to DNFBPs, since the core obligations for both DNFBPs and financial institutions are based on the same general AML/CFT regime, but there are some additional weaknesses since the more comprehensive requirements issued by the BOG do not apply.
- 34. Practical application is extremely limited e.g. there is a serious lack of awareness of the requirement to submit STRs in the DNFBP sector. This raises serious concerns in relation to the effectiveness of the measures in place. DNFBPs are subject to the requirement to establish adequate procedures of internal control and communication in order to forestall and prevent operations related to money laundering and terrorist financing. However, when they exist the control requirements are very general. Equally, none of the DNFBPs have been provided with guidance on submitting STRs.
- 35. In practice, no AML/CFT supervision is being undertaken (although Greece has assigned competent authorities to supervise the relevant DNFBPs), and compliance with the provisions in the AML Law is ineffective with regard to these non-financial professions. Greece should take steps to fully implement the provisions of the AML Law in respect of DNFBPs. In particular the relevant competent authorities should take urgent steps to raise awareness of the relevant provisions of the AML Law as they apply to the DNFBPs they supervise, to develop guidance relevant to the individual sectors, and to undertake appropriate monitoring.

6. Legal Persons and Arrangements & Non-Profit Organisations

- 36. There are several different types of legal persons in Greece, characterised by their nature, function and legal status. Greek law provides for two main structures for the purpose of carrying on a business for economic gain: companies and partnerships. Apart from these business organisations, provision is also made for single traders, joint ventures, and branch offices and foreign companies. Businesses organised as companies limited by shares are the most significant economically.
- 37. The information available in the different existing companies' registries relates to the composition of the board of directors as indicated in the articles of incorporation of the company. The changes of shareholders are not registered. The measures currently in place to ensure adequate transparency concerning the beneficial ownership and control of legal persons are incomplete and insufficient. Information is decentralised in registers across 52 prefectures, is often not computerised and is not transparent. A centralised registration system for all legal persons should be established. Competent authorities do not have access in a timely fashion to adequate, accurate and current information on beneficial ownership and control. There should be easier gateways to access ultimate beneficial ownership and control records by the competent authorities, in a timely manner.
- 38. Under current Greek law, there is no appropriate provision to ensure transparency as to the share

ownership (direct or indirect) of corporations that have issued bearer shares, except in the case of corporations listed on a stock exchange. The Greek authorities should either remove the power to issue bearer shares from their law or otherwise take measures to ensure adequate transparency regarding the beneficial ownership of such shares. Trusts cannot be set up and are not recognised in any way under Greek law.

39. The different legal forms in which non-profit organisations can operate in Greece are: civil societies, associations, foundations and committees for collection. Their statutes are detailed in the Greek Civil Code and associations and foundations are the most common forms. Greece has not carried out a review of its non-profit sector and is unable to provide information on the activities, size and other relevant features of this sector. The Greek authorities consider that the non-profit organisations sector is not at risk of being used for FT. Greece has not implemented the FATF requirements in this area and the various basic requirements with regard to registration and record keeping are not sufficient to meet the FATF standards. Greece should implement adequate measures in line with the international requirements.

7. National and International Co-operation

- 40. The development, co-ordination and implementation of AML/CFT policy in Greece is carried out through the MOEF. The MOEF is also responsible for coordinating the activities of the supervisory authorities. Co-operation and co-ordination mechanisms are ad hoc and generally insufficient and should be improved. There are no effective mechanisms in place which would enable the police, SCS, the Customs, the FIU, and other competent authorities (e.g., regulators) to coordinate domestically with each other, and together implement a national policy to combat ML and FT³. Greece should, as a matter of priority, develop and implement effective mechanisms to enable all authorities dealing with AML/CFT issues to co-operate and collaborate closely and effectively with each other, and as noted above, the FIU Committee could play a key role in such co-operation and co-ordination.
- 41. Greece ratified the Vienna Convention in 1991 and signed the Palermo Convention in 2000, though it has not yet ratified the latter. Greece ratified the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism in 2002. Certain aspects of the ML and FT offences should be strengthened in order to ensure a proper implementation of the international Conventions. Moreover, Greece has partially implemented the UN Conventions' provisions relevant to the FATF recommendations.
- 42. Incomplete and imprecise information is available on the mutual legal assistance system in Greece and the effectiveness of the measures and mechanisms in place is difficult to assess. There may be delays when dealing with requests that are not transmitted directly to the Greek judicial authority but the lack of systematic compilation of data and statistics on all incoming and outgoing requests prevents to form a comprehensive view on the use of mutual legal assistance. It seems that the dual criminality requirement in the context of mutual legal assistance deserves some clarification and clear guidance. The apparent ambiguity regarding dual criminality as regulated under the applicable treaties and the domestic legal framework on the one hand and contradictory judicial practice on the other should be resolved. There are also concerns as to whether Greece would be able to provide mutual legal assistance in all cases involving FT and ML as required by the FATF standards, due to the definitions of those offences and the requirement for dual criminality. In the absence of a treaty, the apparent limitation on the forms of assistance available for mutual assistance appear to constitute an obstacle to undertaking certain types of investigatory acts that are authorised in the domestic context.
- 43. In Greece, extradition is carried out on the basis of bilateral or multilateral intergovernmental

_

³ The MOEF Decision of 22 February 2007 introduces formal mechanisms for exchanging information between the MOEF, the FIU and the supervisory authorities.

agreements, with concurrent application, as the case may be, of the relevant provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code for those matters not regulated by the agreements. In case an extradition issue arises between Greece and another country without a relevant agreement being in place, the extradition is carried out only on the basis of the reciprocity principle, and always in conformance with the relevant procedural provisions.

- 44. The extradition process seems to work efficiently despite the workload of the officials handling extradition requests. There is relatively little experience with "pure" ML cases and none with regard to the financing of terrorism. The current limitations in relation to the criminalisation of ML and FT potentially have an impact on Greece's ability to extradite persons sought for these offences. There are also potentially some cases where extradition could be limited because a different threshold of punishment exists for some of the predicate offences of ML. Finally, there is a risk that the dual criminality requirement for extradition is applied in a narrow sense (the assessment team cannot ensure that differences in the manner in which Greece and the requesting country categorise or denominate the predicate offence do not pose an impediment to the provision of mutual legal assistance). There is a lack of statistics in relation to extradition requests.
- 45. The supervisory authorities (BOG, HCMC and the Ministry of Development) have been given the power to exchange information with their foreign counterparts. The HCMC and the MOD/ID have not received requests for such cooperation in the AML/CFT area and therefore the effectiveness of their respective procedures cannot be measured. As far the BOG is concerned, there is little indication that cooperation with its counterparts is effective and is provided in line with the FATF standards.
- 46. In general, law enforcement authorities can engage in a wide range of international co-operation. However, due to the lack of personnel and technical resources, there are serious doubts about the FIU's capacity to provide the widest range of international cooperation to its counterparts in a rapid, constructive and effective manner. The Greek FIU should ensure its access to the FIU.Net in order to secure and increase the exchange of information with its foreign counterparts. More generally, it is essential to provide the FIU with more appropriate resources to fulfil its tasks, including at the international level. Given the lack of statistical data, the evaluation team was not able to determine that the mechanisms for international co-operation are fully effective.

