

Can the COVID Bailouts Save the Economy?

by Vadim Elenev, Tim Landvoigt, Stijn Van Nieuweburgh

DISCUSSION BY GIOVANNI DELL'ARICCIA (IMF AND CEPR)

IMF, ANNUAL RESEARCH CONFERENCE, NOVEMBER 2020

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the IMF, its Executive Board, or IMF management.

Summary



- Very interesting and timely paper
- Extremely useful, transparent, and concise discussion of US policies in support of corporate sector
- Model delivers instructive non-linear effects from multiple shocks
 - ► Frictions for both corporates and financial intermediaries
- Useful quantification of effects of different measures
 - Reasonable mapping of actual policy measures into model
 - Critical to evaluate policy design

Model Basic Mechanism



- Firms use current profits to pay employees, remunerate capital, and serve their debt,
- Firms hit by sufficiently large negative shock default on their debt
- Liquidation costs imply deadweight loss from defaults
- Financial sector acts as an accelerant of crisis:
 - ▶ Bank losses and increased risk lead to higher spreads
 - ▶ Higher spreads reduce investment giving crisis a long shadow
- Government debt increases on the back of recession and financial sector support

Characterization of COVID Shock



- Combination of five ingredients (mostly supply-side):
 - ► Increase in uncertainty
 - Greater dispersion of firm-specific productivity
 - Decline in average productivity
 - Reduction in labor supply
 - Awareness of potential future pandemic
- Demand side could be developed
 - ▶ Little role here for direct transfers to households
 - Can we approximate drop in revenue due to demand shock with productivity decline?

A Corporate Liquidity Crisis



- ▶ **Key friction**: Firms must service debt before raising new debt/equity
- Forces illiquid firms into bankruptcy
- Friction fully justifies government intervention:
 - Obvious benefits from preventing liquidation of illiquid but solvent firms
- It also makes intervention relatively cheap:
 - Grant element in PPP is the exception
 - Similar to LOLR in banking crises context
 - ► CBL approach makes sense
 - ► Can we achieve similar results through forbearance/standstills?





- Is this just a liquidity crisis?
- ► Elements of illiquidity:
 - Knightian uncertainty about pandemic may curtail credit availability
 - Impact on financial sector (but CB policies took care of most of this)
- Immediate solvency problems for sectors most affected by crisis:
 - ▶ Transportation, tourism, entertainment,....
 - Pent up demand effects likely smaller than for other industries
 - Capacity constraints
- More widespread issues if pandemic lasts longer than expected

Policy Implications of Solvency Crisis



- Magnified moral hazard issues with liquidity support
- More difficult to justify government intervention
 - Systemic firms/sectors
 - Post-lockdown back to standard macro levers
- Tradeoff between preserving economic relationships vs promoting reallocation of resources
 - ▶ Time matters
- Governance:
 - Fiscal costs likely much larger
 - ▶ Not really a job for CBs