8. Other issues

- 47. The Greek criminal justice system allows for a full rehearing of all criminal cases in the Court of Appeal. The assessment team was advised that a very large majority of convicted defendants in criminal cases exercise their right to a full rehearing of the case in the Court of Appeal. Legal costs are also apparently lower than in many other countries. These factors, combined with the resources available to the courts and to the criminal justice system appear to create an overburdened criminal justice system with inherent long delays. The assessment team was informed that an average criminal case for a serious offence would take approximately five years from the time that a case was first passed to an investigating judge, through to the completion of the hearing in the Court of Appeal. However it was stated that money laundering cases would be fast-tracked so that they may only take an average of three years to complete. The assessment team believes that the combination of factors as described above may impact adversely on the ability of the system to commence and complete money laundering and terrorist financing cases within a reasonable timeframe.
- 48. As a member of the EU, Greek law has been greatly influenced by European Union law and the "acquis communautaire". Greece has also been active ratifying international conventions and adopting (in a rather protracted way) domestic legislation to respond to its international commitments. In the criminal law area and its extension in AML/CFT matters, Greece has adopted a large set of repressive measures that

generally lack the precision and the quality that is required by international and domestic law in order to impose efficient AML/CFT systems. In their project to adopt a new AML Law, the Greek authorities should elaborate a more harmonised and sophisticated set of measures in line with international standards keeping in mind the necessary elaboration and integration with existing domestic legislation.

Table 1. Ratings of Compliance with FATF Recommendations

The rating of compliance vis-à-vis the FATF Recommendations should be made according to the four levels of compliance mentioned in the 2004 Methodology (Compliant (C), Largely Compliant (LC), Partially Compliant (PC), Non-Compliant (NC)), or could, in exceptional cases, be marked as not applicable (NA).

Compliant	The Recommendation is fully observed with respect to all essential criteria.
Largely compliant	There are only minor shortcomings, with a large majority of the essential criteria being fully met.
Partially compliant	The country has taken some substantive action and complies with some of the essential criteria.
Non-compliant	There are major shortcomings, with a large majority of the essential criteria not being met.
Not applicable	A requirement or part of a requirement does not apply, due to the structural, legal or institutional
	features of a country e.g. a particular type of financial institution does not exist in that country.

Forty Recommendations	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating
Legal systems		
1. ML offence	PC	 the predicate offences for ML are limited by the threshold of EUR 15,000, and terrorist financing is inadequately criminalised as a predicate offence; the offence of ML effectively requires the prosecution to prove all the elements of the predicate offence; self-laundering is not clearly criminalised; the limited data available indicates that the offence is not being effectively implemented, as shown by the very low number of convictions
2. ML offence – mental element and corporate liability	PC	 criminal liability does not apply to legal persons and there is no fundamental principle of law prohibiting it; taking all the relevant provisions into account, penalties are not sufficiently dissuasive (the sentence for money laundering cannot exceed the sentence for the predicate offence with regard to a misdemeanour); there are doubts about the effectiveness of the current administrative sanctions regime; the limited data available indicates that the offence is not being effectively implemented, as shown by the very low number of convictions.
3. Confiscation and provisional measures	PC	 indirect proceeds cannot be confiscated; seizure does not extend to all property that is the proceeds of crime; courts cannot void or prevent transactions from the time the crime has been committed; there is insufficient evidence to indicate the current provisions have been effectively implemented and used; generally, there is a lack of uniformity when applying the confiscation provisions which raises issues of effective implementation.
Preventive measures		
Secrecy laws consistent with the Recommendations	PC	• it has not been clearly shown that bank secrecy has been fully lifted by the AML Law. The AML Law potentially only lifts bank secrecy for STRs in respect of money laundering.
5. Customer due diligence	PC	the requirement to conduct CDD does not extend to all sectors of the financial services sector (notably insurance brokers and agents);

		 the basic obligations, such as when to conduct CDD or measures to identify legal persons are not consistently set out in law or regulation; there are no secondary and more detailed requirements for the insurance sector; the duty to conduct CDD is not extended to all of the situations required by the FATF Recommendations, notably where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, and where doubts arise as to previously obtained CDD information; simplified due diligence measures in the general law appear to be unduly permissive; there is a lack of clarity in the simplified due diligence measures in the BOG Governor's Act Annex 4; the law, guidance and industry practice in relation to identifying legal persons is not in line with FATF requirements; the law and guidance in relation to ascertaining beneficial ownership is fragmented and inconsistent. The obligation for identifying the beneficial owners of legal persons is too limited and there is no obligation to take proactive steps to identify persons who exercise ultimate effective control of the customer; no obligation to apply enhanced measures for high risk customers in the securities and insurance sectors; there are only limited requirements to conduct ongoing CDD for firms supervised by the HCMC and the MoD; allowing a period of 30 days to complete verification of the identity of two categories of high risk customers is not in line with FATF requirements; there are limited requirements to conduct CDD in respect of existing clients in the AML Law and the securities and insurance sectors; the requirement to ascertain the nature and purpose of the business relationship is not clearly set out in the AML Law or provisions issued by the competent authorities; the BOG measures have just been adopted and there is very limited evidence that AML/CFT measures have been effectively implemented.
6. Politically exposed persons	NC	 the requirement to identify and conduct CDD on PEPs does not extend to the securities and insurance sectors; BOG Governor's Act applies the requirements relating only to PEPs from countries outside the EU; the nature and extent of the enhanced CDD measures required for PEPs are not clearly stated; the requirement to identify a PEP's source of wealth is not explicitly stated; BOG Governor's Act does not require a SI to obtain senior management approval before setting up a business relationship with a PEP; the BOG measures have just been adopted and there is no evidence generally that AML/CFT measures have been effectively implemented.
7. Correspondent banking	LC	 the definition of "cross-border" is too narrow, and excludes EU member states; the BOG measures have just been adopted and there is no evidence generally that AML/CFT measures have been effectively implemented.
8. New technologies & non face- to-face business	PC	 there are no requirements for the securities or insurance sectors; there is no requirement for SIs to have measures to prevent misuse of technological developments; the means proposed for dealing with the risks of non face to face business

		issued by the BOG appears to be limited to customers having an account with a financial institution based in the EU.
9. Third parties and introducers	PC	 the BOG has introduced specific provisions for third party reliance but they are partially inconsistent and do not address all the requirements under Recommendation 9; there is no provision for third party reliance in the general AML Law or the HCMC/MOD provisions; insurance brokers/agents are not covered by the AML Law, and there is lack of clarity over the role they play in the customer due diligence process.
10. Record keeping	LC	 the provisions on record keeping in the AML Law do not clearly require keeping business correspondence; there are no specific record-keeping requirements or guidelines to ensure that (i) transactions can be fully reconstructed, and (ii) recorded information is available on a timely basis to domestic competent authority.
11. Unusual transactions	PC	 there is no specific requirement in the AML Law or guidance to monitor all complex, unusual large transactions unless they raise specific suspicions of ML or TF; BOG guidance is not sufficiently clear and appears to suggest that certain findings need only be documented when consideration is given to submission of an STR; the provisions adopted by the HCMC limit the requirement to monitor transactions that could be connected with ML; the MOD/ID Circular does not contain any requirement for insurance companies as set out in Recommendation 11.
12. DNFBP – R.5, 6, 8-11	NC	 similar technical deficiencies in the AML Law relating to Rec. 5, 6 and 8-11 that apply to financial institutions also apply to DNFBPs (see comments and ratings in Section 3.2); although DNFBPs are technically subject to various provisions of the AML Law, practical application is extremely limited. This raises serious concerns in relation to the effectiveness of the measures in place; no AML/CFT measures apply to TCSPs; internet casinos are covered by law but there is no action taken in practice; it is unclear if casinos on Greek owned or operated vessels are covered by the AML Law.
13. Suspicious transaction reporting	PC	 insurance agents and brokers are not covered by the obligation to report; not all predicate offences required in Recommendation 1 are included in scope; not all the required aspects of terrorist financing are included in the scope of the reporting requirement; industry practice would suggest that not all attempted transactions are reported; the weaknesses in the STR system (especially low numbers in total and very low numbers of STRs outside the banking system) raise significant concerns in relation to the effectiveness of the reporting system.
14. Protection & no tipping-off 15. Internal controls, compliance & audit	C PC	Recommendation 14 is fully met • for FIs supervised by BOG: the requirements on internal controls (e.g. screening procedures) are not fully AML/CFT oriented and there are doubts about their proper implementation by SIs; • for the FIs supervised by HCMC and in the insurance sector: existing

		requirements are either very general (on internal procedures and controls and screening procedures) or non-existent (on independent audit function and training).
16. DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21	NC	 similar technical deficiencies in the AML Law relating to Rec. 13, 15 & 21 that apply to financial institutions also apply to DNFBPs (see comments and ratings in Sections 3.6, 3.7 & 3.8); although DNFBPs are covered by the scope of the AML Law, in practice nothing has been done to implement the provisions within the DNFBP community, and thus practical application is extremely limited. This raises serious concerns in relation to effectiveness of the measures in place; no AML/CFT measures apply to TCSPs; there are insufficient detailed requirements concerning the implementation of internal controls.
17. Sanctions	PC	 for FIs supervised by the BOG: (1) the current use of sanctions (non-interest bearing deposit) is neither sufficiently effective nor sufficiently dissuasive; (2) the range of sanctions imposed is not sufficiently broad and is not proportionate to the severity of a situation; (3) the implementation of sanctions to FIs directors or senior management is uncertain; for FIs supervised by the HCMC: (1) based on the information available, there is insufficient evidence to show that the sanctions regime in place offers a sufficiently broad range of sanctions for failing to comply with AML/CFT requirements: (2) due to the very low volume of compliance monitoring carried out by the HCMC, the effectiveness of the sanctions regimes cannot be measured; for FIs supervised by MOD/ID: there is insufficient information to show that the MOD/ID has the authority to impose sanctions for non-compliance with the AML Law and MOD Circulars. No sanctions have been imposed for AML/CFT breaches.
18. Shell banks	LC	• there is no obligation on financial institutions to satisfy themselves that a respondent financial institution in a foreign country does not permit its accounts to be used by shell banks.
19. Other forms of reporting	NC	there is no evidence that Greece has considered implementing a system for reporting currency transactions across all regulated sectors.
20. Other NFBP & secure transaction techniques	LC	Greece has not taken sufficient steps to encourage the development and use of modern and secure techniques for conducting financial transactions that are less vulnerable to money laundering.
21. Special attention for higher risk countries	NC	 absent an NCCT list, there are effectively no requirements for the securities sector; there are no requirements for the insurance sector; banking sector guidance does not contain any directly relevant criteria pursuant to which SIs should examine with special attention countries that are not applying the FATF Recommendations; industry practice suggests that very limited measures are currently being taken and that there is no effective implementation.
22. Foreign branches & subsidiaries	PC	 the AML Law provisions are insufficient to address all the elements of Recommendation 22; for FIs supervised by BOG: Greek provisions do not explicitly require branches and subsidiaries of Greek SIs located in third countries to apply the higher standard, to the extent that local laws and regulations permit; for the FIs supervised by HCMC and in the insurance sector: (1) the

		HCMC and MOD/ID provisions do not apply to subsidiaries; (2) there is no requirement applicable to the securities and insurance sectors to pay particular attention to situations where branches and subsidiaries are based in countries that do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations; (3) there is no explicit provision to require FSIs to inform their home country supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because this is prohibited by local laws, regulations or other measures.
23. Regulation, supervision and	PC	Market entry
monitoring		 absence of a licensing requirement for insurance agents; fit and proper tests are not conducted for all directors of credit institutions; Supervisory programme and procedures BOG: the current supervisory programme adopted by the BOG raises important doubts in terms of effectiveness (lack of resources and qualified personnel, quality of inspections); HCMC AML/CFT supervision of securities firms is very recent and effectiveness has not been demonstrated; MOD/ID: there is no AML/CFT supervision of insurance companies.
24 DNEDD regulation	NC	MOD/ID: there is no AML/CFT supervision of insurance companies. AML Supervision of insurance companies.
24. DNFBP - regulation, supervision and monitoring	NC	 although most DNFBPs are now included within the scope of the AML Law, little, if any, effective supervision is currently taking place; there is a lack of designed supervisors for some DNFBPs; the regime for supervision of DNFBPs is ineffective, as is demonstrated by the lack of awareness among firms; it is unclear whether ship casinos are covered by the AML/CFT Law; no AML/CFT measures apply to TCSPs.
25. Guidelines & Feedback	NC	 very little feedback is given by the FIU or other competent authorities; BOG guidance on STRs is not sufficiently specific to cover the diverse sector it supervises Other BOG guidelines are very general and their relevance to certain SIs (e.g. money remitters and leasing companies) is limited; HCMC and MOD/ID guidelines are incomplete and generally too broad with regard to DNFBPs, there is no current guidance issued by competent authorities on AML/CTF; the FIU does not provide guidance/feedback to the DNFBPs
Institutional and other measures	<u> </u>	
26. The FIU	NC	 the FIU is inappropriately structured to properly and effectively undertake its functions; the current composition and functions of the Committee raise potential conflicts of interest when dealing with STRs that adversely affect the FIU's operational independence and autonomy and potentially could lead to undue influence or interference; reporting forms and procedures have not yet been provided to all reporting entities; the FIU does not have adequate and timely access to all the financial, administrative and law enforcement information it requires to properly perform its functions; there are insufficient physical and electronic security systems to securely protect the information held by the FIU; the reports published by the FIU do not provide adequate information on statistics, typologies and trends;

27. Law enforcement authorities	LC	 in practice, there are real issues as to whether the Egmont principles are applied in relation to security of information and the FIU is not connected to the Egmont Secure Web thus impacting effective co-operation; the lack of human resources, the paper based STR system, the lack of appropriate IT infrastructure and the current system for processing STRs has resulted in a serious lack of effectiveness in the FIU, which in turn impedes the overall effectiveness of the AML/CFT system. the system put in place by the AML Law does not prevent parallel investigations (and then duplication of efforts) on ML or FT cases; the resources of the prosecution service are insufficient for it to effectively perform its functions, taking into account the structure of the criminal justice system and the appeal procedures. the data and other information available is insufficient to demonstrate that the ML/FT investigation and prosecution process is effective.
28. Powers of competent authorities	С	Recommendation 28 is fully met.
29. Supervisors	PC	 while appropriate supervision powers have been given to the BOG, there is limited capacity of the BOG to use them in an effective way; the BOG has not used the full range of sanctions it has at its disposal; the HCMC has only recently started to use its supervision powers and there is insufficient evidence of effectiveness, the MOD/ID has not used its supervision powers in the AML/CFT area.
30. Resources, integrity and training	NC	 in relation to the FIU: the FIU is understaffed and critically lacks organisational and technical resources to fully and effectively perform its functions (in particular, there is no permanent financial analysts); in relation to the law enforcement authorities: insufficient resources are allocated to ML and FT investigations in the Hellenic Police and the Customs and the training in AML/CFT matters is generally insufficient; in relation to the prosecution authorities insufficient resources are allocated to the over-worked public prosecutor service; in relation to BOG: the BOG lacks sufficient numbers of staff with specialist qualifications and expertise in AML/CFT matters to enable it to carry out its supervisory duties effectively; in relation to HCMC: the HCMC dramatically lacks staff with relevant AML/CFT qualifications, skills and experience to carry out its supervisory powers; in relation to MOD/ID the MOD/ID dramatically lacks qualified staff to carry out its supervisory powers.
31. National co-operation	PC	 mechanisms for cooperation between the FIU, law enforcement, supervisors and other competent authorities are insufficient and ineffective to address the need for domestic AML/CFT coordination; there is no regular review of the effectiveness of the AML/CFT system.
32. Statistics	NC	Review of the effectiveness of the AML/CFT system • Greece does not review its AML/CFT system on a regular basis. Collection of statistics

		 in relation to the FIU: no statistics on the number of requests made or received by/from foreign FIUs, including whether the request was granted or refused, and on spontaneous referral made to foreign authorities; in relation to law enforcement authorities/MOJ: no statistics on ML/FT investigations, prosecutions and convictions, and on property frozen, seized and confiscated; in relation to mutual legal assistance: (1) no statistics on requests relating to freezing and confiscation made or received; (2) no statistics on requests relating to TF; (3) no statistics on requests relating to predicate offences; (4) generally no statistics on the nature of the request, whether it was granted or refused and the time to respond; in relation to extradition: (1) incomplete statistics on requests relating to ML, TF and predicate offences; (2) no statistics on requests relating to predicate offences; (3) generally no data on the nature of the request, whether it was granted or refused and the time to respond; in relation to the BOG: no statistics on the formal requests for assistance made or received by BOG, including whether the request was granted or refused.
33. Legal persons – beneficial owners	NC	 there is no requirement to collect or make available information on beneficial ownership and ultimate control of legal persons; the system in place does not provide access to adequate, accurate and current information on beneficial ownership and ultimate control in a timely manner; there is no appropriate measure to ensure transparency as to the shareholders of corporations that have issued bearer shares (unless the corporation is listed on a stock exchange).
34. Legal arrangements – beneficial owners	NA	Trusts are not recognised under Greek law. There are no other legal
International Co-operation		arrangements similar to trusts that exist in Greece
35. Conventions	PC	 Ratification of the Palermo Convention: Greece has not ratified the Palermo Convention; Implementation of the Palermo Convention: the scope of the ML offence is too limited (see comments in relation to Rec.1); self-laundering is not properly criminalised in Greece, and this cannot be justified on the basis of its being contrary to the Greek fundamental law (see comments in relation to Rec.1); the penalties are not dissuasive and there are doubts about their effectiveness (see comments in relation to Rec.2); Implementation of Vienna Convention: The Greek provisions do not permit the confiscation of indirect proceeds (see comments in relation to Rec.3). Implementation of the Terrorist Financing Convention: the penalties are not dissuasive and there doubts about their effectiveness (see comments in relation to Rec.2); the CDD requirements are inadequate and the implementation of STR reporting is not fully effective (see comments in relation to Rec.5 & 13).
36. Mutual legal assistance (MLA)	LC	• in the absence of a treaty the apparent limitation on the forms of assistance available for mutual assistance appear to constitute an obstacle to undertaking certain types of investigatory acts that are

	T	
27. D. J. J. J. J.		 authorised in the domestic context; the effectiveness of the current laws cannot be demonstrated due to the lack of quantitative and qualitative data; moreover the overloaded court system seems to have impacted on effectiveness; the current limitations in relation to the criminalisation of ML and FT may have a impact on Greece's ability to deliver mutual legal assistance in ML/FT cases.
37. Dual criminality	LC	 there is a lack of consensus on the scope and application of the dual criminality requirement; there is a risk that the dual criminality requirement for extradition is applied in a narrow sense
38. MLA on confiscation and freezing	LC	 Greece has not considered establishing an asset-forfeiture fund; Greece's limitations on the definition of ML may limit its ability to seize and confiscate property derived from predicate offences that are covered by the AML Law.
39. Extradition	LC	 the current limitations in relation to the criminalisation of ML may have a impact on Greece's ability to extradite persons sought for this offence; there are potentially some cases where extradition could be limited because a different threshold of punishment exists for some of the predicate offences of ML (some threshold are below the two year threshold applicable in extradition cases).
40. Other forms of co-operation	PC	 due to a lack of personnel and technical resources and limited database access, there is an issue of effectiveness with regard to the information exchange of the FIU with foreign authorities on AML matters; there are no formal statistics to suggest that cooperation between financial supervisors and their counterparts in AML matters is effective and is provided in line with the FATF standards.
Nine Special Recommendations	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating
SR.I Implement UN instruments	PC	 Implementation of the Terrorist Financing Convention: the CDD requirements are inadequate and the implementation of STR reporting is not fully effective (see comments in relation to Rec.5 & 13). Implementation of S/RES/1267(1999) and S/RES/1373(2001): the current process does not allow freezing of terrorist assets without delay (see comments in relation to SR.III); Greece has a limited ability to freeze funds in accordance with S/RES/1373(2001) of designated terrorists outside the EU listing system (see comments in relation to SR.III).
SR.II Criminalise terrorist financing	PC	 the scope of the offence is excessively narrow as it does not make it a crime to collect or provide funds or material support to terrorist individuals or for specific terrorist acts; terrorist financing ought to be a stand alone offence for which prosecution is available, regardless of whether the group actually carries out or attempts a specific terrorist attack; the defence in Article 187A(8) is too broad and appears to undermine and negate the intentions of the provision; it is unclear that Article 2.5 of the Terrorist Financing Convention is applicable in relation to the FT offence;

		administrative liability with regard to the financing of terrorism is too restrictive;
		criminal liability does not apply to legal persons and there is no fundamental principle of law prohibiting it;
		• there have been no TF cases and it is too early to assess whether the offence is effectively implemented.
SR.III Freeze and confiscate terrorist assets	PC	 the definition of funds in the EC Regulations does not fully cover the terms in SR III and assets that are wholly owned or controlled by a listed entity are not covered; Greece has a limited ability to freeze funds in accordance with S/RES/1373(2001) of designated terrorists outside the EU listing system; the current process for notifying ministries and the financial sector of entities on UN lists takes too long and therefore these entities would not be able to comply with freezing terrorist assets without delay; Greece does not provide guidance to financial institutions as well as DNFBPs on freezing assets of listed entities without delay and does not monitor Fls and DNFBPs for compliance with measures taken under the Resolutions; there are no sanctions for failure to follow freezing requests; processes for de-listing and unfreezing funds are not publicly known and it is impossible to determine their effectiveness, if they exist at all; Greece has no procedure in place for allowing payment of basic living expenses and fees in line with UNSCR 1452; Greece does not have appropriate procedures through which a person or entity whose funds have been frozen can challenge that measure before a court; Greece does not have any measures in place to protect the rights of bona fide third party owners of property that may be involved in terrorist financing.
SR.IV Suspicious transaction reporting	PC	 insurance agents and brokers are not covered by the obligation to report; not all the required aspects of terrorist financing are included in the scope of the reporting requirement; industry practice would suggest that not all attempted transactions are reported; the weaknesses in the STR system (especially low numbers in total and very low numbers of STRs outside the banking system) raise significant concerns in relation to the effectiveness of the reporting system.
SR.V International co-operation	LC	 there are concerns on the ability of Greece to provide a full range mutual legal assistance in cases involving FT as it is currently defined in Greece (in relation to Recommendation 36); the application of the dual criminality may create an obstacle to extradition in cases involving FT activities that are not specifically criminalised in Greece (in relation to Recommendation 39); due to a lack of personnel and technical resources and limited database access, there is an issue of effectiveness with regard to the information exchange of the FIU with foreign authorities on CFT matters (in relation with Rec.40); there is no information to suggest that cooperation between financial

		supervisors and their counterparts in AML/CFT matters is effective and is provided in line with the FATF standards (in relation with Rec.40).
SR VI AML requirements for money/value transfer services	PC	 the lack of specialised, trained staff means that there are general concerns about the effectiveness of the BOG supervision programme as applied to MVT services;
		 there was some evidence of informal transfer services, which were not applying AML/CFT measures and not being supervised; in general, Greece should take immediate steps to properly implement Recommendations 5-7, SR.VII and other relevant FATF Recommendations and to apply them also to bureaux de change and money remittance companies.
SR VII Wire transfer rules	PC	 the derogation set out in the EU regulation for wire transfers within the EU (classified as domestic transfers) is not in compliance with the FATF requirements under SR.VII; there are currently no sanctions for non-compliance with the EU
		regulation, and the sanctions regime generally is not effective or dissuasive;
		 in terms of effectiveness, there is insufficient evidence that the Regulation has been properly implemented, nor is there sufficient evidence of effective compliance monitoring of credit institutions with the requirements under the EU Regulation.
SR.VIII Non-profit organisations	NC	Greece has not implemented the requirements set out in SR VIII.
SR.IX Cross Border Declaration & Disclosure	NC	• there is no system for declaring or disclosing cash or bearer negotiable instruments in line with SR IX.

Table 2: Recommended Action Plan to Improve the AML/CFT System

AML/CFT System	Recommended Action (listed in order of priority)
1. General	
2. Legal System and Related Institutional Measures	
Criminalisation of Money Laundering (R.1 &, 2)	 Greece should ensure that the list of predicate offences includes all of the FATF designated predicate offences and amend Article 1.A.q) of the AML Law to include all offences punishable by at least six months in prison, regardless of the value of the property generated by the offence; Greece should criminalise self-laundering; Greece should extend criminal liability to legal persons and ensure that the existing system of administrative and civil liability of companies is used effectively; The authorities should ensure that ML is a stand alone offence that does
Criminalisation of Terrorist Financing (SR.II)	 ont require, in effect and practice, a conviction for the predicate offence. offence should amend the scope of the FT offence to make it a crime to collect or provide funds or material support to terrorist individuals or for specific terrorist acts. In addition, terrorist financing should be an offence in itself, whether or not a terrorist act has actually occurred and whether or not funds were used to finance a particular act; offence should review and limit the legal defence to the law in Article 187.A (8); offence should clarify what "funds" means for the purpose of Article 187A and ensure that the FT offence does not require that the funds be actually used; offence should expand the administrative liability with regard to the financing of terrorism.
Confiscation, freezing and seizing of proceeds of crime (R.3)	 Greece should adopt measures that allow: (1) the confiscation of indirect proceeds; (2) to give courts the power to void or prevent actions involving the proceeds of crime from the time the predicate offence was convicted; Greece should extend the powers of to all property that could be the proceeds of crime, not just accounts, safe deposit boxes and immovable property; The Greek general confiscation legislation should provide for freezing on an ex parte basis, with the right to appeal; Greece should provide guidance on what they consider an instrumentality intended for use in a crime; Greece should provide guidance on using the various confiscation regimes; Greece should review its laws and administrative structures so as to have an effective system to trace, seize and confiscate criminal proceeds.
Freezing of funds used for terrorist	Greece should develop its ability to freeze funds in accordance with

financing (SR.III)	 S/RES/1373(2001) of designated terrorists outside the EU listing system; Greek authorities should ensure that the authorities can freeze terrorist assets without first having to open a criminal investigation; Greece should take the appropriate measures to speed the current process for notifying ministries and the financial sector of entities on UN lists; Greece should provide guidance to financial institutions as well as DNFBPs on freezing assets of listed entities and should monitor FIs and DNFBPs for compliance with measures taken under the Resolutions; Greece should adopt a regime of sanctions for failure to follow freezing requests; Greece should develop publicly known processes for de-listing and unfreezing funds and procedures for allowing payment of basic living expenses and fees in line with UNSCR 1452; Greece should adopt appropriate procedures through which a person or entity whose funds have been frozen can challenge that measure before a court; Greece should adopt measures to protect the rights of bona fide third party owners of property that may be involved in terrorist financing.
The Financial Intelligence Unit and its functions (R.26 and R.30)	 party owners of property that may be involved in terrorist financing. The Greek authorities should consider restructuring the FIU (especially more specialised personnel should be hired to carry out STRs analysis functions and Greece should give consideration to tasking the Committee members with a broad oversight and/or coordination role at national level); Greece should review the effectiveness of the FIU. For instance, a mechanism for exchange of information with the judiciary would be very helpful to provide the FIU with some useful indicators of effectiveness and more broadly, Greece should adopt measures that ease the mechanisms for exchange of information between the FIU and other competent authorities; Greece should ensure that the FIU is allocated with sufficient and skilled staff and has a comprehensive IT system to collect and process the STRs (for instance, the FIU should as soon as possible ensure its connection to the FIU.net); The FIU should take all necessary measures to ensure that the information held is securely protected. The physical security of the facilities should be appropriately ensured and the current STR data system should be properly protected and backed up; Greece should ensure that the FIU has a more direct and timely access to all financial, administrative and law enforcement information it requires to properly undertake its functions; More reporting forms should be adopted and the reports published by the FIU should provide information on statistics, typologies and trends.
Law enforcement, prosecution and other competent authorities (R.27 & 28)	 Greece should promote a more proactive approach to detect and expose third party ML cases as opposed to self-laundering and consideration should be given to a greater specialisation of prosecutors and judges in financial crime and ML cases; Consideration should be given to making use of special investigative techniques in relation to ML and FT as they have proved successful in relation to drug trafficking;

Cross border declaration or disclosure (SR.IX)	 Particular attention should be paid to establish effective coordination and information sharing between Customs and other law enforcement authorities in relation to the implementation of the controls on cash and bearer negotiable instruments leaving and entering the Greek territory, so as to enable the use of special investigative techniques in relation to cash. Greece should implement measures conforming to the requirements of SR.IX.
,	
3. Preventive Measures – Financi	al Institutions
Risk of money laundering or terrorist financing	Greece should develop a less selective and partial approach to risk and carry out full risk assessments in order to identify areas of higher or lower ML/FT risk.
Customer due diligence, including enhanced or reduced measures (R.5 to 8)	 Greece should make sure that all basic CDD obligations as defined by the FATF are set out in the AML Law; Greece should take steps for insurance brokers and agents to be brought within the scope of the AML law, and thus the CDD requirements; Greece should engage with the private sector to promote compliance with the CDD requirements; In relation to Recommendation 5: Greece should consider adopting an express requirement precluding the opening and maintenance of anonymous or numbered accounts; Financial institutions should be required to conduct CDD in all of the situations required by the FATF Recommendations, notably where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, and where doubts arise as to previously obtained CDD information; In relation to simplified and enhanced due diligence, Greece should consider expanding and updating the guidance issued by competent authorities, and consider including more guidance on how to apply the provisions on a risk-based basis; Financial institutions should be required to identify legal persons and beneficial ownership in the circumstances set out in FATF standards; Firms supervised by the HCMC and firms in the insurance sector should be required to conduct ongoing CDD in line with FATF requirements; The timing of verification of high risk customers should be properly regulated and be in line with FATF requirements; The requirements to conduct CDD in respect of existing clients in the AML Law and the securities and insurance sectors should be in line with the FATF standards; All financial institutions should be subject to a clear requirement to ascertain the nature and purpose of the business relationship; Competent authorities should clarify SIs' responsibilities in situations where full CDD information cannot be obtained. In relation to Recommendation 6: The securities and insurance sectors should be required to identif

	The requirement to identify a PEP's source of wealth should be explicitly
	stated;
	In relation to Recommendation 7:
	The provisions in relation to cross-border correspondent banking should be extended to include incitivities in EU member states:
	be extended to include institutions in EU member states;
	In relation to Recommendation 8:
	Measures should be mandated to fully implement Recommendation 8 (i.e. introduce requirements for the accurities or incurance sectors; edept.)
	(i.e. introduce requirements for the securities or insurance sectors; adopt requirements for SIs to have measures in place to prevent misuse of
	technological developments and ensure that the existing BOG measures
	to deal with the risks of non face to face business apply to all Fls).
Third parties and introduced business	Greece should review the use of third parties to conduct CDD in all
(R.9)	sectors (particularly in the insurance sector and in situations involving
()	group companies);
	Competent authorities should ensure that any appropriate guidance
	deemed necessary after considering the operation of third party reliance
	in the financial services sector covers all sectors where this is practised
	(particularly the insurance sector);
	The current provisions adopted of the BOG should be clarified to be fully
	consistent and in line with the requirements under Recommendation 9.
Financial institution secrecy or	Greece should consider clarifying exactly when the bank secrecy law
confidentiality (R.4)	(Law 1059/1971) is over-ridden by other statutory provisions, and clarify
	the provisions of the AML Law on matters such as the scope of money
	laundering/terrorist financing.
Record keeping and wire transfer rules	In relation to Recommendation 10:
(R.10 & SR.VII)	• The provisions on record keeping in the AML Law should clearly require retention of business correspondence;
	Greece should adopt specific record-keeping requirements or guidelines
	to ensure that (i) transactions can be fully reconstructed, and (ii) recorded
	information is available on a timely basis to domestic competent
	authority.
	In relation to SR.VII:
	More effort should be made vis-à-vis the financial community to raise
	awareness with regard to the new requirements applicable to domestic
	(in the FATF sense) and cross-border wire transfers;
	• The Greek authorities should adopt effective, proportionate and
	dissuasive sanctions applicable to infringements of the provision laid
	down in the EU Regulation on wire transfers.
Monitoring of transactions and	In relation to Recommendation 11:
relationships (R.11 & 21)	Greece should require all financial institutions to examine all complex, way and the transportions are specified and the property of
	unusual etc. transactions, even if suspicion does not technically arise,
	and impose a clear requirement to document the findings of any examination undertaken;
	In relation to Recommendation 21:
	All financial institutions should be required to monitor business
	relationships with persons from countries not or insufficiently applying the
	FATF standards.
Suspicious transaction reports and other	In relation to Recommendation 13 and SR.IV:
reporting (R.13-14, 19, 25 & SR.IV)	The obligation to submit STRs should be extended to cover all sectors of
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the financial services industry, and should cover all predicate offences in
	full (including tax evasion) and all aspects of terrorist financing;

	 The obligation to report attempted transactions should be clarified; Greece should review the effectiveness of the reporting system; In relation to Recommendation 19: Greece should consider the feasibility and utility of implementing a currency reporting system across all regulated sectors; In relation to Recommendation 25: The competent authorities should provide more comprehensive guidance (and take steps to update existing guidance, where appropriate) to improve the effectiveness of suspicious transaction reporting; The FIU should provide greater and a further range of feedback to competent authorities and reporting institutions to assist in improving the quality of STRs submitted, and to help identify new areas where suspicion might arise.
Internal controls, compliance, audit and foreign branches (R.15 & 22)	 In relation to Recommendation 15: Financial institutions supervised by the BOG are required to have screening procedures but the link to AML/CFT provisions should be strengthened; Financial institutions in the securities and insurance sectors should be required to adopt internal procedures and policies; In relation to Recommendation 22: Branches and subsidiaries of Greek SIs located in third countries should be required to apply the higher standard, to the extent that local laws and regulations permit; In the securities and the insurance sectors: (1) Greece should adopt provisions in relation to Rec. 22 that apply to subsidiaries; (2) Greece should adopt some requirements to pay particular attention to situations where branches and subsidiaries are based in countries that do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations; (3) Greece should adopt an explicit provision to require FIs to inform their home country supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because this is prohibited by local laws,
Shell banks (R.18)	 regulations or other measure. Financial institutions should be required to determine that a respondent financial institution in a foreign country does not permit its accounts to be used by shell banks.
The supervisory and oversight system - competent authorities and SROs Role, functions, duties and powers (including sanctions) (R.23, 29, 17 & 25)	 In relation to Recommendation 23: The Greek authorities should introduce a licensing requirement for insurance agents by the insurance regulator. Fit and proper tests should be conducted for all directors of credit institutions; The BOG should implement a risk-based supervisory program for AML/CFT and adopt a more systematic consolidated approach to the supervision of AML/CFT policies risk management systems. The BOG should improve the quality of the assessment of bureaux de change and money remitters. The HCMC and the HPISC should implement a robust supervisory programme for AML/CFT purposes with proper inspection procedures. In relation to Recommendation 29: The adoption of a proper risk based approach should be considered by the BOG to improve its capacity to use its supervision powers in an effective way; The BOG should review its use of sanctions to ensure that they are

	T
	effective, proportionate and dissuasive;
	• The HCMC should use its supervision powers on a regular and effective
	basis;
	Once established, the HPISC should be given appropriate supervision
	powers in the AML/CFT area;
	In relation to Recommendation 17:
	• The BOG should review the regime of sanctions applicable for failing to
	apply AML/CFT requirements and specific sanctions for directors and
	senior managers should be available and implemented where
	appropriate;
	The HCMC should ensure that the sanctions in place fully meet the FATF
	standards i.e. are effective, proportionate and dissuasive and are
	implemented properly;
	• In the insurance sector, the Greek authorities should adopt sanctions for
	violations of the AML Law requirements that are in line with the FATF
	standards and are sufficiently dissuasive. In relation to Recommendation 25:
	• The BOG, the HCMC and the HPISC should adopt sector-specific guidance with updated information on ML and FT trends and techniques
	and a broader scope in order to comprehensively address the FATF
	requirements.
Money value transfer services (SR.VI)	Current provisions in relation to agents of bureaux de change should be
Money value transier services (SIX.VI)	clarified since it is not certain that bureaux de change are obliged to
	inform the BOG of the names and addresses of their agents;
	The BOG should improve the quality of the supervision carried in
	bureaux de change and money remittance companies;
	Sanctions should be available to directors and senior management of
	money remittance companies;
	The Greek authorities should review the existence of informal remittance
	businesses for purposes of registration of licensing and oversight for
	AML/CFT purposes;
	• In general, Greece should take immediate steps to properly implement
	Recommendations 5-7, SR.VII and other relevant FATF
	Recommendations and to apply them also to the bureaux de change and
	money remittance companies.
4. Preventive Measures –Non-Fi	nancial Businesses and Professions
Customer due diligence and record-	Greece should take steps to fully implement the provisions of the AML
keeping (R.12)	Law in respect of DNFBPs and to clarify the drafting in the AML Law to
r ·3 (· ··-/	ensure that each relevant section fully applies not only to credit and
	financial institutions:
	• The relevant competent authorities should take urgent steps to raise
	awareness of the relevant provisions of the AML Law as they apply to the
	DNFBPs they supervise, and to develop guidance relevant to the
	individual sectors.
	• TCSPs, internet casinos and casinos on Greek owned or operated
	vessels should be fully subject to the AML/CFT requirements.
Suspicious transaction reporting (R.16)	Greece should take action to ensure that the requirements in relation to
,	Recommendations 13, 14, 15 and 21 are fully implemented within the
	DNFBP community.
Regulation, supervision and monitoring	In relation to Recommendation 24

(R.24-25)	 Greece should ensure that DNFBPs are subject to effective supervision. In relation to Recommendation 25 The competent authorities and the FIU should provide guidance and feedback to DNFBPs to ensure that they are aware of their obligations.
Other designated non-financial businesses and professions (R.20)	• The Greek authorities could take further steps to encourage the development and use of modern and secure techniques for conducting financial transactions that are less vulnerable to money laundering.
5. Legal Persons and Arrangeme	ents & Non-Profit Organisations
Legal Persons – Access to beneficial ownership and control information (R.33)	 Greece should adopt measures that improve transparency concerning beneficial ownership and ultimate control of legal persons and ease the access from competent authorities to that information; A centralised registration system for all legal persons should be established; The Greek authorities should consider removing bearer shares from their law or otherwise taking measures to ensure adequate transparency regarding beneficial ownership.
Legal Arrangements – Access to beneficial ownership and control information (R.34)	NA.
Non-profit organisations (SR.VIII)	 Greece should implement adequate measures in line with the requirements under SR.VIII; In particular, Greece should (1) carry out a comprehensive domestic review of its non-profit sector; (2) adopt the necessary measures to protect this sector from terrorist financing through outreach and effective oversight; and (3) implement effective domestic co-operation and information-sharing mechanisms among competent authorities that hold information on non-profit organisations.
6. National and International Co	
National co-operation and coordination (R.31)	 The AML/CFT topic should be subject to a broad consultation and debate at national level; Greece should develop and implement effective mechanisms to enable all authorities dealing with AML/CFT issues to co-operate and collaborate closely and effectively with each other.
The Conventions and UN Special Resolutions (R.35 & SR.I)	 Greece should ratify the Palermo Convention and fully implement it (in particular, the predicate offences for ML should cover all serious offences; Greece should extend the scope of the ML offence; self-laundering should be properly criminalised and Greece should adopt effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions); Greece should fully implement the Vienna Convention as well as the Terrorist Financing Convention (in particular, Greece should permit the confiscation of indirect proceeds and extend the scope of the FT offence); Greece should fully implement the UN Security Council Resolutions relating to the prevention and suppression of FT (in particular, Greece should adopt a process that allows freezing of terrorist assets without delay).
Mutual Legal Assistance (R.36-38, SR.V)	 The application or not of the dual criminality condition in the context of mutual legal assistance should be clarified and subject to clear guidance; Greece should review the current limitations in relation to the

Extradition (R.39, 37, SR.V)	criminalisation of ML and FT to improve Greece's ability to deliver mutual legal assistance in ML/FT cases and extend its ability to seize and confiscate property derived from predicate offences that are covered by the AML Law; In the absence of a treaty, Greece should clarify the forms of assistance available for mutual assistance; Greece should adopt mechanisms that allow the execution of mutual legal assistance requests in a timely way and without undue delays; Greece should consider establishing an asset-forfeiture fund.
Extractition (R.39, 37, SR.V)	 Greece should take the necessary steps to ensure that the dual criminality requirement for extradition does not limit its capacity to answer extradition requests;
	 Greece should review the current limitations in relation to the criminalisation of ML and the applicable thresholds of punishment for some of the predicate offences of ML to improve Greece's ability to extradite persons sought for this offence.
Other Forms of Co-operation (R.40, SR.V)	 Greece should take measures to strengthen the capacity of the FIU to exchange information with foreign authorities on AML/CFT matters; Greece should take measures to ensure that cooperation between financial supervisors and their counterparts in AML matters is effective and is provided in line with the FATF standards.
7. Resources and Statistics	
Resources of Competent Authorities (R.30)	 in relation to the FIU: The FIU should employ a permanent professional staff of experienced financial analysts and should be provided with a comprehensive IT system to collect and process the STRs and any type of information it receives, including link analysis software; The FIU should consider adopt a secure electronic reporting system; The FIU should ensure its connection to the FIU.net; The FIU staff should be provided with adequate ongoing training in AML and CFT matters; The FIU should ensure that high professional standards are maintained when hiring new personnel. in relation to the law enforcement authorities: Additional resources should be allocated to ML and FT investigations in the Hellenic Police and the Customs and their staff should be provided with adequate training in AML/CFT matters; in relation to the prosecution authorities AML/CFT and financial crime training programs should be continued and developed, including typologies/methods and trends, to improve prosecutorial AML/CFT expertise; Additional resources should be allocated to the over-worked public prosecutor service so that it can fully and effectively performs its functions; Consideration should be given to a greater specialisation of prosecutors and judges in financial crime and ML cases (including identification, tracing, seizure and confiscation of criminal proceeds). in relation to BOG: the BOG should be provided with more staff with specialist qualifications

	and expertise in AML/CFT matters to enable it to carry out its supervisory duties effectively; in relation to HCMC:
	 the HCMC should be provided with more staff with specialist qualifications and expertise in AML/CFT matters to enable it to carry out its supervisory duties effectively; in relation to HPISC
	• the HPISC should be provided with more staff with specialist qualifications and expertise in AML/CFT matters to enable it to carry out its supervisory duties effectively.
Statistics (R.32)	Review of the effectiveness of the AML/CFT system Greece should review the effectiveness of its AML/CFT system on a regular basis; Collection of otalistics.
	 Collection of statistics in relation to the FIU: the FIU should publish more comprehensive periodic reports on its activity including detailed statistics, typologies and trends as well as sanitised cases. The Greek FIU should maintain more comprehensive and detailed statistics on STRs and other reports received. The FIU should collect statistics on the number of requests made or received by/from foreign FIUs, including whether the request was granted or refused, and on spontaneous referral made to foreign authorities;
	 in relation to law enforcement authorities/MOJ: Greece should establish a reliable and comprehensive system to collect statistics on ML/FT investigations, prosecutions and convictions, and on property frozen, seized and confiscated;
	• in relation to mutual legal assistance: statistics should be collected on (1) requests relating to freezing and confiscation made or received; (2) requests relating to TF; (3) requests relating to predicate offences; (4) the nature of the request, whether it was granted or refused and the time to respond;
	• in relation to extradition: statistics should be collected on (1) requests relating to ML, TF and predicate offences; (2) requests relating to predicate offences; (3) the nature of the request, whether it was granted or refused and the time to respond;
	• in relation to the BOG: statistics should be collected on the formal requests for assistance made or received by BOG, including whether the request was granted or refused.
Other relevant AML/CFT measures or issues	NA
General framework for AML/CFT system	 Greece should review the effectiveness of its criminal justice system to understand and address the factors that impact adversely on the ability of the system to commence and complete money laundering and terrorist financing cases within a reasonable timeframe; In their project to adopt a new AML Law, the Greek authorities should
	elaborate a more harmonised and sophisticated set of measures in line with international standards keeping in mind the necessary elaboration and integration with existing domestic legislation.